

Impact of different sugary beverages on thirst

Pedro Carvalho* 1, Mónica Sousa 2, Renata Barros 1, Pedro Moreira 1,3, Patrícia Padrão 1, Vitor Hugo Teixeira 1,3

1 Faculdade de Ciências da Nutrição e Alimentação, Universidade do Porto, Portugal

2 Faculdade de Desporto, Universidade do Porto, Portugal

3 Centro Investigação em Atividade Física e Lazer, Universidade do Porto, Portugal

* pedrocarvalho@fcna.up.pt

Background and Objectives:

Sugary beverages are frequently considered less effective than water in satisfaction of thirst, but a direct link between sugar and thirst has never been described. The few studies that analyzed the impact of different sugary beverages on thirst sensation are very inconclusive and doesn't allow to draw any connection between the amount or even the presence of sugar in a beverage and a positive impact on thirst. Sugary beverages are also believed to interfere in energy compensation mechanisms. So, the aim of this study is determine the impact of sugary beverages in thirst sensation and in physiological parameters involved in their regulation and evaluate their effect on energy and fluid intake throughout the day.

Methods:

32 subjects (15 women), mean age of 22.3 ± 1.97 with BMI between 18.5 - 25 kg/m², were included in a crossover clinical trial at the same day of 4 consecutive weeks. A standardized breakfast was served at arrival and 1 hour after, 330ml of Water, Non-Fat Milk, Orange Juice and Iced Tea were ingested. A standardized lunch was served 2h30 after preload

Thirst, desire to drink and mouth dryness were measured at baseline and every 30 minutes until the end of lunch.

Glycaemia, plasmatic sodium and osmolality were measured at the beginning and at the end of protocol.

Ad libitum water intake at lunch was measured, and a food diary was taken to participants to record all food and fluid intake until 00.00 that day.

м

Ice

Та

Wa

Mi

Or

Table 1. Energy, macronutrient and chemical composition of the 330ml preloads

	Preload	Energy	Carbohydrates	Sugars	Protein	Fat	Energy Density	рΗ	Osmolality	Sodium
		kcal	g	g	g	g	kcal/g		mOsm/Kg	mEq/L
	Water	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.1	0	0.18
	Non Fat Milk	115.5	16.2	16.2	10.9	0.66	0.35	7.0	278	172.7
ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier:	Orange Juice	145.2	34.0	27.7	1.98	0.07	0.44	4.0	607	154
NCT01770327	Iced Tea	105.6	25,4	25,4	0	0	0.32	4.0	294	138.8

Results:

Figure 1 - Temporal profile of Thirst Ratings Thirst Sensation (9-point scale)



Thirst Sensation MEN (9-point scale)



A main effect of time (P<0.001) but no effect of beverage (P>0.05) were observed for all motivational ratings. A interaction main beverage*sex in thirst was observed (P = 0.005)

In men a main effect of beverage was noticed (P=0.01) with Milk leading to a non-significant increase in thirst sensation face to Water (P=0.068) and Iced Tea (P=0.053).

Preload	d Wate	er Ingestion	Table 2 -	Table 2 - Water Ingestion at Lunch					
ml			Milk ten	Milk tended to a higher water ingestion					
ter 238 ± 154		at lunch face to Water (P-0.095) and							
lk	k 305 ± 168		Lead Tea						
ange Ju	ice 2	79 ± 118	iced rea						
d Tea 243 ± 111									
ible 3 -	- Variation o	f plasma osi	molality, sodiu	m and glyca	emia for eac	h beverage	9		
eload	load Osmolality (mOsm/Kg)		Sodium (I	mEq/L)	Glycaemia (mg/dl)				
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final			

li li	nitial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final
ter 291.	3 ± 4.52	290.8 ± 3.78	137.1 ± 1.56	137.6 ± 1.97	82.3 ± 6.35	79.2 ± 6.10
k 291.	1 ± 4.49	291.2 ± 3.58	136.8 ± 1.79	137.5 ± 1.34	82.7 ± 4.60	75.7 ± 10.7
nge 291.	0 ± 7.07	289.8 ± 4.87	137.1 ± 1.87	137.7 ± 1.76	83.4 ± 5.38	74.6 ± 9.70
I Tea 290.	0 ± 4.64	289.9 ± 3.50	136.8 ± 1.68	137.5 ± 1.95	82.4 ± 4.78	76.3 ± 9.62

Osmolality values didn't differ and no differences between beverages occurred Sodium values increased but no differences between beverages were found

Glycaemia decreased but more pronouncedly in sugary beverages than in water

Table 4 – Energy, sugar and caloric beverages intake for each beverage								
Preload	Energy	Sugar	Caloric Beverages	No differences				
	kcal	g	ml	hetween heverages				
Water	1334 ± 433	67.8 ± 45	353 ± 322	between beverages				
Milk	1455 ± 541	69.9 ± 49.7	328 ± 279	were observed for				
Orange Juice	1310 ± 460	71.6 ± 42.7	396 ± 338	any of these				
lced Tea	1235 ± 488	57.5 ± 25.5	304 ± 261	parameters				

Conclusions:

. Milk revealed a tendency to higher water intake in a subsequent meal and to an increase of thirst sensation in men

. Osmolality and sodium did not differ between beverages

. Energy, sugar and caloric beverages intake throughout day did not differ between beverages

Keywords:

Thirst, sugar, milk, orange juice, iced tea



at Lunch

PO2449