Comparative analysis of eleven different radioisotopes for palliative treatment of bone metastases by computational methods

Francisco D. C. Guerra Liberal^a, Adriana Alexandre S. Tavares^b and João Manuel R. S. Tavares^c

Instituto de Engenharia Mecânica e Gestão Industrial, Faculdade de Engenharia, Universidade do Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias s/n, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal

^ameb12020@fe.up.pt

^b adriana_tavares@msn.com

^c tavares@fe.up.pt

(Corresponding author)

ABSTRACT:

Purpose: Throughout the years, the palliative treatment of bone metastases using bone seeking radiotracers has been part of the therapeutic resources used in oncology, but the choice of which bone seeking agent to use is not consensual across sites and limited data is available comparing the characteristics of each radioisotope. Computational simulation is a simple and practical method to study and to compare a variety of radioisotopes for different medical applications, including the palliative treatment of bone metastases. This study aims to evaluate and compare eleven different radioisotopes currently in use or under research for the palliative treatment of bone metastases using computational methods.

Methods: Computational models were used to estimate the percentage of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage (fast Monte Carlo damage algorithm), the probability of correct DNA repair (Monte Carlo excision repair algorithm) and the radiation-induced cellular effects (Virtual Cell Radiobiology algorithm) postirradiation with selected particles emitted by Phosphorus-32 (³²P), Strontium-89 (⁸⁹Sr), Yttrium-90 (⁹⁰Y), Tin-117 (^{117m}Sn), Samarium-153 (¹⁵³Sm), Holmium-166 (¹⁶⁶Ho), Thulium-170 (¹⁷⁰Tm), Lutetium-177 (¹⁷⁷Lu), Rhenium-186 (¹⁸⁶Re), Rhenium-188 (¹⁸⁸Re) and Radium-223 (²²³Ra).

Results: ²²³Ra alpha particles, ¹⁷⁷Lu beta minus particles and ¹⁷⁰Tm beta minus particles induced the highest cell death of all investigated particles and radioisotopes. The cell survival fraction measured post irradiation with beta minus particles emitted by ⁸⁹Sr and ¹⁵³Sm, two of the most frequently used radionuclides in the palliative treatment of bone metastases in clinical routine practice, was higher than ¹⁷⁷Lu beta minus particles and ²²³Ra alpha particles.

Conclusions: ²²³Ra and ¹⁷⁷Lu hold the highest potential for palliative treatment of bone metastases of all radioisotopes compared in this study. Data reported here may prompt future *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments comparing different radionuclides for palliative treatment of bone metastases, raise the need for the careful rethinking of the current widespread clinical use of ⁸⁹Sr and ¹⁵³Sm, and perhaps strengthen the use of ²²³Ra and ¹⁷⁷Lu in the palliative treatment of bone metastases.

Key words: Computational simulation, Deoxyribonucleic acid, Radium-223, Lutetium-177

I. INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a major public health concern, and it is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. In 2012, approximately 14 million new cases were diagnosed, and 8 million cancer-related deaths occurred. Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in men worldwide.¹ A large percentage of patients with prostate cancer (65-75%) develop bone metastasis^{2–4}, which often leads to severe pain, hypercalcemia, lack of mobility and depression that adversely affect these patients' life quality.⁵ There are several therapeutic approaches targeting bone metastases and its associated effects, including the use of analgesics, external beam radiotherapy and radionuclide systemic therapy. The latter, systemic palliative targeted-therapy with suitable radiotracers, has emerged as a particularly appealing and efficient treatment modality for patients with multiple skeletal metastases.^{3,6–8}

Over the years, efforts were made to identify radionuclides with improved physical properties for use in palliative care of metastatic bone pain, as well as to develop better bone seeking agents to be used for radiolabelling with promising radionuclides. A major challenge associated with the palliative treatment of bone pain using selective radiotracers is to deliver an adequate dose of ionizing radiation to the bone lesion, while minimizing the dose to healthy bone sites and adjacent tissues. The radionuclide emitted particles should have an energy and tissue penetration range compatible with the volume of the lesion to be irradiated ^{2,9}, given that both the energy and the penetrating range of a radionuclide emitted particle significantly contribute to the therapy efficacy.¹⁰ Therefore, studying the effects of particulate radiation at the cellular level is of interest to determine the suitability of a given radionuclide for target-tumor radiotherapy. There are essentially three main types of radioactive particles that are of interest for target-tumor radiotherapy: beta minus (β) particles, alpha (a) particles and Auger electrons. The β particles present an average range of few millimeters, which may result in neighboring cells irradiation due to crossfire. By contrast, α particles have a typical penetration range of less than 100 µm.¹¹ Auger electrons are low energy electrons (typical energies around 20-100 KeV) and have short penetration ranges of few nanometers to micrometers. Owing to their short penetration range, systemic target-tumor radiotherapy with Auger electrons often yields lower toxicity to the bone marrow in comparison to β^2 particles.¹² In addition to the physical properties of different radionuclides for target-tumor therapy, other characteristics should be taken into consideration while searching for the ideal radiotracer for palliative treatment of bone metastases. For example, the radionuclide production costs and feasibility should be determined, and consideration should be given on whether its properties are amenable for radiochemistry procedures.⁸ It is also important that the radionuclide to be used for palliative treatment of bone metastases

allows for *in vivo* imaging, in order to assist with the determination of the suitable therapeutic dose to be used and to facilitate treatment monitoring.^{6,13}

In recent years, several reports have been published describing the use of multiple radionuclides in the context to palliative treatment of bone metastases. Radioisotopes investigated for this application included: Phosphorus-32 (³²P), Strontium-89 (⁸⁹Sr), Yttrium-90 (⁹⁰Y), Tin-117m (^{117m}Sn), Samarium-153 (¹⁵³Sm), Holmium-166 (¹⁶⁶Ho), Thulium-170 (¹⁷⁰Tm), Lutetium-177 (¹⁷⁷Lu), Rhenium-186 (¹⁸⁶Re), Rhenium-188 (¹⁸⁸Re) and Radium-223 (²²³Ra) ^{2,5,6,9–11,14–32}. The main physical characteristics of these radionuclides are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of main physical properties of different radionuclides in clinical used or under research for palliative treatment of bone metastases.

Radionuclide	Emission Type	E (MeV) (%)	E _γ (KeV) (%)	T _{1/2} (days)	Tissue penetration range (mm)	References
32 _P	β-	0.6955 (100)	-	14.3	8.0	5,14,33
⁸⁹ Sr	β ⁻	0.5846 (99.99)	909 (0.1)	50.5	6.7	5,14,33
90 _Y	β ⁻	0.2670 (99.98)	-	2.67	2.7	14,33
117m _{Sn}	Auger	0.1268 (64.8)	159 (86)	13.6	0.3	5,14,33
¹⁵³ Sm	β ⁻	0.2253 (48.2)	103 (28)	1.93	3.4	5,14,33
166 _{Ho}	β ⁻	0.6511 (50.5)	81 (6.4)	1.12	8.6	14,33
170 _{Tm}	β ⁻	0.3231 (81.6)	84 (3.3)	127.8	5	26,33
177 _{Lu}	β ⁻	0.1494 (79.3)	211 (11)	6.2	1.8	14,33
186 _{Re}	β ⁻	0.3596 (70.9)	137 (9)	3.8	4.7	5,14,33
¹⁸⁸ Re	β ⁻	2.1204 (71.1)	155 (15)	0.7	2.4	5,17,33
²²³ Ra	α	5.71581 (45.6)	154 (5.6)	11.4	0.1	5,13,33

Legend: $T_{1/2}$ (days) – radioisotope half-life in days; E (MeV) (%) – particle energy and respective decay abundance shown in parentheses; E_{γ} (KeV) (%) – gamma ray energy and respective abundance in total energy emission shown in parentheses; Tissue penetration range (mm) – maximum tissue penetration shown in millimeters.

The ³²P was the first radioisotope to be evaluated for palliative treatment of bone metastases and its first clinical use dates back to 1941.³⁴ In the late 1980s, ¹⁸⁶Re was identified as a potential agent for palliative treatment of bone metastases and it is currently being used in phase III clinical trials.³⁵ Presently, one of the most commonly used radionuclides for palliative treatment of bone metastases in routine clinical practice is ¹⁵³Sm, which was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for clinical use in 1997.^{32 89}Sr is also currently used in the clinical setting for alleviation of bone pain and it was approved by the FDA for clinical use in 1993.²⁶ Recently, a significant amount of work has been carried out using ²²³Ra, a promising therapeutic agent for palliative treatment of bone metastases, in order to take advantage of the low tissue penetration range associated with its emitted α particles. In clinical studies, ²²³Ra has demonstrated a highly significant improvement on patient overall survival, with mild side effects owing to its localized tissue penetration (2-10 cells). Its clinical use was approved by the FDA in 2013.¹¹ Another promising radionuclide recently proposed for palliative treatment of bone metastasis was ¹⁷⁷Lu, due to its appealing physical characteristics, in particular its half-life of 6.73 days, gamma ray emission of 113keV (6.4%) and 208 keV (10.4%) and tissue penetration of 1.8 mm.²⁶ Moreover, both ¹⁸⁸Re and ^{117m}Sn are currently being investigated as potential agents for palliative treatment of bone metastases and are being used in different phases of clinical trials.^{5,14,36,37} Several studies have also been undertaken to study the potential of ⁹⁰Y, ¹⁶⁶Ho and ¹⁷⁰Tm for palliative treatment of bone metastases.^{18,26,38,39}

Despite the increasing availability of radionuclides for palliative treatment of bone metastases^{26,35}, the choice of which therapeutic agent to use is not consensual across sites and no standardization or guideline exists to assist with this choice. This may be due to the limited data available comparing the advantages and disadvantages of each radioisotope, as well as assessing all radioisotopes in the same test conditions. Computational simulation is a simple and practical method to study and to compare a variety of radioisotopes for different medical applications, including the palliative treatment of bone metastases, under the same research conditions. This study aims to use computational methods to evaluate and compare different radioisotopes currently in use or under research for the palliative treatment of bone metastases. In particular, the data reported here will focus on the bone metastases from the prostate carcinoma, given this is one of the most common types of cancer in men worldwide and the majority of these patients develop bone metastases.

II. METHODS

Three different computational simulators were used to investigate the therapeutic potential of the

following radioisotopes: ³²P, ⁸⁹Sr, ⁹⁰Y, ^{117m}Sn, ¹⁵³Sm, ¹⁶⁶Ho, ¹⁷⁰Tm, ¹⁷⁷Lu, ¹⁸⁶Re, ¹⁸⁸Re and ²²³Ra. The sections below explain the main principles of these simulators and points out the key input parameters adopted.

II.A. Fast Monte Carlo damage formation simulator

The Monte Carlo damage simulation (MCDS) algorithm can be used to predict the types of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage and their yield after irradiation. This method allows for rapid collection of a large data set with multiple irradiation scenarios. The model generates a number of damage configurations expected within the DNA of one cell, where the algorithm processes information in two main steps: first, it randomly distributes, in a DNA segment, the expected amount of damage produced in a cell; then, it subdivides the distribution of damage in that particular DNA segment. Studies have shown that the number and spatial distribution of the damage configurations predicted by the MCDS algorithm are in reasonable agreement with those predicted by more complex track-structure simulations⁴⁰, indicating the MCDS simulator is a useful tool for studies aiming at comparing different radioisotopes used for target tumor radiotherapy. A detailed description of the MCDS model as well as additional discussions about the validity and limitations this method are presented in, for example, references⁴⁰ and⁴¹.

The classification scheme used by the MCDS to categorize DNA damages is based on the classification parameters proposed by Nikjoo *et al.*, and it comprises essentially: (a) no damage, (b) single-strand breaks (SSBs), (c) two strand breaks on the same strand (SSB⁺), (d) two or more strand breaks on opposite strands separated by at least 10 base pairs (2SSB), (e) two strand breaks on opposite strands with a separation not greater than 10 base pairs [double-strand breaks (DSBs)], (f) DSB accompanied by one (or more) additional strand breaks within a 10 base pair separation (DSB⁺), and (g) more than one DSB, whether within the 10 base pair separation or further apart (DSB⁺⁺). For further details, see references ⁴² and ⁴³.

II.B. Fast Monte Carlo excision repair simulator

The Monte Carlo excision repair (MCER) algorithm can be used to calculate the formation and repair of DNA damages and to predict repair outcomes such as correct repair, repair with a mutation and conversion into a DSB.⁴⁴ The MCER algorithm starts by using the MCDS algorithm to generate a random number of damage configurations expected within the DNA of one cell. Subsequently, the MCDS-generated damage configurations are superimposed over a predetermined nucleotide sequence or a random nucleotide sequence.

Then, the MCER model is used to simulate the repair, misrepair and aborted excision repair of damage within the entire genome or within a specific region of the DNA. The lesions forming a cluster are removed sequentially through repeated rounds of excision repair.

The majority of DNA oxidative damage, including modified apurinic/apyrimidinic converted into strand breaks, requires repair by base excision repair (BER). Two different types of BER processes have been observed in eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells: (1) excision and replacement of a single nucleotide, known as short-patch BER (SP-BER), which occurs in most cases; and (2) replacement of 2–13 nucleotides, known as long-patch BER (LP-BER). Another enzymatically distinct repair pathway is nucleotide excision repair (NER). The NER, observed in eukaryotic cells, substitutes oligonucleotide fragments of 24–32 nucleotides in length.⁴⁴ Results from this simulator are outputted as simplified repair scenarios, due to the current uncertainties associated with the processing of radiation-induced damage by the BER and NER pathways. the simplified repair scenarios modelled and outputted by MCER, studies by Semenenko and Stewart have demonstrated that the results obtained by this simulator correlate well with *in vitro* results obtained using cell cultures.⁴⁵ A detailed description of the MCER algorithm, as well as additional discussions on the validity and limitations of the model can be found in references ⁴⁰ and ⁴⁴.

II.C. Virtual cell radiobiology simulator

The radiation-induced damage can be lethal or can be slowly repaired via enzymatic repair and misrepair, which then determines the fate of the irradiated cell. The cell cycle kinetics can influence and/or be influenced by the kinetics of damage processing; and damages to the DNA are often a trigger for apoptosis, although cell membrane damages can also induce apoptosis.^{44,46} Radiobiological models have been developed to describe the dose-response association, the process of damage production and the key repair mechanisms. These models often correlate the dose rate with the cell response to irradiation. Some of the existing models include the repair-misrepair (RMR) model, lethal-potentially lethal (LPL) model and two-lesion kinetics (TLK).⁴⁶ The main disadvantage of the LPL model is its inability to correlate the biochemical processes of DSB with the cell death. The RMR and linear quadratic models also share this limitation. Conversely, the TLK model carries out an improved correlation between the biochemical processes of DSB into simple or complex DSBs. This subdivision is important since simple and complex DSBs have different repair characteristics.⁴⁷ Therefore, all simulations carried out here using the Virtual Cell (VC) radiobiology simulator were performed using the TLK model. Further

details on this computational simulator can be found at: http://faculty.washington.edu/trawets/vc.

II.D. Simulated parameters

For each investigated radioisotope, only the most abundantly emitted radioactive particles were evaluated using the MCDS and MCER simulators. The general input details used for simulations with the MCDS model were as follows: initial cell number of 1000 and DMSO concentration of 0. The MCER input conditions are defined as follows: inhibition distance of 3 base pairs; probability of choosing a lesion from the first stand break of 0.5; polymerase error rate for SP-BER and LP-BER of 1.0⁻⁴ and 1.0⁻⁶, respectively; probability of incorrect insertion of opposite base lost of 0.75; and probability of incorrect insertion of opposite base lost of 0.75; and probability of incorrect insertion of opposite damaged base of 0.75.

In the input file for the VC simulator, the cell cycle time (T_c) and the cell potential doubling time (T_{pot}) values were adjusted to modeling two different cell phenotypes: normal prostate cells ($T_c = 48$ h and $T_{pot} =$ 500 days) and metastatic prostate cells ($T_c = 48$ h and $T_{pot} = 54$ days).⁴⁸ The number of DSBs and the percentage of complex DSBs were obtained from the MCDS simulator. These results were then applied as input parameters for the TLK model used in the VC simulator. Irradiation periods of 2, 24, 48, 120 and 240 h (TCUT-time allowed for repair after exposure), with total absorbed doses of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 8 Gy were studied using the VC simulator. Other parameters used in the VC simulator, specified in the TLK model input file, included: (1) DRM (damage repair model) = TLK, (2) CKM (cell kinetics model) = QECK (quasi-exponential cell kinetics model); (3) DNA (cell DNA content) = 5.667D+09 base pair; (4) DSB $(endogenous) = 4.3349 \times 10^{-03} \text{ Gy}^{-1} \text{ cell}^{-1}; (5) \text{ RHT} (repair half-time) = XXX, XXX = 0.25, 9 \text{ h} (simple DSBs)$ are repaired faster than complex DSBs); (6) A0 (probability of correct repair) = AAA, AAA = 0.95, 0.25 (simple DSBs are repaired more accurately than complex DSBs); (7) ETA (pairwise damage interaction rate) = 2.5×10^{-04} h⁻¹; (8) PHI (probability of a misrejoined DSB being lethal) = 0.005; (9) GAM (fraction of binary-misrepaired damages that are lethal) = 0.25; (10) N0 (initial number of cells) = 1000; (11) KAP (peak cell density) = 1.0D+38 cells/cm³; (12) VOL (tissue volume) = 1 cm³; (13) FRDL (fraction of residual that is lethal damage) = 0.5; (14) ACUT (absolute residual damage cutoff) = 1.0E-09 expected number of DNA damages per cell; (15) BGDR (average background absorbed dose rate on planet Earth) = 2.73748×10^{-07} Gy/h; (16) DCUT (dose cutoff) = 0.01 Gy; (17) STOL (step-size tolerance) = 0.01 Gy/h; (18) SAD (scaled absorbed dose) = RX1, RX1 = 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 8 Gy; (19) GF [growth fraction, if 0 (zero) all cells are quiescent, if 1 (one) all cells are cycling and if 0.5 the cell population is heterogeneous] = 0, 0.5, 1.49

II.E. Statistical analysis

The MCDS results are expressed as a percentage of damage. The MCER results are expressed as a probability of repair or number of cell cycles. The VC values are expressed as survival fraction or the number of surviving cells. The statistical significance was determined using ANOVA (p < 0.01).

III. RESULTS

III.A. MCDS and MCER results

Figs. 1 and 2 present the radiation-induced DNA damages obtained post-irradiation with different radioactive particles, emitted by selected radioisotopes currently in use or under research for palliative treatment of bone metastases. The probability of correct repair, repair with a mutation and conversion into a DSB, and the number of repair cycles are presented in Figs. 3 and 4. Results obtained using the MCDS and MCER algorithms showed that ²²³Ra α particles were able to induce the highest amount of DNA damages of all investigated particles, having the lowest probability of correct repair of damages and highest probability of the repair processes to induce DSB.



Figure 1: DNA damage results obtained using the MCDS simulator. (A) Percentage of total DNA radioinduced SSB after irradiation; (B) Percentage of two different types of complex SSB; (C) Fraction of complex SSB DNA damages after irradiation; (D) Percentage of total DNA radioinduced DSB after irradiation; (E) Percentage

of two different types of complex DSB, (F) Fraction of complex DSB DNA damages after irradiation.

+	SSB	Complex SSB	Fraction complex SSB	DSB	Complex DSB	Fraction complex DSB
ž	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra
Matrix	¹⁷⁷ Lu	³² P	³² P	¹⁷⁷ Lu	¹⁷⁷ Lu	¹⁷⁷ Lu
	¹⁵³ Sm	¹⁸⁶ Re	¹⁸⁶ Re	¹⁸⁶ Re	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁷⁰ Tm
Order	^{117m} Sn	^{117m} Sn	^{117m} Sn	⁸⁹ Sr	^{117m} Sn	^{117m} Sn
_	⁹⁰ Y	⁹⁰ Y	90 Y	^{117m} Sn	¹⁵³ Sm	³² P
Ranking	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁵³ Sm	¹⁵³ Sm	³² P	³² P	¹⁵³ Sm
nki	⁸⁹ Sr	¹⁷⁷ Lu	¹⁷⁷ Lu	¹⁸⁸ Re	⁸⁹ Sr	⁹⁰ Y
Rai	¹⁸⁸ Re	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁶⁶ Ho	⁹⁰ Y	⁸⁹ Sr
	¹⁶⁶ Ho	¹⁶⁶ Ho	¹⁶⁶ Ho	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁸⁶ Re	¹⁸⁶ Re
	¹⁸⁶ Re	⁸⁹ Sr	⁸⁹ Sr	⁹⁰ Y	¹⁸⁸ Re	¹⁸⁸ Re
- 1	³² P	¹⁸⁸ Re	¹⁸⁸ Re	¹⁵³ Sm	¹⁶⁶ Ho	¹⁶⁶ Ho

Figure 2: Ranking order of the MCDS simulator results for different radionuclides. Data organized from the highest to the lowest measured values.



Figure 3: DNA damage repair results obtained using the MCER simulator. Probability (A) of correct repair (p COR), (B) repair with mutation (p MUT), (C) conversion to DSB (p DSB) of DNA SSB and (D) average number of repair cycles.

+	Probability Correct Repair	Probability Repair with Mutation	Probability Conversion to DSB	No. Repair Cycles
Order Matrix	¹⁶⁶ Ho	¹⁸⁸ Re	¹⁶⁶ Ho	²²³ Ra
	⁹⁰ Y	¹⁶⁶ Ho	⁸⁹ Sr	¹⁷⁷ Lu
	⁸⁹ Sr	⁹⁰ Y	⁹⁰ Y	^{117m} Sn
	¹⁸⁸ Re	³² P	¹⁸⁸ Re	¹⁷⁰ Tm
	³² P	¹⁸⁶ Re	³² P	90Y
bu	¹⁸⁶ Re	⁸⁹ Sr	¹⁸⁶ Re	¹⁵³ Sm
Ranking	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁵³ Sm	¹⁵³ Sm	⁸⁹ Sr
	¹⁵³ Sm	¹⁷⁷ Lu	¹⁷⁰ Tm	¹⁸⁶ Re
	^{117m} Sn	¹⁷⁰ Tm	^{117m} Sn	³² P
	¹⁷⁷ Lu	^{117m} Sn	¹⁷⁷ Lu	¹⁶⁶ Ho
- 1	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra	²²³ Ra	¹⁸⁸ Re

Figure 4: Ranking order of the MCER simulator results for different radionuclides. Data organized from the highest to the lowest measured values.

III.B. VC simulator

The cell survival fraction results obtained after irradiation with different radionuclides and particles are shown in Fig. 5. The estimated survival fraction rank order of all investigated particles was as follows: ¹⁶⁶Ho β^{-} particles, ¹⁸⁶Re β^{-} particles, ¹⁸⁸Re β^{-} particles > ⁸⁹Sr β^{-} particles > ³²P β^{-} particles > ⁹⁰Y β^{-} particles > ^{117m}Sn electrons > ¹⁵³Sm β^{-} particles > ¹⁷⁷Lu β^{-} particles > ¹⁷⁰Tm β^{-} particles > ²²³Ra α particles. Additionally, statistically significant differences were observed among the different irradiating agents (*p* < 0.0001 and *p*=0.0053 ANOVA, with and without ²²³Ra data, respectively).



Figure 5: (A) Cell survival fraction estimated for all isotopes in different exposure scenarios. (B) Cell survival fraction for ¹⁵³Sm and ⁸⁹Sr, two of the most commonly used radionuclides for palliative treatment of bone metastases in clinical routine: and ¹⁷⁰Tm and ¹⁷⁷Lu. The two radionuclides investigated in this study that presented the lowest survival fraction among all β ⁻ emitters evaluated.

The ²²³Ra α particles, the ¹⁷⁰Tm β ⁻ particles and the ¹⁷⁷Lu β ⁻ particles had the lowest survival fraction of all investigated irradiating agents, as determined using the VC simulator. Detailed examination of the estimated number of surviving cells at different proliferation status for these 3 irradiating agents is presented in Figs. 6 and 7. The estimated number of normal prostate cells that survived irradiation when all cells were quiescent, when the cell population was heterogeneous (with quiescent cells and cells actively dividing/on cycle) and when all cells were actively dividing is presented in Figs. 6a-6c, respectively. The number of metastatic prostate cells that survive the irradiation when all cells are quiescent, when the cell population is heterogeneous and all cells are actively dividing is shown in Figs. 7a-7c, respectively. When all cells were quiescent, the estimated number of normal prostate surviving cells is similar to the estimated number of metastatic prostate cells that survive irradiation and seems to be independent from the cell kinetics (Figs. 6a and 7a). Conversely, for actively dividing cellular populations, it is possible to observe that there are fewer surviving cells in the normal prostate cell population than in the metastatic prostate cell population (Figs. 6c and 7c). The estimated number of cells that survived a given irradiation scenario decrease in the following order: ¹⁷⁷Lu β ⁻ particles > ¹⁷⁰Tm β ⁻ particles > ²²³Ra α particles. Statistically significant differences were observed among these 3 different irradiating agents when normal prostate cells were quiescent, the cell population was heterogeneous and all cells were actively dividing (p < 0.0001, ANOVA). Similar results were found for the metastatic prostate cells (p < 0.0001, ANOVA).



Figure 6: Number of normal prostate cells that survive irradiation with different irradiating agents in a quiescent cell population (A), in a heterogeneous cell population (B), and in cells actively dividing (C). Simulated doses of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 8 Gy and irradiation periods of 2, 24, 48, 120 and 240 h.



Figure 7: Number of metastatic prostate cells that survive irradiation with different irradiating agents in a quiescent cell population (A), in a heterogeneous cell population (B), and in cells actively dividing (C). Simulated doses of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 8 Gy and irradiation periods of 2, 24, 48, 120 and 240 h.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Here we report the use of different computational methods to evaluate multiple radioisotopes for the palliative treatment of bone metastases, specifically in the context of metastatic prostate tumors. In this analysis, we included radioisotopes currently used in the clinical practice or under research as potentially useful agents for treatment of palliative bone metastases. The biological effects resulting from irradiation with these radioisotopes, both at the DNA level and at the cellular level, were evaluated and compared. Our findings, obtained by means of three different computational simulators, indicated that the most promising agents for palliative treatment of bone metastases from prostate cancer are the ²²³Ra α particles, the ¹⁷⁷Lu β ⁻ particles and the ¹⁷⁰Tm β ⁻ particles. Other commonly used radioisotopes for palliative treatment of bone metastases, such as ⁸⁹Sr, were found to be inferior in inducing lethal damages than ¹⁷⁷Lu, ¹⁷⁰Tm or ²²³Ra, with radiobiological properties similar to ³²P. Overall data also suggested that ¹⁵³Sm β ⁻ particles were superior at inducing cell death compared with ⁸⁹Sr β ⁻ particles.

The MCDS results showed that the percentage of SSB and DSB after irradiation were highest for ²²³Ra α particles, followed by ¹⁷⁷Lu β ⁻ particles. The same trend was observed for a percentage of complex SSB and DSB. Conversely, the probability of correct repair was low for these irradiating agents and the number of repair cycles was high for all the repair processes studied, regardless of the repair route (MCER results). A higher number of repair cell cycles has been correlated with prolonged repair times^{45,49}, which correlates with increased percentage of complex damages. Previous studies have demonstrated that the complex damage repair by excision can lead to an increased number of DSBs.^{45,50} It is well known that DNA DSBs are frequently associated with apoptosis induction.⁴¹ The damage induced by ²²³Ra α particles and ¹⁷⁷Lu β ⁻ particles seem to have hampered the successful repair of the DNA by BER or NER, presenting a high probability for DSB formation and low probability for correct repair. Furthermore, the high number of DNA DSBs induced by the 223 Ra α particles and 177 Lu β ⁻ particles together with their high DNA SSB conversion to DSB may translate an increased probability of apoptosis induction for these three radioisotopes compared with the other investigated agents. In fact, data from the VC simulator estimated a low survival fraction for 223 Ra α particles and 177 Lu β ⁻ particles, suggesting these irradiating agents would be more promising in the killing of metastatic cells than the other investigated irradiating agents. Furthermore, the VC simulator estimated a low survival fraction for cells irradiated with ¹⁷⁰Tm β ⁻ particles. Interestingly, the total number of DSB induced by DNA irradiation with ¹⁷⁰Tm β ⁻ particles was in the low range, but the percentage of complex DSB was ranked together with ¹⁷⁷Lu β ⁻ particles, which supports for the importance of modelling the fraction of complex DSB when determining the radiation induced cell damages using radiobiological models.

Detailed analysis of the cellular kinetics post-irradiation using ¹⁷⁷Lu β^{-} particles, ¹⁷⁰Tm β^{-} particles and ²²³Ra α particles showed that the cell response was dose- and radionuclide-dependent. For low dose TCUTs, virtually no differences were found between ¹⁷⁰Tm β^{-} particles and ¹⁷⁷Lu β^{-} particles. Conversely, for high TCUT values, irradiation with ¹⁷⁰Tm β^{-} particles resulted in a lower number of surviving cells than irradiation with ¹⁷⁷Lu β^{-} particles. This may be a result of differences in the physical half-life of ¹⁷⁷Lu and ¹⁷⁰Tm (6.2 and 127.8 days, respectively) that will contribute differently for dissimilar radiation fractioning.

Data also showed that a larger number of metastatic cells survived irradiation when compared with normal prostatic cells, in particular, when all cells were actively dividing. This observation highlights the influence of cell proliferation rate on cell response to irradiation, where a faster cell cycle time means the cells were less radiosensitive. Due to its shorter doubling time (54 days versus 500 days for metastatic and normal cells, respectively), metastatic prostate cells were able to compensate radiation-induced damage by rapid cell duplication.

²²³Ra α particle emission is an appealing strategy for the treatment of bone micrometastases, owing to the short tissue range penetration associated with these radioactive particles that can allow for a more circumscribed irradiation surface. Furthermore, typically α emitters induce less hematologic toxicity for a given bone surface dose than β⁻ emitters.^{6,11,16} The high linear energy transfer of α particles has been associated with greater biological effectiveness than β⁻ particles.^{6,11,16} Our findings using computational simulation indicated that ²²³Ra α particles induced significantly more DSBs and were more effective in inducing cell death than all the other particles. To date, the ²²³Ra-dicloride has been the only radiotracer identified for treatment of palliative bone metastasis with shown ability to increase patients' life-expectancy.^{11,51}

Within the β^{-} particle emitters investigated here, the ¹⁷⁷Lu has the lowest tissue penetration range (1.8 mm). A common concern associated with the use of β^{-} particle emitters is related to its large tissue penetration range of several millimeters, which can result in energy deposition in neighboring, non-targeted cells, a phenomenon known as "crossfire" that may lead to bystander effects. With α particle emitters, due to their short tissue penetration range, the "crossfire" effect is not such a concern, but may require targeting virtually every cell within large size tumors and does not take advantage of the positive bystander effects.³⁹

The short tissue penetration range of ¹⁷⁷Lu β^{-} particles is placed in the lowest end of the β^{-} particle emitter's tissue penetration range, minimizing the negative "crossfire" effects often associated with β^{-} particle emitters; and it is higher than typical α particles allowing us to take advantage of the positive bystander effects that are not attainable with conventional α particles emitters. In addition, ¹⁷⁷Lu low β^{-} particle energy and intermediate physical half-life of 6.73 days allow for a constant dose rate in the target lesion sites.²⁵ Here we found that ¹⁷⁷Lu β^{-} particles induced the maximum number of DSB among all β^{-} emitters studied radionuclides and was part of the top three most effective radionuclides to induce cell death. Data presented here together with the physical characteristics of ¹⁷⁷Lu previously described, suggest that replacing the radionuclides ¹⁵³Sm and ⁸⁹Sr β^{-} particles in comparison with ¹⁵³Sm and ⁸⁹Sr β^{-} particles.

The long physical half-life of ¹⁷⁰Tm (128.6 days) can be an advantage for this radiotracer distribution and storage compared with either ²²³Ra (11.4 days) or ¹⁷⁷Lu (6.2 days).³² However, the physical half-life of a radioisotope and the dosing regimen adopted can have a considerable impact on cellular response to irradiation. For example, delivery of 90% of the total dose of radiation requires approximately 3.5 halflives of decay³², which will correspond to a time interval of approximately 64 weeks for ¹⁷⁰Tm, 6 weeks for ²²³Ra and 3 weeks for ¹⁷⁷Lu.³² This may explain the VC results presented here, where the number of cells surviving irradiation with ¹⁷⁰Tm β ⁻ particles was lowest when the TCUT was highest, which highlights the importance of adjusting the therapeutic fractioning scheme to the chosen radionuclide. The longer time period required to deliver 90% of the radiation dose of ¹⁷⁰Tm compared with ²²³Ra and ¹⁷⁷Lu may be a disadvantage for patients with short life expectancy.

The clinical outcomes of the palliative treatment of bone metastases using radiotracers can vary with the used radionuclide and radiotracer (see, Supplementary Table 1). Notwithstanding, previously published data has shown that the ²²³Ra α particles have an increased anti-tumor effect when compared with β^{-} particles.^{6,16,28} This might explain recent data demonstrating that ²²³Ra was the first agent in its class to show an overall survival advantage in patients with bone metastases from prostate carcinomas.³⁵ In line with these observations, our *in silico* analysis showed a superiority of ²²³Ra α particles compared with the modelled β^{-} particles.

Computational methods allow for rapid and easy data collection. Nonetheless, some limitations have been pointed out, including modeling and evaluation based on current knowledge, which works as a mechanistic process. Furthermore, the computational algorithms used in this study only model simple repair processes and exclude DSBs repair processes, such as homologous repair and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair processes. Moreover, the used algorithms assume an optimal scenario of ionizing energy deposition directly into the DNA, scenario that often is challenging to achieve in vitro and in vivo due to inefficient radiotracer biodistribution processes. Notwithstanding, thus far, results from in silico testing using the VC simulator have been compared with data from a total of 23 in vitro datasets obtained using different cell types and exposure conditions. Results from that in silico versus in vitro comparison demonstrated that the simulated data obtained using the VC algorithm compared favorably with real-life measured data. Results from comparative tests against 23 published radiobiological datasets can be found at http://faculty.washington.edu/trawets/archive/index.html. Therefore, although validation of the used simulators has been carried out in selected irradiation scenarios and specific cellular populations^{40,47,50,52}, indicating these methods can be useful as a first-line approach to large data sets to help planning subsequent in vitro and in vivo studies, the data presented here requires further validation by means of in vitro and in vivo studies to fully establish the therapeutic potential of the investigated radioisotopes in the context of palliative treatment of bone metastases. In particular, because the clinical outcome of a given therapeutic depends not only on the cellular response, but also on, for example, the individual patient differences in clinical conditions, patient preparedness, life expectancy, age and the existence of secondary tumors. Furthermore, aspects such as radiotracer biodistrubition, elimination and concentration in the biological target tissue in vivo would also impact therapeutic response.

In conclusion, this study compared different irradiating agents using the same exposure conditions and controllable cell populations to clarify the quality of these radioisotopes for palliative treatment of bone metastases, specifically in the context of prostate cancer. Collected data allowed the ranking of eleven different radioisotopes in terms of ability to induce DNA damage and probability of damage repair. The top three agents able to induce the highest cellular damage and cell death were found to be the ¹⁷⁷Lu β ²²³Ra α particles. ²²³Ra-dichloride is a particularly appealing approach for the treatment of bone metastases based on the data reported here, this radionuclide physical properties, suitable production methods and feasible logistics of distribution. Within the β ² particle emitters investigated, ¹⁷⁷Lu was the preferred one due to its estimated low survival fraction, together with its adequate half-life and physical properties for image acquisition. Although ¹⁷⁰Tm β ² particles may also represent an interesting therapeutic approach in the palliative treatment of bone metastases, its long half-life and low gamma ray emission yield

may be suboptimal for a rapid pain alleviation and therapy monitoring of cancer patients. Data presented here indicated that ⁸⁹Sr and ¹⁵³Sm, two of the most frequently used radionuclides in the palliative treatment of bone metastases in clinical routine practice, had modest effect on the cell survival fraction. Although *in vitro* and *in vivo* data are necessary to support our findings, the observations reported here may support for a careful rethinking of the widespread clinical use of ⁸⁹Sr and ¹⁵³Sm and, perhaps, strengthen the use of ²²³Ra and ¹⁷⁷Lu in the palliative treatment of bone metastases.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES:

- Stewart BW, Wild CP. World Cancer Report 2014. (Stewart, B. W., Wild CP, ed.). IARC Nonserial Publication; 2014:630.
- Chakraborty S, Das T, Sarma HD, Venkatesh M, Banerjee S. Comparative studies of 177Lu-EDTMP and 177Lu-DOTMP as potential agents for palliative radiotherapy of bone metastasis. *Appl Radiat Isot*. 2008;66(9):1196-205. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2008.02.061.
- Maini CL, Sciuto R, Romano L, Bergomi S. Radionuclide therapy with bone seeking radionuclides in palliation of painful bone metastases. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;22(4 Suppl):71-4. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16767910.
- Bedi M, King DM, Tutton S. Minimally Invasive Local Treatments for Bone and Pulmonary Metastases. *Minim Invasive Surg.* 2014:1-9. doi:10.1155/2014/719394.
- Lewington VJ. Bone-seeking radionuclides for therapy. J Nucl Med. 2005;46 Suppl 1(1):38S-47S.
 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16767910.
- Pandit-Taskar N, Larson SM, Carrasquillo J a. Bone-Seeking Radiopharmaceuticals for Treatment of Osseous Metastases, Part 1: α Therapy with 223Ra-Dichloride. J Nucl Med. 2014;55(2):268-74. doi:10.2967/jnumed.112.112482.

- Silberstein EB, Drive SM. Dosage and Response in Radiopharmaceutical Therapy of Painful Osseous Metastases Dosage and Response in Radiopharmaceutical Therapy of. *J Nucl Med.* 1996;37:249-252.
- 8. Pillai MR a., Chakraborty S, Das T, Venkatesh M, Ramamoorthy N. Production logistics of 177Lu for radionuclide therapy. *Appl Radiat Isot*. 2003;59(2-3):109-118. doi:10.1016/S0969-8043(03)00158-1.
- Daha FJ, Shafiei M, Sheibani S, et al. Production of 177 Lu and formulation of Ethylene diamine tetramethylene phosphonate (EDTMP) kits as a bone-seeking radiopharmaceutical. *Iran J Radiat Res.* 2010;7(4):229-234.
- Wang C, Wang J, Jiang H, Zhu M, Chen B, Bao W. In vitro study on apoptosis induced by strontium-89 in human breast carcinoma cell line. *J Biomed Biotechnol.* 2011;2011:541487. doi:10.1155/2011/541487.
- Harrison MR, Wong TZ, Armstrong AJ, George DJ. Radium-223 chloride: a potential new treatment for castration-resistant prostate cancer patients with metastatic bone disease. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2013;5:1-14. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S25537.
- Tavares AAS, Tavares JMRS. (99m)Tc Auger electrons for targeted tumour therapy: a review. Int J Radiat Biol. 2010;86(4):261-70. doi:10.3109/09553000903564083.
- Henriksen G, Fisher DR, Roeske JC, Bruland ØS, Larsen RH. Targeting of Osseous Sites with alpha-Emitting 223Ra: Comparison with the Beta-Emitter 89Sr in Mice. *J Nucl Med.* 2003;44(2):252-259.
- 14. Volkert W a, Hoffman TJ. Therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals. *Chem Rev.* 1999;99(9):2269-92.
 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11749482.
- Ramdahl T, Flux GD, Sgouros G. radiopharmaceutical therapy. *Phys Med Biol*. 2013;57(10):3207-3222. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/57/10/3207.A.
- 16. Nilsson S, Franzén L, Parker C, et al. Two-year survival follow-up of the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase II study of radium-223 chloride in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer and bone metastases. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2013;11(1):20-6. doi:10.1016/j.clgc.2012.07.002.

- Argyrou M, Valassi A, Andreou M, Lyra M. Rhenium-188 production in hospitals, by w-188/re-188 generator, for easy use in radionuclide therapy. *Int J Mol Imaging*. 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/290750.
- Tomblyn M. The role of bone-seeking radionuclides in the palliative treatment of patients with painful osteoblastic skeletal metastases. *Cancer Control.* 2012;19(2):137-44. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22487976.
- Sivaprasad N, Rajagopal G. Radiopharmaceuticals for Cancer Therapy. *Pharma Times*. 2012;44(04):26-29.
- Simón J, Frank RK, Crump DK, Erwin WD, Ueno NT, Wendt RE. A preclinical investigation of the saturation and dosimetry of 153Sm-DOTMP as a bone-seeking radiopharmaceutical. *Nucl Med Biol*. 2012;39(6):770-6. doi:10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2011.12.015.
- Abbasi IA. Preliminary studies on (177)Lu-labeled sodium pyrophosphate (177Lu-PYP) as a potential bone-seeking radiopharmaceutical for bone pain palliation. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2012;39(6):763-9. doi:10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2012.02.001.
- Vigna L, Matheoud R, Ridone S, et al. Characterization of the [(153)Sm]Sm-EDTMP pharmacokinetics and estimation of radiation absorbed dose on an individual basis. *Phys Med.* 2011;27(3):144-52. doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2010.08.001.
- Bączyk M. Radioisotope therapy of bone metastases. *Nucl Med Rev Cent East Eur*. 2011;14(2):96-104.
 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22219150.
- Abbasi IA. Studies on 177Lu-labeled methylene diphosphonate as potential bone-seeking radiopharmaceutical for bone pain palliation. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2011;38(3):417-25. doi:10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2010.09.013.
- Máthé D, Balogh L, Polyák A, et al. Multispecies animal investigation on biodistribution, pharmacokinetics and toxicity of 177Lu-EDTMP, a potential bone pain palliation agent. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2010;37(2):215-26. doi:10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2009.09.004.

- 26. Das T, Chakraborty S, Sarma HD, et al. (170)Tm-EDTMP: a potential cost-effective alternative to (89)SrCl(2) for bone pain palliation. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2009;36(5):561-8. doi:10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2009.02.002.
- Bryan JN, Bommarito D, Kim DY, et al. Comparison of systemic toxicities of 177Lu-DOTMP and 153Sm-EDTMP administered intravenously at equivalent skeletal doses to normal dogs. *J Nucl Med Technol.* 2009;37(1):45-52. doi:10.2967/jnmt.108.054700.
- Nilsson S, Franzén L, Parker C, et al. Bone-targeted radium-223 in symptomatic, hormone-refractory prostate cancer: a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled phase II study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2007;8(7):587-94. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(07)70147-X.
- Nilsson S, Strang P, Ginman C, et al. Palliation of bone pain in prostate cancer using chemotherapy and strontium-89. A randomized phase II study. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2005;29(4):352-7. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2004.07.008.
- Nilsson S, Larsen RH, Fosså SD, et al. First clinical experience with alpha-emitting radium-223 in the treatment of skeletal metastases. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11(12):4451-9. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-2244.
- Neves M, Kling a., Oliveira a. Radionuclides used for therapy and suggestion for new candidates. J Radioanal Nucl Chem. 2005;266(3):377-384. doi:10.1007/s10967-005-0920-5.
- 32. Sartor O. Overview of samarium sm 153 lexidronam in the treatment of painful metastatic bone disease. *Rev Urol.* 2004;6 Suppl 10:S3-S12. Available at: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1472939&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abst ract.
- 33. Bé M, Chisté V, Dulieu C, et al. Table of Radionuclides. Bur Int des Poids Mes. 2008;1-6.
- Bouchet LG, Bolch WE, Goddu SM, Howell RW, Rao D V. Considerations in the selection of radiopharmaceuticals for palliation of bone pain from metastatic osseous lesions. *J Nucl Med.* 2000;41(4):682-7. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10768569.

- 35. Goyal J, Antonarakis ES. Bone-targeting radiopharmaceuticals for the treatment of prostate cancer with bone metastases. *Cancer Lett.* 2012;323(2):135-146. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2012.04.001.
- 36. Srivastava SC, Atkins HL, Krishnamurthy GT, et al. Treatment of metastatic bone pain with tin-117m Stannic diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid: a phase I/II clinical study. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1998;4(1):61-8. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9516953.
- Bauman G, Charette M, Reid R, Sathya J, Ontario C, Care E. Radiopharmaceuticals for the palliation of painful bone metastases — a systematic review Therapeutic Radiopharmaceutical Guidelines Group. *Radiother Oncol*. 2005;75:1-13. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2005.03.003.
- Keeling AA, Vaughan ATM, Beaney RP. Yttrium-90-EDTMP: a radiotherapeutic agent in the treatment of leukaemias. *Br J Cancer*. 1989;60:74-78.
- Jackson MR, Falzone N, Vallis K a. Advances in anticancer radiopharmaceuticals. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)*. 2013;25(10):604-9. doi:10.1016/j.clon.2013.06.004.
- Semenenko V a, Stewart RD. A fast Monte Carlo algorithm to simulate the spectrum of DNA damages formed by ionizing radiation. *Radiat Res.* 2004;161(4):451-7. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15038766.
- Carlson DJ, Stewart RD, Semenenko V a, Sandison G a. Combined use of Monte Carlo DNA damage simulations and deterministic repair models to examine putative mechanisms of cell killing. *Radiat Res.* 2008;169(4):447-59. doi:10.1667/RR1046.1.
- Nikjoo H, Uehara S, Wilson WE, Hoshi M, Goodhead DT. Track structure in radiation biology: theory and applications. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 1998;73(4):355-64. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9587072.
- 43. Nikjoo H. Radiation track and DNA damage. *Iran J Radiat Res.* 2003;1(1):3-16.
- 44. Semenenko V a, Stewart RD. Fast Monte Carlo simulation of DNA damage formed by electrons and light ions. *Phys Med Biol*. 2006;51(7):1693-706. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/51/7/004.

- Semenenko VA, Stewart RD. Monte Carlo Simulation of base and nucleotide excision repair of clustered DNA damage sites. II. Comparisons of model predictions to measured data. *Radiat Res.* 2005;164(2):194-201.
- 46. Sach RKS, Feld PHAHN, Er DJB. Review The link between low -LET dose-response relations and the underlying kinetics of dam age production / repair / m isrepair. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 1997;72(4):351-374.
- 47. Guerrero M, Stewart RD, Wang JZ, Li XA. Equivalence of the linear-quadratic and two-lesion kinetic models. *Phys Med Biol.* 2002;47(17):3197-209. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12361218.
- Berges RR, Vukanovic J, Epstein JI, et al. Implication of cell kinetic changes during the progression of human prostatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1995;1(5):473-80. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9816006.
- 49. Tavares AAS, Tavares JMRS. Evaluating [sup 99m]Tc Auger electrons for targeted tumor radiotherapy by computational methods. *Med Phys.* 2010;37(7):3551. doi:10.1118/1.3451117.
- Semenenko VA, Stewart RD, J AE. Monte Carlo simulation of base and nucleotide excision repair of clustered DNA damage sites. I. Model properties and predicted trends. *Radiat Res.* 2005;164(2):180-193.
- Nilsson S, Franzén L, Parker C, et al. Two-Year Survival Follow-Up of the Phase II Study of Radium 223 Chloride in Patients With Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer and Bone Metastases. *CLGC*.
 2013;11(1):20-26. doi:10.1016/j.clgc.2012.07.002.
- Stewart RD. Two-lesion kinetic model of double-strand break rejoining and cell killing. *Radiat Res.* 2001;156(4):365-78. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11554848.