Preparation and characterization of carbon molecular sieve membranes based on resorcinol–formaldehyde resin
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Abstract

Carbon molecular sieve membranes (CMSM) were prepared on α-alumina supports by carbonization of a resorcinol–formaldehyde resin loaded with boehmite. Two series of carbon membranes produced at 500 ºC and 550 ºC carbonization end temperatures were prepared. The influence of the carbonization end temperature on the structure, morphology and performance of the membranes was examined by scanning electron microscopy, thermogravimetric analysis, CO₂ adsorption and permeation to N₂, O₂, He, H₂ and CO₂ at temperatures from 25 ºC to 120 ºC. SEM photographs showed carbon membranes with a thin and very uniform layer and a thickness of ca. 3 μm. Carbon dioxide adsorption isotherms revealed that all the produced carbon membranes have a well-developed microporous structure. Nevertheless, the membranes carbonized at 550 ºC have more ultramicropores and a narrower pore size distribution. The permeability of CMSM prepared at this temperature surpasses the Robeson upper bound for polymeric membranes, especially regarding ideal selectivities of pairs O₂/N₂ (O₂ permeation rate: 9.85x10⁻¹⁰ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ and ideal selectivity: > 11.5), H₂/N₂ (H₂ permeation rate: 5.04x10⁻⁸ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ and ideal selectivity: > 586) and He/N₂ (He permeation rate: 4.68x10⁻⁸ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ and ideal selectivity: > 544).

1. Introduction

Carbon molecular sieve membranes have emerged as promising candidates for gas separation applications because of their attractive characteristics such as superior thermal resistance, chemical stability in corrosive environments, high permeabilities, as well as excellent selectivities compared to polymeric membranes.
Carbon membranes are prepared by carbonization of polymeric precursors under controlled inert atmosphere [4,5]; the polymeric precursor should withstand high temperature treatment without much shrinkage [6] and should have a high carbon yield [1]. After the carbonization step, CMSM present an amorphous nanoporous skeleton [6,7]; Fig. 1 shows an HR-TEM photo of a carbon molecular sieve membrane showing no ordered structural building units [8].

CMSM have a slit-like pore structure, which provides a unique combination of micropore (0.7–2 nm) and ultramicropore (less than 0.7 nm) networks [9,10]. The larger pores are responsible for sorption and ultramicropores are accountable for the molecular sieving mechanism since they approach the molecular dimensions of diffusing gas molecules and consequently allow the passage of smaller species of a gas mixture and obstruct the larger ones [2,5]. The exceptional gas separation performance of CMSM is made possible due to the combination of this molecular sieving trans-port with a solution–diffusion mechanism [4,6,11].

Some parameters such as carbonization conditions (heating rate, end temperature, soaking time and gas atmosphere) and pre-/post- treatment conditions (thermostabilization, oxidation and chemical vapor deposition) determine the microstructure and gas permeance properties of the carbon molecular sieve membranes [12–15]. But above all, polymer precursor has a crucial function in determining the final structure of the carbon membranes since different polymer precursors carbonized in the same conditions lead to carbon membranes with different properties [2,16].

Research efforts have been focused on carbon molecular sieve membranes for gas separation obtained from the carbonization of various polymeric precursors such as polyimides [15–21], cellulose [22,23], polyacrylonitrile [24], poly(furfuryl alcohol) [25,26] and phenolic resins [4,8,27,28]. Nevertheless, the search for ways to produce carbon membranes with excellent separation proper-ties and stability, without losing the economical processability of polymeric membranes, still presents a major challenge in this field.

Resorcinol-formaldehyde resin (Fig. 2) makes an excellent precursor material for the production of CMSM due to its considerable fixed-carbon yield, high inherent purity and low cost [29–32].

However, very few studies have been reported on the production of carbon molecular sieve membranes from resorcinol-formaldehyde resin. Tanaka et al. [33] prepared microporous carbon membranes on a porous α-alumina support by a partial carbonization of a resorcinol-formaldehyde resin for pervaporation applications. Dong et al. [34] prepared microporous carbon membranes on α-alumina supports carbonizing resorcinol–formaldehyde polymer precursor and quaternary ammonium compounds (tetramethylammonium bromide and tetrapropylammonium) for dehydration of water/ethanol and water/isopropanol mixtures by pervaporation. Yoshimune et al. [35] obtained highly mesoporous carbon membranes by carbonizing sol–gel derived mesoporous resorcinol-
formaldehyde membranes.

In general, the methods described in the literature to obtain supported carbon membranes are complex and the coating–carbonization cycle must be repeated several times to achieve crack-free CMSM, which needs time and special care. Only a few researchers have reported the development of defect-free membranes by a single dipping–drying–carbonization step [1,4,8, 36-40]. The addition of boehmite particles with needle shape to the CMSM precursor recently proved to be effective for producing crack-free supported membranes in a single dipping–drying carbonization step. Boehmite (γ-AlO(OH)) is an aluminum oxide hydroxide, and it has been recently used by our research team to prepare carbon molecular sieve membranes [4,8]. During carbonization, boehmite nanoparticles dehydrate and Al2O3 nanowires are formed and homogeneously distributed in the carbon matrix. Teixeira et al. [8] prepared composite carbon membranes from a Resol phenolic resin loaded with boehmite nanoparticles in a single coating–drying–carbonization step. The composite carbon membranes obtained exhibited high permeability to C3H6 and considerable C3H6/C3H8 ideal selectivity, well above the state-of-the-art plot for polymeric membranes for this separation. O2/N2, He/N2 and CO2/N2 ideal selectivities of 5, 34 and 30, respectively, were achieved. In this study, the boehmite nanoparticles' key role was identified: these needle-shaped particles control the polymeric precursor rheology.

This work proposes the incorporation of low cost nanoparticles (boehmite) in a low cost resorcinol–formaldehyde resin to prepare composite carbon molecular sieve membranes in a single dipping–drying–carbonization step. Defect-free supported carbon membranes were prepared successfully and reproducibly at different carbonization end temperatures of 500 ºC (CMSM 500) and 550 ºC (CMSM 550). Dry films of the composite top layer were prepared, and morphological characterization of the material was performed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA). Pore size distributions were obtained from the adsorption equilibrium isotherms of carbon dioxide at 0 ºC. Permeation experiments were performed to assess the permeability toward N2, O2, CO2, He and H2 as well as the ideal selectivities for separations of industrial relevance.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

A resorcinol–formaldehyde resin, used as precursor, was provided by Continental Portugal. N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) was supplied by Acros
Organics. Boehmite nanoparticles (10% Boehmite solution, particle size 8–20 nm) were supplied by Kawaken Fine Chemicals Co. Ltd. The α-alumina tubular supports were purchased from Inopor. Non-porous alumina tubes were bought from Omega Engineering Limited. The permanent gases were supplied by Air Liquide (99.999% pure).

2.2. Tubular ceramic supports preparation

The ends of the porous Al2O3 supports were attached to non-porous Al2O3 tubes and sealed with a glass sealant at 1150 °C. The supports have a mean pore size of 200 nm (located in the outer part of the tube), an external diameter of 10 mm and a length of 70 mm. An effective length of approximately 50 mm was left for dip-coating.

2.3. Preparation of carbon molecular sieve membranes

Resorcinol–formaldehyde resin was diluted in NMP to prepare a 15 wt% resin solution with a viscosity of ca. 0.04 Pa s and a pH of 4.6. A composite coating solution of 14 wt% of resorcinol–formaldehyde resin, 0.5 wt% of boehmite nanoparticles, 0.6 wt% of ethylenediamine monohydrate and 85.6 wt% of NMP was prepared. Ethylenediamine monohydrate was used as a basic catalyst of the polymerization reaction.

Supported membranes were then prepared by dip coating the alumina tubular supports in the coating solution using a vacuum pump. The resorcinol–formaldehyde resin-based membranes were dried in a rotating oven at 70 °C overnight to avoid a quick release of the solvent during the carbonization stage that could damage the carbon matrix, causing cracks or defects. Subsequently, the membranes were left at 90 °C for 7 h.

The carbonization of the precursor was accomplished in a quartz tube (80 mm in diameter and 1.5 m in length) inside a tubular horizontal Termolab TH furnace. To guarantee temperature homogeneity along the quartz tube, the furnace has three separating heating elements controlled by three PID control heating parameters. Fig. 3 gives a schematic overview of the furnace.

The carbonization was performed under N2 atmosphere, flow rate of 170 mL min\(^{-1}\) and a heating rate of 1 °C min\(^{-1}\). Fig. 4 shows the temperature history to prepare the carbon molecular sieve membranes from resorcinol–formaldehyde resin.

First, the temperature was raised from ambient to 110 °C at a rate of 1 °C min\(^{-1}\) and held at this temperature for 30 min; subsequently, the temperature was increased from 110 °C to the desired carbonization end temperature (again at a
heating rate of 1 °C min⁻¹) and held at that temperature for 2 h; afterward, the membranes were allowed to cool to room temperature.

2.4. Scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis

The samples were fixed onto aluminum sample holders with Araldite™ cement, sputter-coated with palladium–gold (Bal–Tec – SCD 050) and observed in a scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Quanta 400FEG).

2.5. Thermogravimetric analysis

TGA were carried out in a Netzsch TG 209 F1 Iris thermogravimetric balance with a resolution of 0.1 mg. It was analyzed the dipping solution used to prepare the CMSM. The sample was previously dried in the oven at 110 °C for 72 h in order to remove most of the solvent. The characteristic curve was determined from 20 °C to 900 °C under N2 atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

2.6. Pore size characterization

The pore size distribution and the porosity volume of the produced CMSM were obtained based on the adsorption equilibrium isotherm of CO2 at 0 °C determined in a magnetic suspension balance as described elsewhere [41].

2.7. Permeation experiments

The permeation properties of the produced CMSM were obtained by probing the membrane with pure gases. Briefly, N2, O2, He, H2 and CO2 were introduced shell side at 0.11–0.15 MPa feed pressure (Horiba Stec, model UR7340) and the permeated flow rate at room pressure was determined by one of the three flow meters (Bronkhorst, ranges: 0–1, 0–10 and 0–100 mL N min⁻¹) [8].

All the results obtained are averages based on the measurements of at least three membrane samples prepared and tested under the same conditions.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis

The morphology and qualitative elemental composition of carbon molecular sieve membranes were determined by scanning electron microscopy and energy
dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), respectively. SEM microphotographs of cross-section of a supported CMSM 550 are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5(a) indicates that two different parts can be distinguished: the top thin layer and the porous alumina support. A defect-free carbon film of ca. 3 μm thickness was uniformly formed on the top of the α-alumina tubular support. Fig. 5(b) shows that Al2O3 nanoparticles were well distributed in the carbon matrix. EDS analysis also revealed a uniform carbon and Al2O3 composition along the layer thickness (data not shown).

3.2. Thermogravimetric analysis

TGA was used to assess the thermal decomposition kinetics and stability of polymer in an inert atmosphere. TGA was performed on the dry dipping solution used for the preparation of the CMSM. The characteristic curve was obtained under N2 atmosphere and is plotted in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6 shows that from room temperature to 175 ºC the composite film loses about 2% of its original weight. This loss is attributed to the release of adsorbed water from the precursor. Between 175 ºC and 350 ºC the sample loses ca. 30% of its original weight, which should be related to the degradation of the resorcinol-formaldehyde resin. It has been reported that at this temperature gases from the amine decomposition generate micropores in the carbonized resorcinol-formaldehyde resin membrane [42]. Between 500 ºC and 800 ºC a lower weight loss of 15% is observed; at 900 ºC the total weight loss is approximately 44%; this weight loss is in accordance with the literature [35].

3.3. Porosity assessment

The adsorption of nitrogen at −196 ºC is the most frequently used technique to assess the microporosity of carbonaceous materials. However, when ultramicroporosity is involved some diffusional limitations occur and adsorption of carbon dioxide at 0 ºC is a good alternative to overcome this problem [43,44]. The adsorption equilibrium isotherms of CO2 at 0 ºC for CMSM 500 and CMSM 550 are plotted in Fig. 7.

Dubinin–Radushkevich (DR) equation is commonly used to describe the adsorption in micropores:

\[
\frac{w}{w_0} = \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{RT \ln(P_0/P)}{E_0} \right)^2 \right]
\]  

(1)
where \( W \) is the micropore volume, \( P \) is the pressure, \( W_0 \) is the total micropore volume, \( E_0 \) is the characteristic energy for adsorption, \( P_0 \) is the vapor pressure of the free liquid, \( R \) is the gas constant and \( T \) is the absolute temperature. However, the DR equation only provides a reasonable description of adsorption in micropores when the characteristic curve obtained from CO\(_2\) adsorption is linear. Recently a more general equation was proposed, the Dubinin–Astakhov (DA) equation:

\[
\frac{W}{W_0} = \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{RT \ln(P_0/P)}{E_0} \right)^n \right]
\]

where \( n \) is an adjustable parameter. DR equation results from DA equation for the particular case of \( n = 2 \).

In the present work, the characteristic curves obtained from the CO\(_2\) adsorption isotherm on CMSM 500 and CMSM 550 are not very linear, indicating that the DR equation could not provide reasonable descriptions. Therefore, micropore volume and the characteristic energy for adsorption were determined by fitting the Dubinin–Astakhov equation to experimental data. Fig. 8 presents the characteristic curve for CMSM 550.

It can be seen that the DA equation with \( n = 2.6 \) fits very well the experimental data. It is important to note that the slope of the plot is related to \( E_0 \) and the intercept is related to \( W_0 \). Table 1 presents a summary of the structural parameters for the studied samples. Generally, empiric correlations developed by Stoeckli are used to estimate the mean pore width. However, Stoeckli equation can only be used when the DR equation applies. For that reason, the mean pore width in the present work was determined by a weighted average. For CMSM 550, the micropore volume of 0.40 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) is slightly higher when compared with other reported values \([4,21,41,45,46]\). However, the mean pore width (obtained by a weighted average) has the usual value found for carbon molecular sieves \([4,45,46]\). For CMSM 500, the mean pore width (obtained by a weighted average) is a little higher when compared with other values in the literature \([4,8,45,46]\).

Pore size distribution for all carbon molecular sieve membranes was obtained using the method proposed by Do et al. \([43,47]\) for the determination of micropore size distribution in carbonaceous materials. Figs. 9 and 10 show the pore size distribution obtained for CMSM 550 and CMSM 500, respectively.

It can be seen that the studied carbon membranes present ultramicropores (0.3–0.7 nm range) and larger micropores (0.7–1 nm). However, CMSM 500 have a large number of micropores with larger dimensions when compared to CMSM
550. On the other hand, CMSM 550 have a large number of micropores with narrower pore size distributions.

These small changes in the number and size of both ultra-micropores and larger micropores influence the permeability and permselectivity performance of both membranes, as will be shown in Section 3.4.

3.4. Single gas permeation experiments

The permeance of the supported CMSM obtained at 500 °C and 550 °C was assessed for N2 (0.364 nm), O2 (0.346 nm), He (0.260 nm), H2 (0.290 nm) and CO2 (0.335 nm) – the values in brackets correspond to the kinetic diameter of the gases [48].

The produced CMSM were exposed to the room conditions for 6 days. Afterward, the samples were heated at various temperatures (140 °C, 160 °C and 200 °C) for 2 h under N2 atmosphere with a heating rate of 0.7 °C min−1. The feed pressure was varied between 0.11 MPa and 0.15 MPa while the permeate was kept at ca. 0.10 MPa (atmospheric pressure). Gas permeation experiments were carried out at 25–120 °C.

The effect of carbonization end temperature on the permeability of two sets of resorcinol–formaldehyde carbon membranes is summarized in Fig. 11.

It can be concluded that the devised preparation process originates membranes with very similar permeation properties. Moreover, the samples carbonized at higher end temperature (CMSM 550) have generically smaller gas permeation rate; for example, the permeability to N2 became around 3 times smaller when compared to CMSM 500 samples. This can be attributed to the ultramicropores shrinkage [49,50]. CMSM 500 also have a larger mean pore width, ℓ¼ 0.68 nm compared to CMSM 550, ℓ¼ 0.58 nm (Table 1). However, CMSM 550 actually have a larger volume of ultramicropores (comparing Figs. 9 and 10), which gives He a higher permeance in CMSM 550 than in CMSM 500.

The performance of a membrane toward a separation is characterized by the permeability to the target species as well as the corresponding selectivities. Under all tested conditions, it was observed that the best compromise between permeability and selectivity was achieved for the membranes carbonized at 550 °C, activated at 140 °C and measured at 120 °C. Therefore, these results will be further discussed here.

Fig. 12, Table 2 and Fig. 13, Table 3 show the permeances as a function of the feed pressure for CMSM 500 and CMSM 550, respectively.

The permeance toward all gases is pressure-dependent: Nishiyama et al. [42] and Lagorsse et al. [45] reported that this behavior seems to become more evident as the intensity of the adsorbate/adsorbent interactions increases. Since CO2 shows a
more pronounced permeance increase with pressure, CO2 should have more affinity to the resorcinol-formaldehyde based carbon membranes than the other gases.

The effect of temperature on the permeance of CMSM 550 to the probing gases is illustrated in Fig. 14.

It can be seen that membrane permeance increases as the temperature increases. This reveals that the gas transport through the carbon molecular sieve membranes is an activated diffusion process, as expected for a molecular sieve mechanism [2]. From the change of CMSM permeance with temperature, the apparent activation energy can be estimated according to the following Arrhenius equation:

\[
\ln(P) = -\frac{E_a}{RT} + \ln \left( \frac{D_0}{RT} \right)
\]

where \(D_0\) is a pre-exponential factor, \(E_a\) is the apparent activation energy, \(P\) is the permeability, \(R\) is the gas constant and \(T\) is the absolute temperature.

The estimated apparent activation energies for O2, He, H2 and CO2 are 17, 7, 10.8 and 18.2 kJ/mol, respectively. These values are relatively close to other values reported in literature [51]. The apparent activation energy for N2 was not calculated because permeation data are just available for temperatures of 100 ºC and above (see Fig. 14), and therefore there were not enough data to make the corresponding Arrhenius plot.

Ideal selectivities obtained for both carbon molecular sieve membrane sets are shown in Table 4.

It can be seen that ideal selectivity for all gas pairs increases significantly when the membrane carbonization end temperature increases from 500 ºC to 550 ºC. Small differences observed in pore size distribution and mean pore width are very important and strongly influence the diffusion of molecules with closer sizes such as N2, O2 and CO2.

The obtained permeabilities and ideal selectivities were inserted into the semi-empirical plots devised by Robeson in 2008 [52]. The permeability is expressed in Barrer, which equals to \(3.35 \times 10^{-16}\) mol m m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) Pa\(^{-1}\). Figs. 15–18 illustrate the upper bound limits for O2/N2, He/N2, H2/N2 and CO2/N2, respectively.

CMSM 550 showed promising results for the separation of O2/N2 (permeability: 8.7 Barrer; and ideal selectivity: 411.5), H2/N2 (permeability: 445.6 Barrer; and ideal selectivity: 4586) and He/N2 (permeability: 413.8 Barrer; and ideal selectivity: 4544). Finally, Fig. 19 compares these results with permeation data of CMSM produced from other low cost precursors (phenol-formaldehyde resins).

It can be concluded that CMSM 550 exhibit higher ideal selectivities toward
O2/N2, He/N2 and H2/N2 and higher permeabilities toward H2 and He when compared to similar studies [1,4,8,36,37,53–57]. However, lower permeabilities were obtained toward O2 [36,53,54,56]

4. Conclusions

Carbon molecular sieve membranes were successfully prepared in a single dipping–drying–carbonization sequence. Membranes with reproducible properties were prepared from resorcinol–formaldehyde resin, a low cost precursor, loaded with boehmite nanoparticles. The effect of the carbonization end temperature was assessed and better separation properties were found for sample CMSM 550, carbonized in an inert atmosphere at 550 °C. For improving their permeation stability, membranes were contacted with ambient air for 6 days and activated at 140 °C under N2 atmosphere. Carbon molecular sieve membranes carbonized at 550 °C end temperature showed a large number of micropores with a narrower pore-size distribution and much higher ideal selectivities and relatively similar gas permeation rates than those produced at 500 °C.

The Robeson upper bound for polymeric membranes was overtaken by CMSM 550, regarding O2/N2 (O2 permeation rate: $9.85 \times 10^{-10}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ and ideal selectivity: $>11.5$), H2/N2 (H2 permeation rate: $5.04 \times 10^{-8}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ and ideal selectivity: $>586$) and He/N2 (He permeation rate: $4.68 \times 10^{-8}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ and ideal selectivity: $>544$) separations. CMSM 550 are superior to many reported carbon membranes produced from other low cost precursors, indicating that CMSM produced from resorcinol–formaldehyde resin have potential for gas separation.
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Fig. 1. HRTEM image of a composite carbon molecular sieve membrane derived from phenolic resin incorporated with ceramic particles of boehmite (carbonized at 550 °C) [24]

Fig. 2. Structure of a resorcinol–formaldehyde resin.

Fig. 3. Schematic overview of the furnace setup.
Fig. 4. Temperature history to prepare carbon molecular sieve membranes from resorcinol–formaldehyde resin. End temperature: 550 ºC.

Fig. 5. SEM photographs of one-coated resorcinol–formaldehyde based carbon membrane carbonized at 550 ºC: (a) cross section; (b) surface view.
Fig. 6. Thermogravimetric analysis of composite dipping solution containing 14 wt% of resin and 0.5 wt% boehmite nanoparticles used to prepare CMSM.

Fig. 7. Adsorption equilibrium isotherm of CO₂ at 0 °C for CMSM 500 and CMSM 550.
Fig. 8. CO$_2$ characteristic curve for CMSM 550 at 0 ºC (points experimental data; solid line DA fitting).

Fig. 9. Micropore size distribution for CMSM 550.
Fig. 10. Micropore size distribution for CMSM 500.

Fig. 11. Permeability as a function of kinetic diameter of gas molecules for two sets of supported carbon membranes obtained at different end carbonization temperatures.
Fig. 12. Gases permeances as a function of feed pressure for CMSM 500. Membranes were activated at 140 °C for 2 h under N₂ atmosphere before permeation.

Fig. 13. Gases permeances as a function of feed pressure for CMSM 550. Membranes were activated at 140 °C for 2 h under N₂ atmosphere before permeation.
Fig. 14. Gases permeances as a function of temperature for CMSM 550. Membranes were activated at 140 °C for 2 h under N2 atmosphere before permeation.
Fig. 15. Gas permeation results for O2/N2 in CMSM 500 and CMSM 550 and comparison with the respective upper bound plot.

Fig. 16. Gas permeation results for He/N2 in CMSM 500 and CMSM 550 and comparison with the respective upper bound plot.
Fig. 17. Gas permeation results for H$_2$/N$_2$ in CMSM 500 and CMSM 550 and comparison with the respective upper bound plot.

Fig. 18. Gas permeation results for CO$_2$/N$_2$ in CMSM 500 and CMSM 550 and comparison with the respective upper bound plot.
Fig. 19. Robeson upper limits and comparison with pure gas permeation results obtained with low cost phenol–formaldehyde resins derived carbon membranes. All selectivities are relative to N2.
Table 1 Structural parameters for carbon molecular sieve membranes carbonized at 500 °C and 550 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CMSM 500</th>
<th>CMSM 550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_0$ (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_0$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$ (nm)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Permeance properties as a function of the pressure difference for CMSM 500. Membranes were activated at 140 °C for 2 h under N2 atmosphere before permeation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Permeance (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>$2.465 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>$1.562 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$1.403 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Below the detection limit.

Table 3 Permeance properties as a function of the pressure difference for CMSM 550. Membranes were activated at 140 °C for 2 h under N2 atmosphere before permeation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Permeance (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>$&lt; 8.530 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>$1.076 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$8.173 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Below detection limit.
Table 4 Ideal selectivities for CMSM 500 and CMSM 550. Membranes were activated at $140 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h under N2 atmosphere before experiments were performed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ideal selectivity</th>
<th>$\text{O}_2/\text{N}_2$</th>
<th>$\text{He}/\text{N}_2$</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2/\text{N}_2$</th>
<th>$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMSM500</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>221.0</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSM550</td>
<td>$&gt;11.5$</td>
<td>$&gt;544.0$</td>
<td>$&gt;586.0$</td>
<td>$&gt;23.3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>