

ABSTRACT

IPSA (International Political Science Association) 75th Anniversary Conference, Lisbon 11-13th September, 2024.

Presentation in session: P-003 [LOC] Corruption, Political Trust, and Support for Democracy – The Case of Portugal, 12 September 2024 | 16:45 - 18:15 | Room: Auditorium 3 | Gulbenkian Foundation

A Fuzzy-Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis of the Effects of Corruption Prevention, Education, Inequality and Government Efficacy on Voter Turnout in Portuguese Local Elections

José N. Cruz

**CIJ – Centre for Interdisciplinary Research on Justice, Faculty of Law,
Universidade do Porto**

josec@direito.up.pt

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5274-6670

Voter turnout has been studied in detail since the emergence of the rational choice question - why do people vote at all? In fact, the chance of one person changing the outcome of an election is practically zero. In the cost-benefit calculus of citizens, therefore, no one should vote no matter how much he or she cares, i.e. the strength of preference as such is practically irrelevant. Given the difficulties of the rational model in explaining voter turnout, many social arguments have emerged in recent decades in an attempt to understand the determinants of voter turnout. Several studies suggest that low corruption can contribute to high voter turnout. The literature suggests that citizens in high corruption environments do not vote because they believe that they cannot change the corrupt environment. In this context, corruption has been identified as an important factor in weakening democracy. This study examines the influence of the risk of corruption, education, and social inequality on voter turnout in Portuguese local elections. Specifically, fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis is used to determine whether these factors (individually or in combination) are necessary or sufficient conditions for high or low voter turnout in the elections of Portuguese municipal governments.

Keywords

Voter turnout; corruption; education; inequality; fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis

JEL classification

P37 Legal Institutions • Illegal Behavior

K14 Criminal Law

This work was supported by the Foundation for Science and Technology - UIDB/00443/2020, Centre for Interdisciplinary Research on Justice