

**Name of the cycle of studies**

Master Degree in Forensic Medicine

**A – Specific access conditions to the cycle of studies**

According to the general regulations of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cycles of UPorto this information is responsibility of the CS Scientific Commission, being subject of approval by the Faculty Director. Exception is made to the cycles of studies conjoint with others Higher Education Institutions, to which is applied the principles defined in the corresponding cooperation agreement, as well as in the specific regulation of cycle of studies made accordingly to the Legal Regime on Academic Degrees and Higher Education Diplomas (DL 65/2018 of 16 August).

When possible it should be mentioned the scientific areas of the qualifications demanded for application.

**Specific access conditions to the cycle of studies**

It can apply to Master Degree in Forensic Medicine (MML) holders of bachelor degree or legal equivalent in Life Sciences areas. It is also admissible applicants in different conditions, namely under Art. 17 of Decree-Law No 74/2006, as amended by Decree-Law No 65/2018. In this context, it can apply anyone holder of an academic, scientific or professional curriculum that is recognised as attesting capacity to carry out this cycle of studies at the time of the applications analysis by the scientific commission of the cycle of studies, according to admission criteria and sub criteria approved and published.

**B – Criteria and sub criteria of applicant’s selection and seriation**

Selection and seriation criteria must be defined using clear, assertive and easy application and justification variables, to safeguard transparency and accuracy of application’s evaluation.

Criteria and sub criteria assessment measured through a numerical scale allows an evaluation more assertive of applicant’s academic/ scientific/ artistic/ professional potential and capacity.

**Criteria and sub criteria of applicant’s selection and seriation**

**Criteria 1: CV’s evaluation scoring from 0 to 20.**

In the evaluation are considered adequacy (placed as suitable or not suitable and in the last case applicant it’s not selectable) and applicant’s academic and scientific merit, as well as individual actions that are framed in social responsibility merit. Criteria are moulded in a detailed way in evaluation records available to applicants. The sub criteria weighting (0 to 20 points by item) is made as follows: [ACADEMIC MERIT (academic grade + 5 points by concluded degree; sum up to maximum of 20)] x 70% + [SCIENTIFIC MERIT: (author/co-author in publications on indexed journals (5 points/ item, up to maximum of 20) x 40%; author/ co-author of articles in non-indexed journals (5 points/ item, up to maximum of 20) x 20%; author/ co-author in communications on scientific meetings (5 points/ item, up to maximum of 20) x 20%; prizes (5 points/ item, up to maximum of 20) x 20%) x 20%] + [SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY MERIT: (volunteer actions (5 points/ item, up to maximum of 20) x 50%; others (5 points/ item, up to maximum of 20) x 50%) x 10%].

70

**Criteria 2: Evaluation of Applicant’s Motivation scoring from 0 to 20.**

Motivation Letter of Application (from 0 to 20) x 70% + Personal Presentation Video (maximum 2 min) (from 0 to 20) x 30%.

30

**Applicant’s tiebreaker criteria (can be the ranking of some elements of the previous table)**

As criteria of subsidiary application, in case of tied it will have preference applicants:

- 1) with highest ranking on criteria APPLICANT’S MOTIVATION;
- 2) with highest ranking on sub criteria ACADEMIC MERIT;
- 3) with highest ranking on sub criteria SCIENTIFIC MERIT;
- 4) with highest ranking on sub criteria SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY MERIT.