

PROPOSAL FOR FUNCTIONING, VACANCIES AND TUITION FEES SECOND AND THIRD CYCLES OF STUDIES

Joint cycle of studies?

Yes	No
	X

Name of the Cycle of Studies

Master's Degree in Oncology

A – Specific conditions for access to the cycle of studies

According to the general regulations of the 2nd and 3rd cycles of UPorto, this information is the responsibility of the Cycle of Studies' Scientific Committee and is subject to approval by the Faculty Director. Exception made to the cycles of studies jointly with other Higher Education Institutions (IES), to which the principles defined in the respective collaboration agreement apply, as well as in the specific regulation of the cycle of studies, formulated in accordance with the Legal Regime of Higher Education Degrees and Diplomas (DL 65/2018, 16 August). Whenever possible, the scientific areas of the qualifications required for the application should be mentioned.

Specific conditions for access to the cycle of studies

May apply to the Master's Degree in Oncology (MO) those who meet one of the following conditions, according to article17 of DL 74/2006, and in its successive wordings:

- a) Holder of a bachelor's degree or legal equivalent in Medicine, Dental Medicine, Veterinary Medicine, Biology, Biochemistry, Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nursing, Psychology, Health Technologies and others bachelor's degrees or legal equivalent, in the health sciences area with an interest in oncology, with a minimum classification of 14 values;
- b) Holder of a foreign higher academic degree in accordance with points c) and d) of the aforementioned article, in one of the areas described in the previous point, with a minimum classification of 14 values;
- c) Graduates with a classification lower than 14 values may be admitted, after curricular evaluation to be carried out by the Scientific Committee and as long as they recognise as sufficient the academic, scientific or professional curriculum, which attest their ability to undertake the course.

B – Criteria and sub-criteria for selection and ranking of candidates

The selection and ranking criteria should be defined using clear, objective and easily applicable and justifiable variables, to safeguard the transparency and accuracy of the evaluation of the applications.

The assessment of criteria and sub-criteria measured through a numerical scale allows a more objective evaluation of the candidate's potential and academic/scientific/artistic/professional capacity.

Criteria and sub-criteria for selection and ranking of candidates	
1 – Bachelor's degree / Integrated master's degree	35
a) Medicine, Veterinary Medicine, Dental Medicine, Pharmaceutical Sciences, Biochemistry, Biology	35
b) Other bachelor's degrees or integrated master's degrees in the area of Health Sciences, including Biomedicine, Biomedical Sciences and Bioengineering	30
c) Bachelor's degrees in Health Sciences and Technologies, including, Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy, Biomedical Laboratory Sciences and Medicinal Biotechnology	20
d) Bachelor's degrees in Nursing, Psychology	15
e) Other 1st cycle training (see note *).	0
2 – Classification Bacherlor's degree	25
a) Average equal to or greater than 19 values	25
b) Average of 18 values	20
c) Average of 17 values	15
d) Average of 16 values	10
e) Average of 15 values	5
f) Average of 14 values	2,5
g) Average less than 14 values (after curricular analysis of the candidate) or without final classification	0



3- Relevant scientific and professional experience in the area (Only considered items in which proof is presented)	20
a) Internship / Professional Experience – up to 10	
Research experience in the area of oncology	Up to 10
Professional experience in the area of oncology	Up to 7,5
Research experience in the area of health sciences	Up to 5
Research experience in the area of biological sciences	Up to 2,5
b) Published Scientific Work– up to 10	
1st author in scientific articles in indexed journals	Up to 10
Co-author in scientific articles in indexed journals	Up to 7,5
1st author in communications at international congresses	Up to 5
1st author in communications at national congresses	Up to 2,5
4 - Motivation Letter	15
a) Well-founded and structured and with consistent ideas as to why you are applying	Up to 15
b) With sufficient reasoning or acceptable structure or with consistent ideas as to why you are applying	Up to 10
c) With insufficient reasoning and poor structure and with inconsistent ideas as to why you are applying	Up to 5
d) Without motivationletter	0
5- Letter of reference/recommendation from a Researcher/University Lecturer	5
a) With recommendation letter - up to 5:	
from a doctoral researcher/professor in the area of the master's degree	Up to 5
from a doctoral researcher/ professor in another scientific area	Up to 2,5
b) Without recommendation letter	0
The final classification will result from the sum of the points from 1 to 5.	
If the selection jury deems it necessary, contact may be established with the candidate in order to clarify fewer clear points.	

Tiebreaker criteria (may be the classification in some of the elements of the previous table)

In case of a tie in the final vacancies, will be considered the most recent date of obtaining the bachelor's degree.

If, after applying all the previous criteria, there is still a tie, will be considered the number of published scientific papers.

• Note: In the case of degrees (in the areas referred to in the previous points) that are not recognised in Portugal, the Scientific Committee will assess the adequacy of the degree of origin, which must correspond to at least 75% of the training in the predominant area. If there is such correspondence, the candidate is scored in one of the above points; otherwise, he/she is scored by point e)