Psicothema 2013, Vol. 25, No. 3, 330-335 doi: 10.7334/psicothema2012.246 ISSN 0214 - 9915 CODEN PSOTEG Copyright © 2013 Psicothema www.psicothema.com

Predictors of burnout among nurses: An interactionist approach

Cristina Queiros¹, Mary Sandra Carlotto², Mariana Kaiseler¹, Sofia Dias¹ and Ana Mónica Pereira¹ ¹ Universidade do Porto (Portugal) and ² Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (Brasil)

Abstract

Psicothema

Background: Nurses' practice involves working in complex organizational settings and facing multiple stressors over time that can lead to burnout. This study aimed to identify predictors of burnout among nurses working in hospitals. Method: A sample of 1,157 participants from four hospitals in the city of Porto (Portugal) was investigated (78% women, mean age = 34.7 years) using socio-demographic and work variable questionnaires, the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI-HSS), Personal Views Survey (PVS), Job Satisfaction Scale (S20/23), and Survey Work-Home Interaction -NijmeGen (SWING). Results: Multiple linear hierarchical regression analyses (stepwise method) showed that gender, age, years of experience at work, working in more than one institution, being involved in management positions, job satisfaction, hardiness, and experience of work-home and home-work interaction, seem to be predictors of burnout among nurses. Conclusions: This study adds support to the interactionist approach to burnout. In other words, it is crucial to investigate the relationship between several factors such as socio-demographic, work, and personality factors to understand burnout. Additionally, these findings should be taken into account when designing burnout prevention programs for nurses working in hospitals.

Keywords: Burnout, hardiness personality, job satisfaction, nurses, work-family interaction.

Resumen

Predictores de Burnout en enfermeras: un enfoque interaccionista. Antecedentes: la práctica de enfermería implica trabajar en organizaciones complejas y enfrentar estresores múltiples que pueden llevar al síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo (burnout). El presente estudio pretende identificar predictores del burnout en enfermeras que trabajan en hospitales. Método: la muestra estuvo formada por 1.157 profesionales de enfermería trabajadores de cuatro hospitales de Porto (Portugal), siendo 78% mujeres (media de edad= 34,7 años). Se utilizó un cuestionario para recoger variables sociodemográficas y laborales, Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI-HSS), Personal Views Survey (PVS), Job Satisfaction Scale (S20/23) y Survey Work-Home Interaction - NijmeGen (SWING). Resultados: el análisis de regresión linear jerárquica múltiple (stepwise method) mostró que el género, edad, años de trabajo, trabajar en más de una institución, participar en puestos de dirección, satisfacción laboral, personalidad resistente e interacción trabajo-familia son los principales predictores del burnout entre enfermeras. Conclusiones: este estudio apoya el enfoque interaccionista del burnout, siendo importante investigar la relación entre los factores sociodemográficos, laborales y de la personalidad para entender mejor el burnout. Además, estos resultados deben tenerse en cuenta para el diseño de programas de prevención de burnout para las enfermeras que trabajan en hospitales.

Palabras clave: enfermería, interacción trabajo-familia, personalidad resistente, satisfacción laboral, síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo.

Burnout has received extensive attention from researchers over the last 35 years. Additionally, burnout has recently been considered a public health problem (Gil-Monte, 2009). The prevalence of burnout is not only detrimental for the individual worker, but also for the organization, as it reduces productivity and affects the quality of services provided (Schaufeli & Buunk, 2003). When analyzing burnout in human service professions, it has been related mainly to the psychological and emotional demands of the relationships between caregivers and patients (Maslach & Jackson, 1984). Particularly, nurses working in complex organizational settings facing multiple stressors over time can develop burnout. Among the different methodologies used to study burnout, an

interactionist approach has been shown to be the most appropriate perspective to understand factors related to the burnout condition (Burisch, 2002; Ebling & Carlotto, 2012). Additionally, by understanding the factors that influence burnout, one can design efficient practical interventions in the area of Occupational Health Psychology (Briner, 2012). Hence, the current study aims to investigate predictors of burnout among nurses.

Burnout is described as comprising three dimensions: emotional exhaustion, characterized by lack of energy and enthusiasm and depletion of emotional resources; depersonalization, described as negative attitudes toward clients, colleagues, and the organization; and reduced personal accomplishment, manifested as a worker's tendency towards negative self-evaluation, showing dissatisfaction with their own performance at work (Maslach & Jackson, 1981).

Nurses' burnout has received extensive and continuous research attention over the years, and among health care workers, nursing is thought to be the most stressful profession (Carlotto, 2011; Grau-Alberola, Gil-Monte, García-Juesas, & Figueiredo-Ferraz, 2010; Pisanti, van der Doef, Maes, Lazzari, & Bertini, 2011).

Received: September 4, 2012 • Accepted: January 18, 2013 Corresponding author: Cristina Queiros Faculdade de Psicologia e de Ciências da Educação Universidade do Porto 4200-135 Porto (Portugal) e-mail: cqueiros@fpce.up.pt

Nurses are considered to be particularly susceptible to the danger of burnout, due to the very stressful nature of their work, which has a negative impact on their mental and physical health, efficacy and productivity (Gil-Monte, 2005; Hudek-Knežević, Kalebić, & Krapić, 2011). A nurse's job is predominantly emotional, and this factor is considered as a main stressor, which can result in a direct intention to leave work (Bartram, Casimir, Djurkovic, Leggat, & Stanton, 2012). Previous research has shown that demographic variables and personality characteristics (Ebling & Carlotto, 2012; Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001), combined with work characteristics (Pisanti et al., 2011), job insatisfaction (Figueiredo-Ferraz, Grau-Alberola, Gil-Monte, & García-Juesas, 2012) and negative work-family interaction (Geurts & Demerouti, 2003; Koekemoer & Mostert, 2006) are related to burnout among nurses. Particularly, in line with findings from previous empirical research, males show higher levels of cynicism than females (Gil-Monte, 2002), single people are more prone to burnout than married people (Maslach et al., 2001). Professionals with low levels of hardiness (Maslach et al., 2001), who are younger (Ilhan, Durukan, Taner, Maral, & Bumin, 2008), and who are more dissatisfied with their work are also more likely to experience burnout (Kalliath & Moris, 2002). Finally, negative work-family interaction correlates with higher levels of exhaustion and depersonalization (Burke & Greenglass, 2001).

In agreement with this idea, Occupational and Health Psychology (OHP) suggested that further research in this area is required, contemplating not only work environment sources of stress and occupational risks to health, but also personality characteristics and work-family interaction (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health [NIOSH], 2005). Following these suggestions, the current study aims to investigate these variables among nurses working in hospitals. It is believed that findings will not only contribute to further theoretical knowledge in the area of burnout, but will also provide valuable information for applied interventions in this area, aiming to improve the quality of work life, protect and promote safety, health and well-being among nurses.

Method

Participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted in four public hospitals in the district of Porto (Portugal), during 2011. A purposive sample of nurses was recruited. The inclusion criterion was nurses who worked for more than three months in the common services of all four hospitals. Nurses recruited worked in Surgery, Internal Medicine, and Emergency. A total of 1,157 nurses participated in this study (76% of the target population - total nurses in these services in Porto, Portugal), with a response rate of 77%.

The nurses included in the present sample had a mean age of 34.76 years (SD = 8.67). Most were female (77.5%), lived with a partner (57.5%), had children (52.9%), and had a second job (58.1%). Most worked 35 hours (54.7%) per week, and the mean time working at the institution was 10.46 years (SD = 8.2).

Instruments

Data were collected using a questionnaire specifically designed to investigate some socio-demographic factors (sex, age, marital status, children), working variables (time working at the institution, other jobs, being in management positions, and employment status), according to the literature. Additionally, the following self-report instruments were used:

Maslach Burnout Inventory - Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS) by Maslach and Jackson (1986), Portuguese version prepared by Carlotto and Câmara (2007). This instrument includes a total of 22 statements assessing the three dimensions of burnout: Emotional exhaustion (9 items), Depersonalization (5 items), and Personal accomplishment (8 items). Each item was rated using a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (*never*) to 6 (*every day*). In this study, the average of each dimension was used.

Personal Views Survey (PVS) by Kobasa (1982) was used to assess hardiness personality; the Portuguese version was prepared by Mallar and Capitão (2004). This instrument consists of 50 items measuring the trait of Hardiness. Each item was rated on a 4-point scale, ranging from 0 (not at all true) to 3 (completely true).

Job Satisfaction Scale (S20/23), originally by Meliá and Peiró (1989) and adapted to Portugal (Pocinho & García, 2008). This instrument consists of 23 items, which were rated on a 7-point scale, ranging from 1 (*extremely dissatisfied*) to 7 (*completely satisfied*).

Survey Work-Home Interaction NijmeGen (SWING) by Geurts, Taris, Kompier, Dikkers, Hoof, and Kinnunnen (2005) was used to measure work-home interaction. The questionnaire consists of 22 items divided into four subscales: Negative Work-home Interaction (NWHI; 8 items), Positive Work-home Interaction (PWHI; 5 items), Negative Home-work Interaction (NHWI; 4 items); and Positive Home-work Interaction (PHWI; 5 items). Items were rated on a 4-point frequency scale, ranging from 0 (*never*) to 3 (*always*). In this study, the average of the items for each subscale was used.

Procedure

The study was approved by the research ethics committees at University and by each hospital. Prior to the study, representatives at each hospital informed their colleagues of the objectives and methodology of the study and requested their collaboration. A letter, together with the questionnaire, was sent to all nurses employed in the hospitals that participated in the research. Following this procedure, questionnaires were returned anonymously in dropoff boxes installed in various areas (services) of the hospital in order to guarantee confidentiality. This study was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. No financial or material incentives were offered to participants. All eligible participants agreed to participate and fulfilled a consent form.

Data analysis

The statistical program PASW version 17 (SPSS/PASW, Inc., Chicago, IL) was applied to conduct the data analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate frequencies, mean scores, and standard deviations. The strength and direction of relationships among variables were determined using Pearson's parametric correlation coefficient measure. Prior to performing the regression analysis, data were checked for multicolinearity, normality, linearity, homoscedasticity, independence of residuals and outliers. No major violations of the assumptions of the regression analysis were found. Multiple linear hierarchical regression analyses (stepwise method) were performed, using as dependent variables each burnout dimensions. According to the literature and considering that the current study aims to investigate predictors of burnout among nurses, first we introduced the sociodemographic variables, followed by personality characteristics, and finally, the work variables (job satisfaction and work-family interaction). Selection of the predictor variables was performed with the level of significance p<.05. In regression analysis, the power of the obtained significant effects, standardized regression coefficients—which can be considered as effect sizes in terms of standard deviation units—were calculated for each final model, following Field (2009).

Results

Table 1 provides the means, standard deviations, alphas and correlations among the variables. Results showed that the nurses in this study exhibit moderate values in emotional exhaustion, low values in depersonalization, and high values in personal accomplishment, when considering a scale ranging from 0 (*never*) to 6 (*every day*).

The instruments showed acceptable levels of internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha ranging from .70 to .93 (Field, 2009). The correlation matrix showed some significant correlations between the variables. The correlations found between the variables varied from low (r = .059) to moderate (r = .531).

Table 2 shows the results of hierarchical multivariate regression analysis for the variables predicting the three burnout dimensions. The results for emotional exhaustion identified a predictor model composed of the variables NWHI, job satisfaction, hardiness, PWH, and working as a nurse only in one institution. This model explained 44.7% of the variance for this dimension. Thus, higher levels of NWHI (β = .365) predicted higher emotional exhaustion at work. Higher levels of hardiness (β = - .203), job satisfaction (β = -.290), PWHI (β = -.090) and working as a nurse only in one institution (β = -.076), predicted less emotional exhaustion.

Regarding depersonalization, the final model was explained by the variables hardiness personality, NHWI, age, job satisfaction, gender and NWHI, with 24.3% of its total variability explained. Particularly, lower levels of hardiness ($\beta = -.195$), lower levels of NWHI ($\beta = -.164$), being younger ($\beta = -.160$), lower levels of job satisfaction ($\beta = -.145$), and being male ($\beta = -.156$) predicted depersonalization. Higher levels of depersonalization increase as NHWI increases ($\beta = .099$).

Personal accomplishment was predicted by the variables hardiness, NHWI, PWHI, job satisfaction, being involved in management positions, and time of service in the institution. This model explained 18.1% of the variance for this dimension. Higher levels of hardiness ($\beta = .203$), PWHI ($\beta = .126$), job satisfaction ($\beta = .124$), and more years of work experience ($\beta = .062$) predicted higher levels of personal accomplishment. On the other hand, NHWI ($\beta = .201$) and being involved in management positions ($\beta = .072$) also predicted personal accomplishment.

Note that, in this sample, job satisfaction and hardiness are individual variables with common predictive value in explaining the variability of burnout in all three dimensions. Overall, results found are between the medium (R^2 = .105) and large effect sizes (R^2 = .447) as recommended by Field (2009).

Discussion

The current study aimed to identify and understand predictors of burnout among nurses. For this purpose, results will be discussed for each dimension of burnout. Concerning emotional exhaustion, results showed that NWHI has the greatest explanatory power. This

Variable	М	SD	α	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1. Emotional exhaustion	2.51	1.17	.88	1								
2. Despersonalization	1.19	1.01	.70	.388**	1							
3. Personal accomplishment	4.27	.87	.77	201**	267**	1						
4. Hardiness personality	1.89	.23	.85	418**	326**	.310**	1					
5. Job satisfaction	4.13	.92	.93	479**	269**	.232**	.307**	1				
6. Negative Work-Home Interaction (NWHI)	1.05	.46	.82	.531**	.304**	203**	352**	330**	1			
7. Negative Home-Work Interaction (NHWI)	.57	.43	.77	.244**	.296**	269**	316**	158**	.387**	1		
8. Positive Work-Home Interaction (PWHI)	1.11	.58	.84	192**	.009	.150**	.114**	.213**	045	.129**	1	
9. Positive Home-Work Interaction (PHWI)	1.26	.62	.82	059*	.042	.092**	.042	.130**	.062*	.085**	.506**	1
10. Age	34.76	8.67	-	119**	202**	.072*	002	.059*	175**	044	.013	008
11. Institution years	10.46	8.17	-	.080**	154**	.065*	014	.036	114**	025	.001	012
12. Sex (a)	-	-	-	.042	171**	028	.041	.037	.013	016	.007	.005
13. Marital status ^(b)	-	_	-	015	60*	.020	047	.007	028	.011	032	.011
14. Have a children ^(c)	-	-	-	.075*	.133**	014	.029	023	.086**	.008	.029	.005
15. Exclusivity ^(c)	-	-	-	035	.077**	015	016	.000	.077*	.031	002	.080*
16. Management positions (c)	_	_	_	.006	.025	090**	037	007	.022	019	.004	003

Note: (a) 0- Man, 1- Female; (b) 0- Married, 1- Not Married; (c) 0- Yes, 1- No

Table 2 Model summary of regression analysis for dimensions of burnout (Stepwise method)									
	R ²	R ^{2 Adjusted}	R change	В	SE	β	t		
Dependent variable: Emotional exhaustion									
Negative Work-Home Interaction (NWHI)	.282	.281	.282	.938	.063	.365**	14.788		
Job satisfaction	.400	.395	.114	371	.032	290**	-11.706		
Hardiness personality	.431	.431	.036	-1.016	.123	203**	-8.261		
Positive Work-Home Interaction (PWHI)	.440	.438	.008	182	.046	090**	-3.947		
Exclusivity ^(a)	.447	.443	.006	180	.053	076**	-3.405		
Model F	178.885**								
Dependent variable: Depersonalization									
Hardiness personality	.112	.111	.112	857	.129	195**	-6.641		
Negative Home-Work Interaction (NHWI)	.152	.152	.040	.395	.070	.164**	5.667		
Age	.187	.187	.035	019	.003	160**	-6.001		
Job satisfaction	.213	.213	.026	163	.032	145**	-5.093		
Sex ^(b)	.237	.236	.023	384	.064	156**	-5.960		
Negative Work-Home Interaction (NWHI)	.243	.243	.007	.223	.069	.099*	3.214		
Model F				59.522**					
Dependent variable: Personal accomplishment									
Hardiness personality	.105	.105	.105	.752	.111	.203**	6.761		
Negative Home-Work Interaction (NHWI)	.136	.136	.031	408	.059	201**	-6.888		
Positive Work-Home Interaction (PWHI)	.158	.157	.021	.188	.042	.126**	4.458		
Job satisfaction	.171	.171	.014	.118	.028	.124**	4.245		
Management positions (a)	.177	.177	.006	222	.084	072**	-2.652		
Job experience	.181	.181	.004	.007	.003	.062*	2.288		
Model F				40.849**					

finding is in line with Geurts and Demerouti's (2003) suggestion that the interaction between work and home should be considered as an important antecedent of burnout. This finding may also be supported by recent changes occurring at the workplace across different professions, including the health sector, due to economic trends. These changes require workers to be more dedicated (e.g., work longer hours) and have multiple jobs, increasing overall workload. This idea is supported by our findings, as the nurses in this study who had more than one job seem to experience higher levels of emotional exhaustion than those who worked exclusively at one institution. As suggested by Bacharach, Bamberger, and Conley (1991), work-family conflict occurs when the demands of working life are incompatible with the demands of family life. In the current study, it seems that this work overload leads to the interference of work with the family and increases emotional exhaustion. Similar results were found in the studies of Brauchli, Bauer and Hämmig (2011), and Mostert (2011). As explained by the last author, this finding can be due to workers making an additional effort to face daily demands, without having an opportunity to recover. Thus, consistent experience is likely to cause an accumulative process that develops over time, resulting in burnout. In our study, PWFI showed a negative relationship with emotional exhaustion, becoming a protective factor. When individuals are able to maintain their investment in effort within acceptable limits, using opportunities for control and support, energy resources can be replaced rather than depleted (Mostert, 2011). In our study, emotional exhaustion was also predicted by hardiness, as shown previously in other studies of nurses (Garrosa,

Rainho, Moreno-Jiménez, & Monteiro, 2010; Simoni & Paterson, 1997). Similarly, this burnout dimension was also predicted in our study by job satisfaction, as previously found by Figueiredo-Ferraz et al. (2012) in a sample of nurses. These findings are similar to previous studies (e.g., Ebling & Carlotto, 2012; Gil-Monte, 2005) conducted in the work setting, and add support to the idea that job satisfaction is an important protector of burnout.

The dimension of depersonalization for the nurses in our study was mainly explained by the individual characteristic of hardiness. This finding is not in line with previous suggestions that factors related to work are the main predictors of depersonalization (Maslach et al., 2001). However, the low explanatory power of hardiness in our study is consistent with other findings that investigated the relationship between hardiness and burnout (Ghorpade, Lackritz, & Singh, 2011). Hence, our findings add support to the argument that hardiness seems to be a protective factor for burnout. Additionally, results showed that male nurses, younger nurses, and nurses that are less satisfied with their work have higher levels of depersonalization. These findings are similar to those found previously in the occupational setting (e.g., Houkes, Winants, Twellaar, & Veronk, 2011; Maslach & Jackson, 1984; Patrick & Lavery, 2007). It is believed that the gender differences found in this study may be associated with the different social roles of males and females in the workplace (Aryee, 1993). Additionally, the findings suggest that more care should be taken with younger nurses, particularly, applied interventions should be designed aiming to teach efficient coping strategies to this population, thus decreasing the experience of stress in their relationship with patients.

NWHI and NHWI were predictors of depersonalization, and these results support previous findings of Peeters, Montgomery, Bakker, and Schaufeli (2005), suggesting that job demands and home demands have a direct effect on burnout. Our findings indicate that the nurses in the current study experienced not only demands in the work domain, but also at home. Because in hospitals, nurses' timetables include occasionally working overtime, this result is not surprising and it is disruptive for home/family life. Accordingly, Patrick and Lavery (2007) suggested that nurses' schedules should attempt to synchronize life and family commitments with regular work patterns, which is difficult to conciliate. Additionally, the authors added that nurses' jobs also enclose pressured or unexpected overtime required in the profession, hence adding to the existing worker demands.

Concerning personal accomplishment, the results show that hardiness has the greatest explanatory power of all variables analyzed in our study. According to Maddi (2006), hardiness appears as a combination of interrelated cognitive/emotional attitudes and interaction approaches (action patterns) combined to provide the motivation and strategies for turning developmental and imposed stresses from potential threats into growth opportunities. Hence, this trait, together with the job satisfaction, increases the feeling that work is a source of fulfillment. Additionally, results showed that increased time on the job predicted personal accomplishment. This finding may be explained by the fact that nurses with more experience on the job may experience higher confidence about their tasks, and may have developed relationships at work that are more meaningful to them. In coherence with this idea, Patrick and Lavery (2007) suggested that nurses who work longer on the job are likely to have previously experienced most work scenarios. Therefore, they understand and manage problems or potential ambiguous work situations with higher confidence and certainty. Additionally, our findings suggest that nurses with management position experience had lower personal accomplishment. This result

can be explained by the fact that nursing is mainly characterized by the provision of care to patients. Therefore, management tasks are likely to decrease this contact with patients, and consequently, to decrease nurses' personal accomplishment regarding their job. Additionally, personal accomplishment was also predicted by the NFWI and PWFI. These findings suggest that the work-family variable can be a protector or a potential threat in predicting personal accomplishment among nurses.

One of the strengths of the present study is that the data of the nurses was collected only in hospitals, reducing possible bias associated with data collection in other health care services and/or health centers. Additionally, this study utilized a strong theoretical basis, using reliable and valid instruments to collect data. Regarding sample size, it was sufficient to provide power for a significant effect size in the statistical analyses. Finally, the effect sizes found fit those recommended by Cohen (1988).

The results of this study should be considered in the light of some limitations, such as the cross-sectional design. Another limitation of the study is the utilization of self-reported measures, which may increase the possibility of response bias.

Overall, this study contributes to the development of theoretical knowledge in the area of burnout among nurses. Furthermore, the findings have important implications for occupational psychology, applied practitioners, and administrative managers working in the hospital context. Particularly, the results should be used to shed light on possible applied interventions among nurses, such as increasing hardiness levels. Additionally, our findings suggest that another action recommended for nurses is to conduct training programs aimed to develop emotional regulation skills and learning techniques to cope with the emotional demands of work and family (Yanchus, Ebby, Lance, & Drollinger, 2010). At the organizational level, interventions should consider factors related to job satisfaction and the chance to improve salaries, hence allowing nurses to work exclusively in a single job.

References

- Aryee, S. (1993). Dual-earner couples in Singapore: An examination of work and non work sources of their experienced burnout. *Human Relations*, 46, 1441-1469.
- Bacharach, S.B., Bamberger, P., & Conley, S.C. (1991). Work processes, role conflict, and role overload: The case of nurses and engineers in the public sector. *Work and Occupations*, 17, 199-228.
- Bartram, T., Casimir, G., Djurkovic, N., Leggat, S.G., & Stanton, P. (2012). Do perceived high performance work systems influence the relationship between emotional labour, burnout and intention to leave? A study of Australian nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 68, 1567-1578.
- Brauchli, R., Bauer, G.F., & Hämmig, O. (2011). Relationship between time-bases work-life conflict and burnout: A cross-sectional study among employees in four large Swiss enterprises. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 70, 165-174.
- Briner, R.B. (2012). Developing evidence-based occupational health psychology. In J. Houdmont, L. Stavroula & R.R Sinclair (Eds.), *Contemporary occupational health psychology. Global perspectives on research and practice* (pp. 36-56). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Burisch, M. (2002). A longitudinal study of burnout: The relative importance of dispositions and experiences. Work & Stress, 16, 1-17.
- Burke, R., & Greenglass, E. (2001). Hospital restructuring, work-family conflict and psychological burnout among nursing staff. *Psychology* and Health, 16, 583-594.

- Carlotto, M.S. (2011). O impacto de variáveis sociodemográficas e laborais na Síndrome de Burnout em técnicos de enfermagem [The impact of sociodemographic and professional variables on Burnout syndrome in nursing staff]. *Revista da SBPH*, 14, 165-185.
- Carlotto, M.S., & Câmara, S.G. (2007). Propriedades psicométricas do Maslach Burnout Inventory em uma amostra multifuncional [Psychometrics properties of Maslach Burnout Inventory in a multifunctional sample]. *Estudos de Psicologia*, 24, 325-332.
- Cohen, J. (1988) Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Earlbaum.
- Ebling, M., & Carlotto, M.S. (2012). Burnout syndrome and associated factors among health professionals of a public hospital. *Trends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy*, *34*, 23-30.
- Field, A. (2009) Discovering statistics with SPSS (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- Figueiredo-Ferraz, H., Grau-Alberola, E., Gil-Monte, P.R., & García-Juesas, J.A. (2012). Síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo y satisfacción laboral en profesionales de enfermería [*Burnout and job satisfaction among nursing professionals*]. *Psicothema*, 24, 271-276.
- Garrosa, E., Rainho, C., Moreno-Jiménez, B., & Monteiro, M.J (2010). The relationship between job stressors, hardy personality, coping resources and burnout in a sample of nurses: A correlational study at two time points. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47, 205-215.

- Geurts, S., & Demerouti, E. (2003). Work/non-work interface: A review of theories and findings. In M.J. Schabracq, J.A.M. Winnubst & C.L. Cooper (Eds.), *The handbook of work and health psychology* (pp. 279-312). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Geurts, S., Taris, T., Kompier, M., Dikkers, J., Hoof, M., & Kinnunnen, U. (2005). Work-home interaction from a work psychological perspective: Development and validation of a new questionnaire, the SWING. *Work & Stress*, 19, 319-339.
- Ghorpade, J., Lackritz, J., & Singh, G. (2011). Personality as a moderator of the relationship between role conflict, role ambiguity, and burnout. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 41, 1275-1298.
- Gil-Monte, P.R. (2005). El síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo (burnout): una enfermedad laboral en la sociedad del bienestar [Burnout: An occupational illness in the wellbeing society]. Pirámide: Madrid.
- Gil-Monte, P. R. (2002). Influencia del género sobre el processo de desarrolo del síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo (*Burnout*) en profesionales de enfermeira [The influence of gender on the development of *Burnout* syndrome in nursing professionals]. *Psicologia em Estudo*, 7, 3-10.
- Gil-Monte, P.R. (2009). Algunas razones para considerar los riesgos psicosociales en el trabajo y sus consecuencias en la salud pública [Some reasons to consider psychosocial occupational risks and their consequences on public health]. *Revista Española de Salud Pública*, 83, 169-173.
- Grau-Alberola, E., Gil-Monte, P.R., García-Juesas, J.A., & Figueiredo-Ferraz, H. (2010). Incidence of burnout in Spanish nursing professionals: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47, 1013-1020.
- Houkes, I., Winants, Y., Twellaar, M., & Veronk, P. (2011). Development of burnout over time and the causal order of the three dimensions of burnout among male and female GPs. A three-wave panel study. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 2-13.
- Hudek-Knežević, J., Kalebić, M.B., & Krapić, N. (2011). Personality, organizational stress, and attitudes toward work as prospective predictors of professional burnout in hospital nurses. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 52, 538-549.
- Ilhan, M., Durukan, E., Taner, E., Maral, I., & Bumin, M.A. (2008). Burnout and its correlates among nursing staff: Questionnaire survey. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 61, 100-106.
- Kalliath, T., & Morris, R. (2002). Job satisfaction among nurses: A predictor of burnout levels. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 32, 648-654.
- Kobasa, S.C. (1982). The hardy personality: Toward a social psychology of stress and health. In G. Sanders & J. Suls (Eds.), Social psychology of health and illness (pp. 3-32). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Koekemoer, F.E., & Mostert, K. (2006). Job characteristics, burnout and negative workhome interference in a nursing environment. *Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 32, 87-97.
- Maddi, S.R. (2006). Hardiness: The courage to grow from stresses. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 1, 60-168.

- Mallar, S.C., & Capitão, C.G. (2004). Burnout e hardiness: Um estudo de evidência de validade [*Burnout* and *hardiness*: A study of evidence of validity]. *Psico-USF*, 9, 19-29.
- Maslach, C., & Jackson S.E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. *Journal of Occupational Behaviour*, 2, 99-113.
- Maslach, C., & Jackson, S.E. (1984). Patterns of burnout among a national sample of public contact workers. *Journal of Health Resources Administration*, 7, 189-212.
- Maslach, C., & Jackson, S.E. (1986). Maslach Burnout Inventory, 4th ed. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologist Press.
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W.B., &. Leiter, M.P. (2001). Job burnout. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 397-422.
- Meliá, J.L., & Peiró, J.M. (1989). La medida de la satisfacción laboral en contextos organizacionales: el cuestionario de satisfacción S20/23 [The measurement of job satisfaction in organizational settings: The S20/23 Job Satisfaction Questionnaire]. *Psicologemas*, 3, 59-74.
- Mostert, K. (2011). Job characteristics, work-home interference and burnout: Testing a structural model in the South African context. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22, 1036-1053.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health [NIOSH] (2005). Occupational health psychology. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/ niosh/ohp.html.
- Patrick, K., & Lavery J.F. (2007). Burnout in nursing. Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing, 24, 43-48.
- Peeters, M.C.W., Montgomery, A.J., Bakker, A.B., & Schaufeli, B.W. (2005). Balancing work and home: How job and home demands are related to burnout. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 12, 43-61.
- Pisanti, R., van der Doef, M., Maes, S., Lazzari D., & Bertini, M. (2011). Job characteristics, organizational conditions, and distress/wellbeing among Italian and Dutch nurses: A cross-national comparison. *International Journal or Nursing Study*, 48, 829-837.
- Pocinho, M.D., & García, J.C. (2008). Impacto psicosocial de la tecnología de información y comunicación (TIC): tecnoestrés, daños físicos y satisfacción laboral [Psychosocial impact of information and communication technologies (ICT): Technostress, physical damage and professional satisfaction]. Acta Colombiana de Psicología, 11, 127-139.
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Buunk, B.P. (2003). Burnout: An overview of 25 years of research in theorizing. In M.J. Schabracq, J.A.M. Winnubst & C.L. Cooper (Eds.), *The handbook of work and health psychology* (pp. 383-425). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Simoni, P.S., & Paterson, J.J. (1997). Hardiness, coping, and burnout in the nursing workplace. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 13, 178-185.
- Yanchus, N.J., Eby, L.T., Lance, C.E., & Drollinger, S. (2010). The impact of emotional labor on work-family outcomes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 76, 105-117.