



FMUP FACULDADE DE MEDICINA
UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO

MESTRADO INTEGRADO EM MEDICINA

2021/2022

Elsa Melanie dos Santos Nhaguilunguane
Perception of the risk associated with drug use in patients with
history of cannabis induced psychosis

MARÇO, 2022

FMUP

Elsa Melanie dos Santos Nhaguilunguane

Perception of the risk associated with drug use in patients with
history of cannabis induced psychosis

Mestrado Integrado em Medicina

Área: Psiquiatria e Saúde Mental

Tipologia: Dissertação

Trabalho efetuado sob a Orientação de:
Professora Doutora Maria Augusta Vieira Coelho

Trabalho organizado de acordo com as normas da revista:
Drug and Alcohol Dependence

MARÇO, 2022

FMUP

Eu, Elsa Melanie dos Santos Nhaquilunguone, abaixo assinado, nº mecanográfico 201607353, estudante do 6º ano do Ciclo de Estudos Integrado em Medicina, na Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, declaro ter atuado com absoluta integridade na elaboração deste projeto de opção.

Neste sentido, confirmo que **NÃO** incorri em plágio (ato pelo qual um indivíduo, mesmo por omissão, assume a autoria de um determinado trabalho intelectual, ou partes dele). Mais declaro que todas as frases que retirei de trabalhos anteriores pertencentes a outros autores, foram referenciadas, ou redigidas com novas palavras, tendo colocado, neste caso, a citação da fonte bibliográfica.

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 25/03/2022

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Elsa Melanie dos Santos Nhaquilunguone

NOME

Elsa Melanie dos Santos Nhaguilunguane

NÚMERO DE ESTUDANTE

E-MAIL

201607353

Melymelanie99@gmail.com

DESIGNAÇÃO DA ÁREA DO PROJECTO

Psiquiatria e saúde mental

TÍTULO DISSERTAÇÃO/MONOGRAFIA (riscar o que não interessa)

Perception of the risk associated with drug use in patients with history of cannabis induced psychosis

ORIENTADOR

Maria Augusta Vieira Coelho

COORDINADOR (se aplicável)

ASSINALE APENAS UMA DAS OPÇÕES:

É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO INTEGRAL DESTES TRABALHOS APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO PARCIAL DESTES TRABALHOS (INDICAR, CASO TAL SEJA NECESSÁRIO, Nº MÁXIMO DE PÁGINAS, ILUSTRAÇÕES, GRÁFICOS, ETC.) APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.	<input type="checkbox"/>
DE ACORDO COM A LEGISLAÇÃO EM VIGOR, (INDICAR, CASO TAL SEJA NECESSÁRIO, Nº MÁXIMO DE PÁGINAS, ILUSTRAÇÕES, GRÁFICOS, ETC.) NÃO É PERMITIDA A REPRODUÇÃO DE QUALQUER PARTE DESTES TRABALHOS.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 25/03/2022

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Elsa Melanie dos Santos Nhaguilunguane

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my parents (Elsa and Rafael) for the patience, support and motivation given during this amazing journey. Also, I would like to thank my siblings (Karen and Emmanuel) for their understanding and for always having my back. I dedicate this work to my family, who always believed in me, even when I did not.

Special thanks to Tiago and Renalda, who effortlessly helped me with linguistic and grammar corrections.

Finally, I would like to thank my thesis supervisor, Prof. Dr. Maria Augusta, for her patience, guidance, and dedication during this study.

Title: Perception of the risk associated with drug use in patients with history of cannabis induced psychosis

Authors: Elsa Melanie¹, Maria Augusta Vieira-Coelho^{1,2}

1. Department of Biomedicine-Pharmacology and Therapeutics Unit,
Faculty of Medicine-University of Porto
2. Psychiatry and Mental Health Clinic, Hospital de São João, Porto,
Portugal

Corresponding author:

Maria Augusta Vieira Coelho, MD, PHD

Department of Biomedicine-Pharmacology and Therapeutics Unit, Faculty of
Medicine-University of Porto

Alameda Prof. Hernani Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, PORTUGAL

Phone: (+351) 22 551 3600, Email: mavc@med.up.pt

Declarations of interest: none

Abstract

Background: The discussion on the legalisation of consumption of cannabis have been a recurrent theme in multiple debates and have raised concern about the increasing numbers in consumption and related harms. This study aims to analyse the patient's perception of the risk associated with cannabis consumption in comparison with other drugs. Precisely, to analyse whether patients with cannabis induced psychosis consider cannabis a health risk drug.

Method: We conducted an exploratory qualitative study. Twenty-one patients diagnosed with cannabis induced psychosis, followed at the psychiatric service of Centro Hospital Universitário de São João, from September 2021 to March 2022 were included. Data was collected using a questionnaire designed by the authors.

Results: The mean age of the sample is 37.4 years with 92,3% of the participants being male. Within the sample, 53,8% have active consumption of cannabis. 42,9% consumed cannabis as weed, 42,9% consumed hashish and 14,3% weed plus hashish. Cannabis was classified by 76,2% of patients as a low-medium health risk drug, when compared to other drugs. Heroin was classified by 95,2% of the patients as a high-risk drug, 90,5% classified alcohol with medium-high risk and 95,2% classified ecstasy as medium-high risk drug.

Conclusion: Our findings expose that patient with a previous cannabis induced psychosis, still consider cannabis a low to medium risk drug, when compared to other drugs. In fact, 47,6% maintain active consumption. This evidence the need for early and continued intervention, after an episode of cannabis induced psychosis, to improve the patients' insight and perception of risk.

Keywords: illicit drug, cannabis, cannabis induced psychosis

Resumo

Contexto: A discussão sobre a legalização do consumo da cannabis tem sido um tema recorrente em múltiplos debates, e fez aumentar a preocupação com o aumento do consumo e dos efeitos adversos associados. O presente estudo tem por objetivo analisar a perceção dos consumidores de cannabis, sobre risco associado ao consumo desta droga, comparando com outras drogas. De um modo específico, pretende-se analisar se os doentes com psicose induzida por cannabis, consideram a cannabis uma droga de risco para a saúde.

Método: Foi realizado um estudo qualitativo de carácter exploratório. Vinte e um pacientes diagnosticados com psicose induzida por cannabis, seguidos no serviço de psiquiatria do Centro Hospital Universitário de São João, de setembro de 2021 a março de 2022 foram incluídos. Os dados foram obtidos com recurso a um questionário elaborado pelas autoras do estudo.

Resultados: A idade média da amostra é de 37.4 anos, com 92,3% de participantes do sexo masculino. Nesta amostra, 53,8% dos participantes têm consumo ativo de cannabis. Cerca de 42,9% consumiu cannabis como erva, 42,9% consumiu em forma de haxixe e 14,3% consumiu na forma de erva mais haxixe. Cannabis foi classificada por 76,2% da amostra como uma droga de baixo-médio risco para a saúde, comparando com outras drogas. Heroína foi classificada como uma droga de alto risco por 95,2% dos participantes, 90,5% classificaram o álcool como droga de risco médio-alto e 95,2% classificaram ecstasy como uma droga de risco médio-alto.

Conclusão: O nosso estudo evidencia que pacientes com episódio prévio de psicose induzida por cannabis, ainda consideram a cannabis uma droga de baixo-médio risco, em comparação com outras drogas. De facto, 47,6% ainda mantêm consumo ativo.

Este estudo evidencia a necessidade de intervenções precoces e contínuas, após um episódio de psicose induzida por cannabis, de modo a melhorar a perceção de risco nestes pacientes.

Palavras-chave: droga ilícita, cannabis, psicose induzida por cannabis

1. Introduction

Cannabis is a product of natural origin and the most widely used drug in the world (UNODC United Nations Office of Drug and Crime, 2021).

In many countries, cannabis is considered an illicit drug, however some social groups see this substance as a drug less associated with abuse and addiction, induced by social and cultural ideologies. The discussion on the legalisation of this drug is a common subject in multiple debates and have raised concerns about increasing numbers in consumption and related harms.

In Europe, cannabis consumption is five times higher than other drugs, with highest prevalence among young male adults aged 15 to 34 years (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiciton, 2021). The popularity of this drug is rising, driven by domestic production (World Health Organization (WHO), 2016) and easier accessibility. During the covid-19 pandemic, the prevalence of consumption remained stable at high levels, as drug markets adapted to the restrictions and lockdowns, using online platforms, social media, with an increase in domestic cultivation and production of synthetic cannabinoids (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2021).

Across social media, adolescents are exposed to misinformation about the risks associated with cannabis use, due to the emerging of pro-cannabis messaging (Mariani and Williams, 2021).

Cannabis contains many cannabinoids, with THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) and CBD (cannabidiol) being the most important. Both THC and CBD are present in cannabis mainly as acids that are decarboxylated when cannabis is heated (Pertwee, 2006). THC is the active ingredient of this drug and activates the cannabinoid receptors CB1 on the brain and CB2 widely distributed in the immune system and peripheral tissues. This ingredient can modulate areas that control motivation, memory, and attention, being responsible for most of the psychoactive effects of this drug.

There are several ways of cannabis consumption, and it can be available as weed (marijuana, herb) or resin (hashish). This drug is used for medical, recreational or leisure purposes. Cannabis is usually inhaled/smoked or taken orally. When smoked, the effects last less than two hours and can have a duration of 8 hours, if eaten. The acute use of this drug can cause vomiting, breathlessness, hypertension, and tachycardia. Cannabis is also associated with significant psychiatric adverse effects such as anxiety and panic attacks (Grotenhermen, 2003). There have been reports of chronic psychiatric effects such as cognitive deficits, addiction, withdrawal syndrome and amotivational syndrome.

The early exposure to cannabis may cause disruption of maturational events within the endocannabinoid system (Rubino and Parolaro, 2014). Several studies have demonstrated the association between cannabis and increased risk of psychotic symptoms including schizophrenia. Consumption of this drug can cause acute psychosis associated with cannabis intoxication, psychosis that last beyond the acute intoxication and persistent psychosis (Wilkinson et al., 2014). Although there is an intersubject variation to the psychotic effects of this drug, the association between the frequency of use and the risk of psychosis has been proven, with high-potency cannabis and synthetic cannabinoids carrying the greatest risk (Murray et al., 2016). In Europe, the use of synthetic cannabinoids is increasing, and the cannabis resin currently sold is more potent than before. The average THC level in the resin is between 20% and 28%, almost twice the herbal cannabis (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2021). Nevertheless, the use of products with low THC is becoming frequent.

The reduction of cannabis consume can delay or prevent some cases of psychosis (Large et al., 2011) but it appears that other factors such as family history, childhood trauma and genetic factors can increase the risk of psychosis.

In Portugal, the use of cannabis for recreational purposes is decriminalised but illegal. It is the most consumed illicit drug, following cocaine, ecstasy, heroin, and amphetamines. The most frequent forms of consumption are resin and

joints with tobacco. The high prevalence of consumption may be associated with easy accessibility (ESPAD Group et al., 2016).

The consumption of cannabis has become a public health concern. In 2019, 53% of the drug users who started outpatient treatment for drug-use related issues, used cannabis as their primary drug, and about 33% of patients in therapeutic communities used cannabis as the primary drug (Serviço de Intervenção nos Comportamentos e nas dependências, 2019). In all Portuguese public hospitals, the number of hospitalisation of patients with psychotic disorders associated with cannabis consumption rose 29.4 times between 2000 to 2015, from 20 to 588 hospitalisations yearly, with a total of 3,233 hospitalisations and an average episode cost of €3500 (Gonçalves-Pinho et al., 2020). Compulsory internment due to the abuse of cannabis is also frequent.

Although there is public health education, wide information and literacy about the risk and harms associated with the use of cannabis among adolescents and adults, it is important to explore how patients perceive those drug risks.

Among adolescents, in the US, a survey evaluated the influence of parental, school, peer factors on perception of risk associated with cannabis use (Mariani and Williams, 2021), but fewer studies have explored the perception of risk associated with drug use among patients with cannabis induced psychosis. Cannabis consumption can lower the perception of risk (Salloum et al., 2018). For this reason, we find relevant to examine how patients with a severe event caused by cannabis consumption rate this drug in comparison to cocaine, heroin, alcohol and ecstasy. In addition, it is crucial to examine if abstinent patients and those with active consumption have different perception of risks. This study aims to analyse the patient's perception of the risks associated with cannabis consumption compared to alcohol and illicit drugs. Precisely, we aim to analyse whether patients with history of cannabis toxic psychosis, with active consumption or abstinent, consider cannabis a drug associated to health risks.

2. Materials and Methods

The authors conducted an exploratory qualitative study. The study took place on the psychiatric service of Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João, and we asked for permission and authorization of the ethics committee of the Hospital. To develop this study, a questionnaire was designed by the study authors. All participants were informed about the study and declared consent to answer the questionnaire. Only participants were allowed to participate. The questionnaire was applied by the study authors, during the consultations, individually and face-to-face. The questions were not previously provided, and we did not repeat any interview. No relationship was established prior to study commencement. The duration of each interview was not monitored and no audio or visual were recorded. Data was analysed with excel software.

2.1 Participant selection

The participants were selected by non-probabilistic purposive sampling and the sample size was not calculated by statistical methods. We selected 21 patients who attended the consultation and data saturation was not discussed.

We included male and female patients aged 18 years or over, with a history of cannabis induced psychosis, followed at the psychiatric service of Centro Hospital Universitário de São João. All patients were living in Portugal during this study.

We excluded patients with an acute psychotic break during the consultation, patients with organic neurological pathology that affects cognitive ability to consent and patients with cannabis use disorder without psychosis.

No patient refused to participate in the study.

2.2 Instruments

The questionnaire is divided into three segments: the first covers the survey of sociodemographic and economic data, the second assesses the pattern of cannabis consumption and the third contains the scale of severity of use.

The research of sociodemographic and economic data was carried out to characterize the sample. For this purpose, the following variables were evaluated: age, gender, nationality, residence, marital status, household, education, employment status and financial status.

Then we assessed the pattern of cannabis consumption. This assessment was carried out by asking questions about the age of onset of use, frequency of use, form of use, as well as the use of other illicit drugs. To assess patients' perceptions of the risk associated with drug use, a risk scale was created, and patients were asked to classify the following drugs: cannabis, heroin, cocaine, ecstasy and alcohol. The scale ranges in score from 0 to 10, with 0 corresponding to a drug with low health risk and 10 to a drug with high health risk. We classified as low risk if the pontuation was between 1 to 3. Pontuation between 4 to 6 was defined as medium risk and high risk was defined if the pontuation varies from 7 to 10.

Finally, to assess the severity of cannabis addiction, the Severity of Dependence Scale developed by the National Centre for Prevention and Information on Cannabis (NCPIC) was applied. This scale is brief, valid, and reliable screen for cannabis dependence among people with psychosis(Hides et al., 2007). The SDS was applied to screen cannabis use disorder and measure of dependence severity.

This scale consists of five questions, whose answers range from 0 to 3. A score of 0 corresponds to "never/ almost never", 1 corresponds to "sometimes", 2 corresponds to "often" and 3 corresponds to "always/ nearly always". In the fifth

question, 0 corresponds to “not difficult”, 1 corresponds to “quite difficult”, 2 corresponds to “very difficult” and 3 to “impossible”.

The sum of the points for the five questions gives a maximum total score of 15 points. Patients with SDS score from 1-3 were classified as low degree of dependence and those whose total score was greater than 3 were classified as patients with a significant degree of dependence. Precisely, SDS score from 4 to 6 was classified as medium degree of dependence and SDS score from 7 to 15 was classified as high degree of dependence. In resume, the higher scores represent the greater dependence status.

We did not apply the scale to patients who had been abstinent for more than 1 month.

3. Results

3.1 Sample description

We have a total of twenty-one patients aged between 24 to 74 years old. The mean age of the sample is 38.5 years, and the male gender is prevalent.

All patients live in Portugal and the majority are Portuguese. Regarding the civil status and household, most of the sample is single, living with a family member and have a high school degree.

A larger number of the patients is not financially independent and live on relatively low incomes, with more than the half being unemployed and only a few having social welfare support system.

3.2 Sociodemographic and economic data

We divided the patients in age groups: 33,3% of the patients are between the age of 24 to 29 years and 23,8% are between 30 to 39 years. At the age group of 40 to 49, we have 28,6% patients and 14,3% have 50 or more years old. The mean age of the sample is 38,5years (Table 1).

Male gender is prevalent with 85,7% of the sample and 14,3% are female.

Within the participants, 90,5% are Portuguese and 9,5% are Brazilians, all living in Portugal. Regarding the civil status, 90,5% of the participants are single, 4,8% are married and 4,8% are divorced. Around 76,2% reside with a family member and 28,3% live alone.

The school degree inquiry shows that 19% have completed elementary school, 66,7% have high school degree, 14,3% are graduated from university or studying at university.

The financial status evaluation shows that 23,8% of the sample is financially independent and around 76,2% is financially dependent, with 28,6% of them having social welfare support system and 71,4% without financial support. More than a half (52,4%) is unemployed, 9,5% is retired for health reasons,

14,3% are employed in a full-time job, 14,3% are working in a part-time regime and 9,5% are students.

3.3 Pattern of cannabis consumption

The age of first use varies from 8 to 25 years. We divided the age of onset in four age groups: 8 years or below, between 10 to 14, 15 to 20 and 21 to 25. The results show that 4,8% used cannabis for the first time before 8 years, around 38,1% of the sample have initiated the use between 10 to 14 years, 38,1% had onset of use between 15 to 20 years and 19% of the sample initiated the consume between 21 to 25 years. The mean age of first cannabis use is 15,9 years (Table 2).

Throughout the sample, 47,6% of the patients have active consumption and 52,4% is abstinent. Within the group with active consumption, 60% have daily consumption of cannabis. The frequency of daily use varied, with 10% reporting once per day, 10% twice per day and 40% three times per day. About 10% of the sample have once per week consumption, 10% consume cannabis at least once per month and 20% consume the drug at least two times per year.

Regarding the form of consumption, 42,9% consumed cannabis as weed/marijuana, 42,9% as hashish and 14,3% of the sample consumed cannabis as weed plus hashish.

When asked about the consumption of other illicit drug, 23,8% declared that have tried other illicit drugs and 76,2% never have consumed or tried other illicit drugs.

3.4 Perception of risk associated with drug use

The evaluation of the patient's perception of the risk associated with the consumption of cannabis, in comparison with other illicit drugs shows that 33,3% consider cannabis a drug with low health risk, 42,9% classifies cannabis as a medium risk drug. To summarize, cannabis was classified by 76,2% of patients as a low-medium health risk drug, when compared to other drugs and 23,8% see cannabis as a high-risk drug (Table 3).

Heroin is seen by 95,2% of the sample as a high-risk drug. Nobody considers this drug a low or medium health risk drug. One patient (4,8%) did not declare his opinion about this drug.

All the participants (100%) consider cocaine as a high health risk drug.

Alcohol is seen by 9,5% of the sample as a low-risk drug. About 23,8% of the sample consider alcohol a medium-risk drug and 66,7% classify it as a high-risk drug.

Ectasy is seen as a medium risk drug by 4,8% of the sample and 90,5% classify it as a high-risk drug. None of the participants classify ectasy as a low-risk drug and one participant (4,8%) did not declare his opinion on this drug.

3.5 Severity of Dependence Score

The analysis of dependence screen with SDS score show that 60% of the sample met criteria for medium-high dependence (20% with medium dependence score and 40% with high dependence score). 40% had SDS score equal or lower than 3, meeting criteria for low cannabis dependence (Table 4).

We then analyzed the association between the SDS score and cannabis risk pontuation given by the patients (Table 5). The results show that 20% of the patients with low degree of dependence consider cannabis a low-risk drug, 10% classify cannabis as a medium-risk and 10% as a high-risk drug. 20% met criteria for medium degree of dependence and classified cannabis as a medium-high risk drug. 40% of the patients have high degree of dependence and see cannabis as a low-medium risk drug.

The SDS score was not applied for abstinent patients, but we did analyze the pontuation given by the abstinent patients about the risk associated with cannabis consumption (Table 6). Around 18,2% of abstinent consider cannabis a low-risk drug. More than half (54,6%) classify cannabis as a medium risk drug and 27,3% consider cannabis a high-risk drug.

4. Discussion

According to previous studies, the male gender outnumbers females for cannabis consumption. In the European Union, men are more likely than women to use illicit drugs and the cannabis experimentation is highest among young male adults aged 15 to 34. In this age group, it is verified the highest percentage of experimentation of cannabis (15.1%) and other illicit drugs (16%) (Balsa et al., 2017).

We evidence that there is an association between psychosis and early age of consumption, as within the sample, the mean age of onset of consumption was 15,9 and all the patients had cannabis induced psychosis. Several studies have reported that the adolescent cannabis use is associated with an increased risk for psychosis later in life (Kiburi et al., 2021) and dependence. In addition, dose and frequency of use are reliable risk factors for psychosis. More than half of this sample have daily consumption of cannabis and studies have shown that cannabis use disorder affects 10% of cannabis users causing dependence. Symptoms of dependence include using cannabis in larger amounts or over a longer period than intended (Jafari and Tang, 2016). The screening for cannabis dependence by SDS score shows reliability as 60% of the sample met criteria for significant cannabis dependence. The SDS score appears to be more relevant when applied to patients with insight and aware of their pattern of consumption and harms associated with this drug use, as in this study, 40% met criteria for high dependence (SDS 8-12) and beside the psychotic event, classify cannabis as a low-medium health risk drug. This finding is expectable, as people with dependence tend to undervalue their dependence and drug abuse. Much of the sample underestimate their own pattern of consumption, describing it as within control but when asked about quitting, they described great difficulty in quitting consumption, which is compatible with cannabis dependence. In contrast, 20% met criteria for medium dependence and classified cannabis as a medium-high risk drug. These patients are probably aware of cannabis consumption risks. Patients with low dependence are more uncertain, as the classification varied from low, medium to high-risk. A larger

number of abstinent patients (81,9%) classify cannabis as medium-high risk drug. This may occur because they recognize cannabis induced psychosis as a major health event and then made effort to quit the consume and remain abstinent.

Regarding the perception of risk associated with cannabis consumption, comparing to other drugs, we evidence that patients with cannabis induced psychosis, abstinent or not, consider cannabis as low to medium risk drug, which is expected, as it reflects the popular perception of cannabis as a non-addictive and harmless drug. This result is in harmony with a previous study, that evidence that cannabis consumption is more probable to begin if there is a perception of low risk and high accessibility (González-Roz et al., 2022).

In contrast, alcohol is seen as more harmful than cannabis, as 90,5% classify it as a medium-high risk drug, which is consistent to studies who evidence the social perception of alcohol as a substance associated with high health risk. In the USA, about seven-in-ten (69%) Americans believe alcohol is more harmful to a person's health than marijuana and if marijuana became as widely available as alcohol, 63% would still believe alcohol to be more harmful to society (Motel Seth, 2015).

Heroin, ecstasy and cocaine were classified by the majority of the sample as a medium to high-risk drug, and none of the participants associated this drug with low health outcomes. This result is congruent with a cross sectional online survey that investigated the people's perception of the harms associated with legal and illicit drug. The survey revealed that of the illegal drugs, heroin, cocaine and ecstasy are considered the most harmful and cannabis the least harmful (Cheeta et al., 2018).

The use of cannabis for recreational and leisure purposes is expanding throughout the European union and world, so it is important to spread more awareness on the harms and health risks associated with drug use. The evaluation of patients' perception of risks associated with drug use can be a good tool to assess the drug situation and the results can help and support the elaboration of public health laws.

We believe that the decriminalization and the easy access of this drug can influence the individuals into thinking that cannabis is natural and a harmless

substance. In addition, we believe that differently to other illicit drug, there is a social and cultural perception and acceptance of cannabis as a low-risk drug, less associated with abuse, dependence, and overdose, that negatively influence the public opinion.

As evidence demonstrates, cannabis is essential but not enough to cause a persistent psychotic disorder and as not everyone who has been exposed to cannabis, develop cannabis use disorder or a psychotic episode, patients tend to see this drug as inoffensive.

We believe preventive interventions are needed among children, adolescents and adults, in order to change this social perception and reduce negative public health consequences. Prevention of social medial and online network's misinformation is also essential.

Moreover, legalization of cannabis use for recreational purposes should be a cautious process due to increase of adulterated cannabis and synthetic cannabinoid with a high risk of psychosis.

Robust studies are needed as the current study has several limitations. The data was self-reported, and the sample size was relatively small at 21 participants. We believe that a larger sample would allow a deeper analysis

5. Conclusion

In this study, patients with a previous episode of cannabis induced psychosis, still consider cannabis a low to medium harm risk drug, when compared to other drugs. In fact, 47,6% maintain active consumption.

These results evidence the need for early and continued intervention after an episode of cannabis induced psychosis, in order to improve the patients' insight and perception of the health risks associated with cannabis use.

6. References

- Balsa, C., Vital, C., Urbano, C., 2017. IV Inquérito Nacional ao Consumo de Substâncias Psicoativas na População Geral, Portugal 2016/17. I relatório final. 2018.
- Cheeta, S., Halil, A., Kenny, M., Sheehan, E., Zamyadi, R., Williams, A.L., Webb, L., 2018. Does perception of drug-related harm change with age? A cross-sectional online survey of young and older people. *BMJ Open* 8, e021109. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021109>
- ESPAD Group, Kraus, L; Håkan, L., Guttormsson, U., Et, A., 2016. ESPAD Report 2015: Results from the European School Survey Project on Alcohol and Other Drugs, ESPAD Report 2015.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiciton, 2021. European Drug Report 2021: Trends and developments. Luxembourg.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2021. Impact of COVID-19 on drug markets, use, harms and drug services in the community and prisons: results from an EMCDDA trendspotter study. Luxembourg.
- Gonçalves-Pinho, M., Bragança, M., Freitas, A., 2020. Psychotic disorders hospitalizations associated with cannabis abuse or dependence: A nationwide big data analysis. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1813>
- González-Roz, A., Aonso-Diego, G., Martínez-Loredo, V., Cuesta, M., Secades-Villa, R., 2022. Effects of Risk Perception and Accessibility on Cannabis Use among Young Population in Spain: Findings from the 2016 National Survey (ESTUDES). *Substance Use and Misuse* 57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2021.1981387>
- Grotenhermen, F., 2003. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of cannabinoids. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-200342040-00003>
- Hides, L., Dawe, S., Young, R.M.D., Kavanagh, D.J., 2007. The reliability and validity of the severity of dependence scale for detecting cannabis dependence in psychosis. *Addiction* 102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01669.x>
- Jafari, S., Tang, T., 2016. Diagnosis and treatment of Marijuana dependence. *British Columbia Medical Journal* 58.
- Kiburi, S.K., Molebatsi, K., Ntlantsana, V., Lynskey, M.T., 2021. Cannabis use in adolescence and risk of psychosis: Are there factors that moderate this relationship? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Substance Abuse*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2021.1876200>
- Large, M., Sharma, S., Compton, M.T., Slade, T., Nielssen, O., 2011. Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis: A systematic meta-analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 68. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.5>
- Mariani, A.C., Williams, A.R., 2021. Perceived risk of harm from monthly cannabis use among US adolescents: National Survey on drug Use

- and Health, 2017. Preventive Medicine Reports 23.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2021.101436>
- Motel Seth, 2015. 6 facts about marijuana | Pew Research Center [WWW Document]. Pew Research Centre.
- Murray, R.M., Quigley, H., Quattrone, D., Englund, A., di Forti, M., 2016. Traditional marijuana, high-potency cannabis and synthetic cannabinoids: increasing risk for psychosis. *World Psychiatry* 15.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20341>
- Pertwee, R.G., 2006. Cannabinoid pharmacology: The first 66 years. *British Journal of Pharmacology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0706406>
- Rubino, T., Parolaro, D., 2014. Cannabis abuse in adolescence and the risk of psychosis: A brief review of the preclinical evidence. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 52.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.07.020>
- Salloum, N.C., Krauss, M.J., Agrawal, A., Bierut, L.J., Grucza, R.A., 2018. A reciprocal effects analysis of cannabis use and perceptions of risk. *Addiction* 113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14174>
- Serviço de Intervenção nos Comportamentos e nas dependências, 2019. Infografia Relatórios Anuais 2019. Lisboa.
- UNODC United Nations Office of Drug and Crime, 2021. World Drug Report 2021 - Drug Market Trends : Cannabis Opioids [WWW Document]. World Drug Report 2021.
- Wilkinson, S.T., Radhakrishnan, R., D'Souza, D.C., 2014. Impact of Cannabis Use on the Development of Psychotic Disorders. *Current Addiction Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-014-0018-7>
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2016. The health and social effects of nonmedical cannabis use. Who.

SUPLEMMENT 1: TABLES

VARIABLE		N (%)
AGE GROUP	24-29	7 (33,3%)
	30-39	5 (23,8%)
	40-49	6 (28,6%)
	≥50	3 (14,3%)
	Mean	38,5
GENDER	Male	18 (85,7%)
	Female	3 (14,3%)
NATIONALITY	PT	19 (90,5%)
	BR	2 (9,5%)
CIVIL STATE	Single	19 (90,5%)
	Married	1 (4,8%)
	Divorced	1 (4,8%)
HOUSEHOLD	Alone	5 (23,8%)
	Family	16 (76,2%)
SCHOOL DEGREE	Elementary	4 (19%)
	Highschool	14 (66,7%)
	University	3 (14,3%)
PROFESSIONAL STATUS	Student	2 (9,5%)
	Part-time	3 (14,3%)
	Full-time	3 (14,3%)
	Unemployed	11 (52,4%)
	Retired	2 (9,5%)
FINANCIAL STATUS	Independent	5 (23,8%)
	Dependent	16 (76,2%)
SOCIAL WELFARE SYSTEM	Yes	6 (28,6%)
	No	15 (71,4%)

TABLE 1- SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC DATA

VARIABLE			N (%)
AGE OF ONSET OF USE	≤8		1 (4,8%)
	10-14		8 (38,1%)
	15-20		8 (38,1%)
	21-25		4 (19%)
	Mean		15,9
ACTIVE CONSUMPTION	Yes		10 (47,6%)
	Abstinent		11 (52,4%)
Frequency of use within the active group (10 patients)	Daily	1x/day	1 (10%)
		2x/day	1 (10%)
		3x/day	4 (40%)
		Total	6 (60%)
	Week	3x/ week	1 (10%)
	Month	1x/month	1 (10%)
	Year	2x/Year	2 (20%)
FORM OF USE	Weed		9 (42,9%)
	Hashish		9 (42,9%)
	Weed and hashish		3 (14,3%)
USE OF OTHER ILLICIT DRUG	Yes		5 (23,8%)
	no		16 (76,2%)

TABLE 2- PATTERN OF CANNABIS CONSUMPTION

DRUG	PONTUATION	N (%)
CANNABIS	Low (1-3)	7 (33,3%)
	Medium (4-6)	9 (42,9%)
	High (7-10)	5 (23,8%)
HEROIN	No opinion	1 (4,8%)
	Low (1-3)	0 (0%)
	Medium (4-6)	0 (0%)
	High (7-10)	20 (95,2%)
COCAIN	Low (1-3)	0 (0%)
	Medium (4-6)	0 (0%)
	High (7-10)	21 (100%)
ALCOHOL	Low (1-3)	2 (9,5%)
	Medium (4-6)	5 (23,8%)
	High (7-10)	14 (66,7%)
ECTASY	No opinion	1 (4,8%)
	Low (1-3)	0 (0%)
	Medium (4-6)	1 (4,8%)
	High (7-10)	19 (90,5%)

TABLE 3- PERCEPTION OF RISK ASSOCIATED WITH DRUG USE

SDS Score	N (%)
LOW (≤ 3)	4 (40%)
Med (4-6)	2 (20%)
High (8-12)	4 (40%)

TABLE 4- SDS SCORE

SDS Score	N (%)	Cannabis risk pontuation
LOW (<3)	2 (20%)	Low
	1 (10%)	Medium
	1 (10%)	High
Med (4-6)	2 (20%)	Medium- High
High (8-12)	4 (40%)	Low - Medium

TABLE 5- ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE SDS SCORE AND CANNABIS RISK

N (%)	Cannabis risk pontuation
2 (18,2%)	Low (3)
6 (54,6%)	Medium (4-6)
3 (27,3%)	High (7-10)

TABLE 6- ABSTINENT PATIENTS AND CANNABIS RISK PONTUATION

SUPPLEMENT 2: COREQ 32 ITEM CHECKLIST

Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity

1. Interviewer/facilitator: Which author/s conducted the interview?

Reported on page 12: The questionnaire was applied by the study authors, during the consultations, individually and face-to face.

2. Credentials: What were the researcher's credentials?

Reported on the cover: Elsa Melanie, medical student; Maria Augusta, MD, PHD

3. Occupation: What was their occupation at the time of the study?

Reported on the cover: Elsa Melanie, medical student; Maria Augusta, MD, PHD

4. Gender: Was the researcher male or female? Female

5. Experience and training: What experience or training did the researcher have?

Reported on the cover: Maria Augusta, MD, PHD

6. Relationship with participants established: Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?

Reported on page 12: No relationship was established prior to study commencement.

7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer: What did the participants know about the researcher?

Reported on page 12: All participants were informed about the study and declared consent to answer the questionnaire.

8. Interviewer characteristics: What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? None

Domain 2: study design

9. Methodological orientation and Theory: What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study?

Reported on Page 12: The authors conducted an exploratory qualitative study.

10. Sampling: How were participants selected?

Reported on Page 12: The participants were selected by non-probabilistic purposive sampling and the sample size was not calculated buy statistical methods.

11. Method of approach: How were participants approached?

Reported on Page 12: The questionnaire was applied by the study authors, during the consultations, individually and face-to face.

12. Sample size: How many participants were in the study?

Reported on Page 12: We selected 21 patients who attended the consultation.

13. Non-participation: How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?

Reported on Page 12: No patient refused to participate in the study

14. Setting of data collection: Where was the data collected?

Reported on Page 12: The study took place on the psychiatric service of Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João.

15. Presence of non- participants: Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?

Reported on page 12: Only participants were allowed to participate.

16. Description of sample: What are the important characteristics of the sample?

Reported on Page 15: We have a total of twenty-one patients aged between 24 to 74 years old. The mean age of the sample is 38.5 years, and the male gender is prevalent.

17. Interview guide: Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors?

Reported on Page 12: The questions were not previously provided

18. Repeat interviews: Were repeat interviews carried out?

Reported on Page 12: We did not repeat any interview.

19. Audio/visual recording: Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?

Reported on Page 12: No audio or visual were recorded

20. Field notes: Were field notes made during and/or after the interview? No

21. Duration: What was the duration of the interviews?

Reported on page 12: The duration of each interview was not monitored.

22. Data saturation: Was data saturation discussed?

Reported on Page 12: data saturation was not discussed.

23. Transcripts returned: Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? No

Domain 3: analysis and findings

24. Number of data coders: How many data coders coded the data?
One

25. Description of the coding tree: Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? No

26. Derivation of themes: Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? Yes

27. Software: What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?

Reported on Page 12: Data was analysed with excel software.

28. Participant checking: Did participants provide feedback on the findings? No

29. Quotations presented: Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? No

30. Data and findings consistent: Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?

Reported on page 19: Regarding the perception of risk associated with cannabis consumption, comparing to other drugs, we evidence that patients with cannabis induced psychosis, abstinent or not, consider cannabis as low to medium risk drug, which is expected, as it reflects the popular perception of cannabis as a non-addictive and harmless drug. This result is in harmony with a previous study, that evidence that cannabis consumption is more probable to begin if there is a perception of low risk and high accessibility (González-Roz et al., 2022).

31. Clarity of major themes: Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?

Reported on page 20: As illustrated in this study, patients with cannabis induced psychosis consider cannabis a low to medium risk drug, when compared to other drugs and even after the psychotic episode, 47,6% maintain active consumption. As expected, people who use cannabis have lower perception of the risk and harms.

32. Clarity of minor themes: Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?

Reported on page 18: We evidence that there is an association between psychosis and early age of consumption, as within the sample, the mean age of onset of consumption was 15,9 and all the patients had cannabis induced psychosis. Several studies have reported that the adolescent cannabis use is associated with an increased risk for psychosis later in life(Kiburi et al., 2021) and dependence.

SUPLEMENT 3: QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Dados sociodemográficos

Qual é a data de nascimento? __/__/__

Qual é o seu género?

- ☐ M__
- ☐ F__
- ☐ Outro__

Qual é a sua nacionalidade? _____

Qual é o seu local de residência? _____

Qual é o seu estado civil?

- ☐ Solteiro (a) _____
- ☐ Casado (a) _____ ou união de facto _____
- ☐ Divorciado (a) _____
- ☐ Viúvo (a) _____

Qual é o seu agregado familiar?

- ☐ Sozinho (a) _____
- ☐ Família _____ quantos membros _____

Qual é o seu nível de escolaridade?

- ☐ Analfabeto (a) _____
- ☐ Ensino primário _____
- ☐ Ensino secundário _____
- ☐ Ensino superior _____

Qual é a sua situação profissional?

- ☐ Empregado a tempo inteiro _____
- ☐ Empregado a tempo parcial _____
- ☐ Desempregado (a) _____
- ☐ Reformado (a) _____ por doença? Sim ____ Não ____

Aufere de ajudas sociais?

- ☐ Não ____
- ☐ Sim ____ quais? _____

É independente sob o ponto de vista financeiro?

- ☐ Sim _____
- ☐ Não _____. De quem é dependente? Família _____ estado _____ outro _____

2. Consumo de cannabis

Com que idade iniciou o consumo de cannabis? ____anos

Consome regularmente cannabis?

- ☐ Sim ____
- ☐ Não ____

Com que frequência consome a cannabis?

- ☐ ____ vezes por dia
- ☐ ____ vezes por semana
- ☐ ____ vezes por mês
- ☐ ____ vezes por ano

De que forma é que consome a cannabis?

- ☐ Erva ____
- ☐ Resina (haxixe) ____
- ☐ Óleo ____
- ☐ Ingestão oral ____

Consome outras drogas ilícitas?

- ☐ Não ____
- ☐ Sim ____ . Quais? _____

Dada a sua experiência, como é que classificaria, numa escala de 0-10, sendo 0 uma droga inofensiva e 10 uma droga pesada, as seguintes drogas?

Cannabis____Heroína____Cocaína____Álcool____Ecstasy____

3. Escala de gravidade de consumo

Nos últimos 3 meses:

Alguma vez pensou que o seu uso de canábis estava fora de controlo?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Nunca ou quase nunca | 0 |
| <input type="radio"/> Algumas vezes | 1 |
| <input type="radio"/> Frequentemente | 2 |
| <input type="radio"/> Sempre ou quase sempre | 3 |

Imaginar que não vai consumir um charro deixa-o muito ansioso ou preocupado?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Nunca ou quase nunca | 0 |
| <input type="radio"/> Algumas vezes | 1 |
| <input type="radio"/> Frequentemente | 2 |
| <input type="radio"/> Sempre ou quase sempre | 3 |

Preocupa-se com o seu uso de canábis?

- ☐ De forma alguma 0
- ☐ Um pouco 1
- ☐ Várias vezes 2
- ☐ Bastante 3

Alguma vez quis deixar de consumir canábis?

- ☐ Nunca ou quase nunca 0
- ☐ Algumas vezes 1
- ☐ Frequentemente 2
- ☐ Sempre ou quase sempre. 3

O quanto acha difícil parar de consumir canábis ou deixar de usar?

- ☐ Não é difícil 0
- ☐ É um pouco difícil 1
- ☐ É muito difícil 2
- ☐ É impossível 3



DRUG AND ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE

An International Journal on Biomedical and Psychosocial Approaches

AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS

●	Description	p.1
●	Audience	p.2
●	Impact Factor	p.2
●	Abstracting and Indexing	p.2
●	Editorial Board	p.2
●	Guide for Authors	p.5



ISSN: 0376-8716

2 DESCRIPTION

Drug and Alcohol Dependence is an international journal devoted to publishing original research, scholarly reviews, commentaries, and policy analyses in the area of **drug, alcohol and tobacco use and dependence**. Articles range from studies of the chemistry of **substances of abuse**, their actions at molecular and cellular sites, *in vitro* and *in vivo* investigations of their biochemical, pharmacological and behavioural actions, laboratory-based and clinical research in humans, substance abuse **treatment** and **prevention** research, and studies employing methods from epidemiology, sociology, and economics.

The rationale for this extensive coverage is the conviction that drug, alcohol and tobacco use/ dependence cannot be understood in their entirety from a single perspective and that without an understanding of other areas of research, studies by individual investigators may be limited. The goal of the journal is to provide researchers, clinicians, and policy makers access to material from all perspectives in a single journal in a format that is understandable and which has received rigorous editorial review. The hope of its **editors** is to promote mutual understanding of the many facets of drug abuse to the benefit of all investigators involved in drug and alcohol research, and to facilitate the transfer of scientific findings to successful treatment and prevention practices.

The accepted abbreviation for *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* for bibliographic citation is *Drug Alcohol Depend*.

Drug and Alcohol Dependence is currently being distributed to all the members of the College on Problems of Drug Dependence (CPDD), the oldest scientific organization in the United States concerned with research on problems of drug dependence. Members of the CPDD are provided with both the print version as well as access to the full text of the current issue and back issues dating back to Vol. 46, Issue no. 1 of the [online version](#) as a benefit of membership.

The College on Problems of Drug Dependence (CPDD), formerly the Committee on Problems of Drug Dependence, has been in existence since 1929 and is the longest standing group in the United States addressing problems of drug dependence and abuse. From 1929 until 1976, the CPDD was associated with the National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. Since 1976, the organization has functioned as an independent body affiliated with other scientific and professional societies representing various disciplines concerned with problems of drug dependence and abuse. In 1991, the CPDD evolved into a membership organization with the new name of College on Problems of Drug Dependence.

CPDD serves as an interface among governmental, industrial and academic communities maintaining liaisons with regulatory and research agencies as well as educational, treatment, and prevention facilities in the drug abuse field. It also functions as a collaborating center of the World Health Organization.

3 AUDIENCE

Workers in the fields of biomedical as well as clinical, epidemiological, psychosocial, socio-cultural, educational and medico-legal research.

4 IMPACT FACTOR

2020: 4.492 © Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports 2021

5 ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health
Literature Research Alert
Clinical Medicine Citation
Index ETOH
BIOSIS Citation
Index Chemical
Abstracts Current
Contents Embase
PubMed/Medline
PsycINFO
Science Citation
Index Sociological
Abstracts
Addiction
Abstracts Pascal
Francis
Elsevier
BIOBASE
HealthSTAR
Life Science
Collection Toxline
Scopus
Social Sciences Citation Index

6 EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief

Steve Shoptaw, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

Editorial Office Manager

Andraya Dolbee, University of California Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, 90095, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

Social Media Editor

Joseph Palamar, New York University Department of Population Health, New York, New York, United States of America

Associate Editors Behavioral

Pharmacology

Craig R. Rush, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, KY, United States of America

Comorbidity, Psychiatric Epidemiology, Etiology, Nosology and Genetics

Katherine Keyes, Columbia University, New York, New York, United States of America

Etiology, Epidemiology, Prevention and Policy

Sunil Suhas Solomon, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

Human Psychopharmacology and Genetics

Eske M Derks, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, Queensland, Australia

Neuropsychopharmacology and Treatment

Lin Lu, Peking University Sixth Hospital, Beijing, China

Preclinical and Clinical Neurosciences

Services and Prevention, Technology Transfer, and Treatment

Jan Copeland, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Tobacco and Nicotine, Health Disparities, Developmental Psychopathology

Karen Cropsey, The University of Alabama at Birmingham

Treatment and Services

Kyle Kampman, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

Associate Editor Fellow

J. Strickland, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

Editorial Board

F.J. Alvarez, Valladolid, Spain

J.C. Anthony, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America

M. Auriacombe, Bordeaux, France

R. L. Balster, Richmond, Virginia, United States of America

L. Banks, Richmond, Virginia, United States of America

F.I.P.M. Bastos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

R. Bazzi, La Jolla, California, United States of America

W.K. Bickel, Roanoke, Virginia, United States of America

R. Bluthenthal, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

K.T. Brady, Charleston, South Carolina, United States of America

Q. L. Brown, New Brunswick, New Jersey, United States of America

A. Coop, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

J.F. Cubells, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America

S. Darke, Randwick, New South Wales, Australia

L.C. Dierker, Middletown, Connecticut, United States of America

K. E. Dunn, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

M. Farré, Barcelona, Spain

M. Field, Liverpool, United Kingdom

I. H.A. Franken, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

R Franklin, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

D. Furr-Holden, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America

C.A. Hanlon, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, United States of America

S. Hasin, New York, New York, United States of America

D.K. Hatsukami, Minneapolis, Minnesota, United States of America

J.R. Havens, Lexington, Kentucky, United States of America

S.D. Johnson, Saint Louis, Missouri, United States of America

J. Kitanaka, Kobe, Japan

N Kurti, Silver Spring, Maryland, United States of America

J. Lamb, San Antonio, Texas, United States of America

A.M. Leventhal, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

S. E. Lukas, Belmont, Massachusetts, United States of America

M. Medina-Mora, Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico

F. G. Moeller, Richmond, Virginia, United States of America

T. C. Napier, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America

R. Palmer, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America

L. J. Porrino, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, United States of America

K. L. Preston, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America

K. Rigg, Tampa, Florida, United States of America

John Saxon, Seattle, Washington, United States of America

J.L. Sorensen, San Francisco, California, United States of America

E.C. Strain, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

S.A. Strathdee, La Jolla, California, United States of America

S Svikis, Richmond, Virginia, United States of America
A. Taffe, La Jolla, California, United States of America
J.M. Vink, Nijmegen, Netherlands
S. L. Walsh, Lexington, Kentucky, United States of America

Previous Editors

Robert L Balster Hans
Halbach Charles R.
Schuster Chris-Ellyn
Johanson Ian P. Stolerman

7 GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Drug and Alcohol Dependence is an international journal devoted to publishing original research, scholarly reviews, letters to the Editor, and policy analyses in the area of drug, alcohol and tobacco use and dependence. It is sponsored by the College on Problems of Drug Dependence (CPDD), the oldest scientific organization in the United States concerned with research on addiction. The goal of its editors is to promote mutual understanding of the many facets of drug abuse to the benefit of all investigators involved in drug and alcohol research, and to facilitate the transfer of scientific findings to successful treatment and prevention practices. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* is currently being distributed to all the members of CPDD.

7.1.1 Associate Editor Content Areas

Please [click here](#) to download details of the Associate Editor Content Areas.

7.1.2 Associate Editor Conflict of Interest Statements

Please [click here](#) to access the Associate Editor Conflict of Interest Statements.

7.1.3 Types of paper

1) Full-length Reports reporting original results of research within the field of drug, alcohol and tobacco use and dependence. A Full-length Report typically should not exceed 4000 words (for the introduction, methods, results and discussion).

2) Review Articles of specialized topics within the scope of the journal. Typically, these are systematic reviews of a field of research. A Review Article typically should not exceed 6000 words for the main body of the paper (i.e., excluding references, tables and figures). Review Articles that will be substantially longer than 6000 words should be discussed with the Editor-in-Chief prior to submission.

3) Short Communications reporting on research that has progressed to the stage where a preliminary publication is appropriate. The maximum length is 2000 words plus references and illustrations. There should be not more than 2 illustrations (figures or tables). Pilot projects are generally more appropriate for this format (or for letters to the editor, see below).

4) Letters to the Editor will be considered on a case-by-case basis. These should address an issue consistent with the focus of the journal. If the letter is in response to a paper published in the journal, authors of the original paper will typically be given the opportunity to respond to the letter, and the Editors may decide to not publish the letter based upon that reply or may publish the reply along with the letter. Letters submitted in response to a published paper should generally be submitted within a year of the original paper. Letters may be referred for peer-review, depending upon the content. The journal generally does not publish letters that simply acknowledge the importance of a prior paper, and letters that do not have a scientific focus. Case reports, and letters to the editor that report on a case have a high likelihood of being returned and not published. Letters are limited to 500 words, and no more than six references. Authors considering a Letter to the Editor may wish to consult with the editorial office before initiating such a submission.

5) Registered Reports ([click here for more details](#)). These submissions undergo a two-phase review process in which study rationale and methodology are considered prior to the research being undertaken.

6) Commentaries and editorials are only considered at the invitation of the Editors. Unsolicited commentaries and editorials will be returned and not considered for publication.

7) Other forms of papers. The journal generally does not publish individual case studies, and doesnot publish book reviews.

7.1.4 Submission checklist

You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal forreview. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

7.1.4.1 Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:

Manuscript:

- Include keywords
 - All figures (include relevant captions)
 - All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
 - Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
 - Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print
- Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable) Supplemental files (where applicable)*

Further considerations

- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our [Support Center](#).

7.2 BEFORE YOU BEGIN

7.2.1 Ethics in publishing

Please see our information on [Ethics in publishing](#).

7.2.2 Studies in humans and animals

If the work involves the use of human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with [The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association](#) (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans. The manuscript should be in line with the [Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals](#) and aim for the inclusion of representative human populations (sex, age and ethnicity) as per those recommendations. The terms [sex and gender](#) should be used correctly.

Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

All animal experiments should comply with the [ARRIVE guidelines](#) and should be carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, [EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments](#), or the National Research Council's [Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals](#) and the authors should clearly indicate in the manuscript that such guidelines have been followed. The sex of animals must be indicated, and where appropriate, the influence (or association) of sex on the results of the study.

7.2.3 Declaration of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential competing interests include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors must disclose any interests in two places: 1. A summary declaration of interest statement in the title page file (if double anonymized) or the manuscript file (if single anonymized). If there are no interests to declare then please state this: 'Declarations of interest: none'. 2. Detailed disclosures as part of a separate Declaration of Interest form, which forms part of the journal's official records. It is important for potential interests to be declared in both places and that the information matches. [More information](#).

7.2.4 Submission declaration and verification

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright- holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service [Crossref Similarity Check](#).

Preprints

Please note that [preprints](#) can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's [sharing policy](#). Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information).

7.2.5 Use of inclusive language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

7.2.6 Contributors

Each author is required to declare his or her individual contribution to the article: all authors must have materially participated in the research and/or article preparation, so roles for all authors should be described. The statement that all authors have approved the final article should be true and included in the disclosure.

7.2.7 Changes to authorship

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors **before**

submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only **before** the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the **corresponding author**: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors **after** the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Reporting clinical trials

Randomized controlled trials should be presented according to the CONSORT guidelines. At manuscript submission, authors must provide the CONSORT checklist accompanied by a flow diagram that illustrates the progress of patients through the trial, including recruitment, enrollment, randomization, withdrawal and completion, and a detailed description of the randomization procedure. The [CONSORT checklist and template flow diagram](#) are available online.

Reporting guidelines have also been developed for a number of other study designs:

- 1 **Initiative Type of study Source** CONSORT randomized controlled trials <http://www.consort-statement.org> STARD studies of diagnostic accuracy Bossuyt, P.M., Reitsma, J.B., Bruns, D.E., Gatsonis, C.A., Glasziou, P.P., Irwig, L.M., Moher, D., Rennie, D., de Vet, H.C., Lijmer, J.G., Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy, 2003. The STARD statement for reporting

studies of diagnostic accuracy: explanation and elaboration. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 138, W1-W12. PRISMA systematic reviews and meta-analyses <http://www.prisma-statement.org> STROBE observational studies in epidemiology <http://www.strobe-statement.org> MOOSE meta-analyses of observational studies in epidemiology Stroup, D.F., Berlin, J.A., Morton, S.C., Olkin, I., Williamson, G.D., Rennie, D., Moher, D., Becker, B.J., Sipe, T.A., Thacker, S.B., 2000. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) Group. *JAMA* 283, 2008-2012.

Registration of clinical trials

Registration in a public trials registry is a condition for publication of clinical trials in this journal in accordance with [International Committee of Medical Journal Editors](#) recommendations. Trials must register at or before the onset of patient enrolment. The clinical trial registration number should be included at the end of the abstract of the article. A clinical trial is defined as any research study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of humans to one or more health-related interventions to evaluate the effects of health outcomes. Health-related interventions include any intervention used to modify a biomedical or health-related outcome (for example drugs, surgical procedures, devices, behavioural treatments, dietary interventions, and process-of-care changes). Health outcomes include any biomedical or health-related measures obtained in patients or participants, including pharmacokinetic measures and adverse events. Purely observational studies (those in which the assignment of the medical intervention is not at the discretion of the investigator) will not require registration.

7.2.8 Author Disclosures

As is widely acknowledged within medical publishing, the integrity of articles published in *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* depends in part on how well the Journal handles author disclosure. As described in detail below, authors are requested to provide three mandatory and one optional author disclosure sections; please do not include them in the manuscripts. The author disclosures will be automatically incorporated in the PDF builder of the online submission system. These statements will appear in the journal article if the paper is accepted. It is highly recommended that authors prepare these author disclosures prior to going online to submit the paper.

The sequence for the Author Disclosures section should be **Role of Funding Source** (required; default text "Nothing declared"), **Contributors** (should always state something when more than one author), **Conflict of Interest** (required; default text "No conflict declared") and **Acknowledgements** (optional).

The four statements should not be numbered
Headings should be in bold
No white space between the heading and the text
Same font size as the references

Article transfer service

This journal is part of our Article Transfer Service. This means that if the Editor feels your article is more suitable in one of our other participating journals, then you may be asked to consider transferring the article to one of those. If you agree, your article

will be transferred automatically on your behalf with no need to reformat. Please note that your article will be reviewed again by the new journal. [More information](#).

7.2.9 Copyright

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see [more information](#) on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. [Permission](#) of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has [preprinted forms](#) for use by authors in these cases.

For gold open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' ([more information](#)). Permitted third party reuse of gold open access articles is determined by the author's choice of [user license](#).

Author rights

As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. [More information](#).

Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can [share your research](#) published in Elsevier journals.

7.2.10 Role of the funding source

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

7.2.11 Open access

Please visit our [Open Access page](#) for more information.

Elsevier Researcher Academy

[Researcher Academy](#) is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the [English Language Editing service](#) available from Elsevier's Author Services.

7.2.12 Submission

Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article

Please submit your article via <https://www.editorialmanager.com/dad/>.

7.2.13 Referees

Please submit, with the manuscript, the names, addresses and e-mail addresses of a minimum of three potential referees. Note that the editor retains the sole right to decide whether or not the suggested reviewers are used.

7.3 PREPARATION

Use of word processing software

It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the [Guide to Publishing with Elsevier](#)). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork. To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

7.3.1 Article structure

Subdivision - numbered sections

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered

1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction

State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Material and methods

Provide sufficient details to allow the work to be reproduced by an independent researcher. Methods that are already published should be summarized, and indicated by a reference. If quoting directly from a previously published method, use quotation marks and also cite the source. Any modifications to existing methods should also be described.

Results

Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion

This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of a Discussion or Results and Discussion section.

7.3.2 Essential title page information

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
- **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.
- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**
- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

7.3.3 Highlights

Highlights are mandatory for this journal as they help increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used

during the study (if any). Please have a look at the examples here: [example Highlights](#).

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

7.3.4 Structured abstract

A structured abstract, by means of appropriate headings, should provide the context or background for the research and should state its purpose, basic procedures (selection of study subjects or laboratory animals, observational and analytical methods), main findings (giving specific effect sizes and their statistical significance, if possible), and principal conclusions. It should emphasize new and important aspects of the study or observations.

Abstracts should be structured with specific sections describing the background, methods, results and conclusions with a maximum of 250 words.

Graphical abstract

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view [Example Graphical Abstracts](#) on our information site.

Authors can make use of Elsevier's [Illustration Services](#) to ensure the best presentation of their images and in accordance with all technical requirements.

7.3.5 Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

7.3.6 Abbreviations

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field at their first mention in the text. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

Formatting of funding sources

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, it is recommended to include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Units

Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.

Footnotes

Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article. Many word processors can build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Otherwise, please indicate the position of footnotes in the text and list the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article. Do not include footnotes in the Reference list.

Artwork Electronic

artworkGeneral points

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.
- Ensure that color images are accessible to all, including those with impaired color vision.

A detailed [guide on electronic artwork](#) is available.

7.3.6.1 You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

Formats

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.

Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.

TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.

TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi. TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

7.3.6.2 Please do not:

- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
- Supply files that are too low in resolution;
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

Color artwork

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. **For color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article.** Please indicate your preference for color: in print or online only. [Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.](#)

Illustration services

[Elsevier's Author Services](#) offers Illustration Services to authors preparing to submit a manuscript but concerned about the quality of the images accompanying their article. Elsevier's expert illustrators can produce scientific, technical and medical-style images, as well as a full range of charts, tables and graphs. Image 'polishing' is also available, where our illustrators take your image(s) and improve them to a professional standard. Please visit the website to find out more.

Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

7.3.7 Tables

Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and

ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

7.3.8 References

Citation in text

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication.

Reference links

Increased discoverability of research and high quality peer review are ensured by online links to the sources cited. In order to allow us to create links to abstracting and indexing services, such as Scopus, CrossRef and PubMed, please ensure that data provided in the references are correct. Please

note that incorrect surnames, journal/book titles, publication year and pagination may prevent link creation. When copying references, please be careful as they may already contain errors. Use of the DOI is highly encouraged.

A DOI is guaranteed never to change, so you can use it as a permanent link to any electronic article. An example of a citation using DOI for an article not yet in an issue is: VanDecar J.C., Russo R.M., James D.E., Ambeh W.B., Franke M. (2003). Aseismic continuation of the Lesser Antilles slab beneath northeastern Venezuela. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000884>. Please note the format of such citations should be in the same style as all other references in the paper.

Web references

As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

Data references

This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

References in a special issue

Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added to any references in the list (and any citations in the text) to other articles in the same Special Issue.

Reference management software

Most Elsevier journals have their reference template available in many of the most popular reference management software products. These include all products that support [Citation Style Language styles](#), such as [Mendeley](#). Using citation plug-ins from these products, authors only need to select the appropriate journal template when preparing their article, after which citations and bibliographies will be automatically formatted in the journal's style. If no template is yet available for this journal, please follow the format of the sample references and citations as shown in this Guide. If you use reference management software, please ensure that you remove all field codes before submitting the electronic manuscript. [More information on how to remove field codes from different reference management software](#).

Reference style

Text: All citations in the text should refer to:

1. *Single author:* the author's name (without initials, unless there is ambiguity) and the year of publication;
2. *Two authors:* both authors' names and the year of publication;
3. *Three or more authors:* first author's name followed by 'et al.' and the year of publication. Citations may be made directly (or parenthetically). Groups of references should be listed first alphabetically, then chronologically.

Examples: 'as demonstrated (Allan, 2000a, 2000b, 1999; Allan and Jones, 1999). Kramer et al. (2010) have recently shown '

List: References should be arranged first alphabetically and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. Abbreviated words in journal titles should be followed by a full stop. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters 'a', 'b', 'c', etc., placed after the year of publication.

Examples:

Reference to a journal publication:

Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J.A.J., Lupton, R.A., 2010. The art of writing a scientific article. J. Sci. Commun. 163, 51–59.

Reference to a book:

Strunk Jr., W., White, E.B., 2000. The Elements of Style, fourth ed. Longman, New York.

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

Mettam, G.R., Adams, L.B., 2009. How to prepare an electronic version of your article, in: Jones, B.S., Smith, R.Z. (Eds.), Introduction to the Electronic Age. E-Publishing Inc., New York, pp. 281–304.

Reference to a dataset:

Oguro, M., Imahiro, S., Saito, S., Nakashizuka, T., 2015. Mortality data for Japanese oak wilt disease and surrounding forest compositions. Mendeley Data, v1.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/xwj98nb39r.1>.

Journal Abbreviations Source

Abbreviations of journal titles should conform to those used by Index Medicus (<http://www.nlm.nih.gov/tsd/serials/lji.html>).

7.3.9 Video

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the bodytext where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the file in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 150 MB per file, 1 GB in total. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including [ScienceDirect](#). Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our [video instruction pages](#). Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

7.3.10 Data visualization

Include interactive data visualizations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions [here](#) to find out about available data visualization options and how to include them with your article.

7.3.11 Supplementary material

Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

7.3.12 Research data

This journal encourages and enables you to share data that supports your research publication where appropriate, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings. To facilitate reproducibility and data reuse, this journal also encourages you to share your software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Below are a number of ways in which you can associate data with your article or make a statement about the availability of your data when submitting your manuscript. If you are sharing data in one of these ways, you are encouraged to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the "References" section for more information about data citation. For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the [research data](#) page.

Data linking

If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the [database linking page](#).

For [supported data repositories](#) a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

Mendeley Data

This journal supports Mendeley Data, enabling you to deposit any research data (including raw and processed data, video, code, software, algorithms, protocols, and methods) associated with your manuscript in a free-to-use, open access repository. During the submission process, after uploading your manuscript, you will have the opportunity to upload your relevant datasets directly to *MendeleyData*. The datasets will be listed and directly accessible to readers next to your published article online.

For more information, visit the [Mendeley Data for journals page](#).

Data statement

To foster transparency, we encourage you to state the availability of your data in your submission. This may be a requirement of your funding body or institution. If your data is unavailable to access or unsuitable to post, you will have the opportunity to indicate why during the submission process, for example by stating that the research data is confidential. The statement will appear with your published article on ScienceDirect. For more information, visit the [Data Statement page](#).

7.4 AFTER ACCEPTANCE

7.4.1 Online proof correction

To ensure a fast publication process of the article, we kindly ask authors to provide us with their proof corrections within two days. Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors.

If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

7.4.2 Offprints

The corresponding author will, at no cost, receive a customized [Share Link](#)

providing 50 days free access to the final published version of the article on [ScienceDirect](#). The Share Link can be used for sharing the article via any communication channel, including email and social media. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Both corresponding and co-authors may order offprints at any time via Elsevier's [Author Services](#). Corresponding authors who have published their article gold open access do not receive a Share Link as their final published version of the article is available open access on ScienceDirect and can be shared through the article DOI link.

7.5 AUTHOR INQUIRIES

Visit the [Elsevier Support Center](#) to find the answers you need. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch.

You can also [check the status of your submitted article](#) or find out [when your accepted article will be published](#).

