

Research – Work in Progress – nº185, July 2005

Past expectations as a determinant of present prices – hysteresis in a simple economy

Sofia Castro João Correia-da-Silva



Faculdade de Economia do Porto - Rua Dr. Roberto Frias - 4200-464 - Porto - Portugal Tel . (351) 225 571 100 - Fax. (351) 225 505 050 - http://www.fep.up.pt

Past expectations as a determinant of present prices hysteresis in a simple economy^{*}

Sofia B. S. D. Castro¹ and João Correia-da-Silva

Faculdade de Economia. Universidade do Porto. (email addresses: sdcastro@fep.up.pt and joaocs@sapo.pt)

Abstract. We give an illustration of hysteresis (path-dependence) in a simple economy. In the presence of multiple possible equilibrium prices, we find that past expectations determine present prices. This phenomenon of path-dependence is robust under perturbations of the economy.

Keywords: Hysteresis, Path-dependence, Tatônnement, Equilibrium selection.

JEL classification: C62, D50.

1 Introduction

It is known that expectations play a key role in economics. Not only as deriving from an objective reality, but also as primary causes. This idea underlies what Keynes (1936) designated by "animal spirits", as well as the distinction that financial analysts find useful between the "bull market" and the "bear market". It is a consensus that expectations about the future are a determinant of present prices - good examples are the interest rate and the inflation rate. It is, however, not as widely accepted that past expectations may also be a determinant of present prices.

Hysteresis is associated to the permanence of effects from a temporary stimulus and to the idea of path dependence. Such phenomena are at the heart of evolutionary models, but do not appear frequently in mainstream economics. Some exceptions are the studies of international trade by Amable (1985) and Ljungqvist (1994), of investment by Dixit (1991), and of unemployment by Roed (1997).²

¹corresponding address: Faculdade de Economia do Porto; Rua Dr. Roberto Frias; 4200-464 Porto; Portugal; phone: +351 225 571 220; fax: +351 225 505 050.

²See Göcke (2002) and Katzner (1999) for surveys.

In most models, the concern for *uniqueness* and *determinacy* leaves no room for path-dependence. For example, the results of Arrow, Block and Hurwicz (1959) on stability of equilibrium use conditions that are strong enough to guarantee uniqueness. Nevertheless, in the presence of multiple equilibrium prices, the problem of selection arises and path-dependence may become an issue.

In order to study equilibrium selection, we need to make assumptions on the way market prices are formed. A famous endogenous process of reaching equilibrium is "the law of demand and supply": if demand exceeds supply, prices rise; and if supply exceeds demand, prices fall. In the lines of Arrow and Hurwicz (1958), we restrict our analysis to this kind of price formation processes.³

Our object of study is an economy with two agents and a single consumption good. There is subjective uncertainty about which of the two possible states of nature will occur, and a problem of risk sharing arises. Agents are subjective expected utility maximizers, having the same state-dependent utility functions but different beliefs. When agents have very asymmetric beliefs, the economy exhibits a multiplicity of equilibria, and this opens the way for the study of path-dependence.

We assume that the beliefs of the agents change during the bargaining process, and that prices adjust continuously. In this setting, we find that the equilibrium price that results from the tatônnement depends on past (transitory) beliefs. This is an example of hysteresis. Past expectations are a determinant of present prices, and this path-dependence is robust under perturbations of the economy under study.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we present the model of the economy; in section 3 we find hysteresis in a concrete example; and in section 4 we make a qualitative study of price trajectories and equilibrium dynamics.

2 The model

Consider a simple economy with two agents, a single good, and two states of nature. The agents have the same state-dependent utility functions, but different beliefs, $q^i = (q_1^i, q_2^i)$, concerning the probabilities of occurrence of the two states of nature. Denote

³We focus on what Arrow and Hurwicz call the "instantaneous adjustment process", but the same conclusions would be reached if the "lagged adjustment process" was assumed instead.

consumption of agent 1 in the two states of nature by (x_1, x_2) and consumption of agent 2 by (y_1, y_2) .⁴ The objective of the agents is to maximize subjective expected utility:

$$U^{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}; a - \epsilon, \mu) = -x_{1}^{\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}} - (a - \epsilon)x_{2}^{\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}}$$
$$U^{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}; a, \mu) = -y_{2}^{\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}} - ay_{1}^{\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}},$$

where the expectations of the agents are:

$$q^1 = (q_1^1, q_2^1) = (\frac{1}{1+a-\epsilon}, \frac{a-\epsilon}{1+a-\epsilon}), \text{ and } q^2 = (q_1^2, q_2^2) = (\frac{a}{1+a}, \frac{1}{1+a}).$$

The initial endowments of the agents in terms of contingent goods are $e^1 = (1, \theta)$, and $e^2 = (0, 1)$, so the economic problem is of risk sharing.

Normalizing prices to $p_1 = p$ and $p_2 = 1$, and maximizing each agent's utility subject to the budget constraint, we solve for the demand of good 1 to find:

$$x_1(p; a - \epsilon, \mu, \theta) = \frac{p + \theta}{p + (a - \epsilon)^{1 - \mu} p^{1 - \mu}}, \text{ and } y_1(p; a, \mu) = \frac{p^{\mu - 1}}{a^{\mu - 1} + p^{\mu}}.$$

Hence, excess demand in the market for good 1 is:

$$z_1(p; a, \epsilon, \mu, \theta) = (a - \epsilon)^{\mu - 1} p^{\mu - 1} \frac{p + \theta}{1 + (a - \epsilon)^{\mu - 1} p^{\mu}} + \frac{p^{\mu - 1}}{a^{\mu - 1} + p^{\mu}} - 1$$

The budget restrictions are obviously active. As suggested by Walras' Law, equilibrium in one market implies equilibrium in the two markets.⁵

We consider the classical tatônnement process, designated in Arrow and Hurwicz (1958) as the "instantaneous adjustment process". It is described by the differential equation: $\dot{p} = z_1(p; a, \epsilon, \mu, \theta)$.

The case considered by Bala (1997), which results in a pitchfork, is similar to the perfectly symmetric economy with $\epsilon = 0$ and $\theta = 0$. This yields:

$$z_1(p;a,\mu) = a^{\mu-1}p^{\mu-1}\frac{p}{1+a^{\mu-1}p^{\mu}} + \frac{p^{\mu-1}}{a^{\mu-1}+p^{\mu}} - 1.$$

Observe that p = 1 is an equilibrium price for all values of the parameters a and μ since $z_1(1; a, \mu) = 0$. Equilibrium price p = 1 undergoes a bifurcation when the bifurcation parameter a is such that: $\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial p}(1; a, \mu) = 0$ which occurs for $a^* = (2\mu - 1)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}$.

We also have
$$\frac{\partial^2 z_1}{\partial a \partial p}(1, a^*) > 0$$
, $\frac{\partial^2 z_1}{\partial p^2}(1, a^*) = 0$, and $\frac{\partial^3 z_1}{\partial p^3}(1, a^*) > 0$, for all $\mu \in [0, 1]$.

 $^{^{4}}$ Notice that everything applies to economies with two goods. The consumption of a single good in two states of nature can also be seen as consumption of two goods (Arrow, 1953).

⁵It is enough to consider the market for good 1, excess demand for good 2 is $z_2 = -pz_1$.

Hence, from Golubitsky and Schaffer (1985, Prop. II, 9.2) we know that, near p = 1 and $a = a^*$, the dynamics of the tatônnement are qualitatively equivalent to those of a subcritical pitchfork.⁶



Figure 1: The pitchfork occurs for $a^* = (2\mu - 1)^{1/(1-\mu)}$. Stability of branches is indicated both by solid lines and by arrows representing price movements.

However, the pitchfork occurs only in the fully symmetric economy, and is not robust to all perturbations of the original problem. It may be confirmed that our economy is an *universal unfolding* of the symmetric problem, that is, it includes all the perturbations using as few parameters as possible.⁷

It is known that small perturbations of a problem described by a pitchfork bifurcation may originate different kinds of dynamics. In section 4 we present a qualitative study, but before we provide a numerical example of hysteresis.

3 An Illustrative Example

For concreteness, consider $\mu = 0.8$ and $\theta = 0.6$. Let expectations about the future state of nature be extremely different: use a = 0.03 and $\epsilon = -0.04$, which is equivalent to $q_1 = (93.5\%, 6.5\%)$ and $q_2 = (2.9\%, 97.1\%)$.

Solving for equilibrium prices, we obtain three solutions: $\check{p} = 0.353$, $\bar{p} = 1.150$ and $\hat{p} = 4.334$. The intermediate solution ($\bar{p} = 1.150$) is unstable, that is, the law of demand is not satisfied, and therefore, a small perturbation of demand is amplified. Prices adjust either to $\check{p} = 0.353$ or to $\hat{p} = 4.334$.

⁶There is a coordinate system in which these dynamics are described by $\dot{x} = x^3 + \lambda x$.

⁷To see this, construct a matrix of partial derivatives as required to apply Golubitsky and Schaffer (1985, Prop. III, 4.4).

To determine prices and equilibrium allocations, we assume that the adjustment process follows continuous trajectories, according to the "instantaneous adjustment process". This may have multiple interpretations. For example, the trade under study may correspond to one period of the true economy, with endowments and preferences varying each period. The essential assumption is that beliefs are changing and prices adjust in a continuous way.

Suppose that preliminary expectations were such that a = 0.02 rather than a = 0.03, corresponding to $q_1 = (94.3\%, 5.7\%)$ and $q_2 = (2.0\%, 98.0\%)$. In this economy, equilibrium is unique: p = 0.141. If the expectations change continuously to reach a = 0.03, the price that results is $\check{p} = 0.353$.

On the other hand, past expectations such that a = 0.04, that is, $q_1 = (92.6\%, 7.4\%)$ and $q_2 = (3.8\%, 96.2\%)$, produce a different outcome. Equilibrium is also unique, but p = 4.043. Now if expectations changed continuously to a = 0.03, the resulting price would be $\hat{p} = 4.334$.



Figure 2: Equilibrium prices for $\mu = 0.8$, $\theta = 0.6$ and $\varepsilon = -0.04$. For a = 0.02 and a = 0.04there is only one equilibrium price, p = 0.141 and p = 4.043, respectively. For a = 0.03there are two stable equilibrium prices given by $\check{p} = 0.353$ and $\hat{p} = 4.334$ and one unstable equilibrium price given by $\bar{p} = 1.150$.

The economy exhibits hysteresis (path-dependence). Past expectations are a determinant of equilibrium prices.

4 Qualitative Bifurcation Diagrams

In this section, we do the local bifurcation analysis to describe of the qualitative behavior of the generic problem in terms of the unfolding parameters ε and θ . Since we are interested uniquely in small perturbations of the original (symmetric) problem around the bifurcation point, we can, without loss of generality, consider the Taylor polynomial of z_1 at $(p^*, a^*) = (1, (2\mu - 1)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}})$. Furthermore, since a pitchfork is 3determined (that is, equivalent to its Taylor polynomial of degree 3), it is sufficient to consider Taylor expansion up to order 3. This can be further simplified if we consider the weighted homogeneous setting.⁸

The weighted homogenous Taylor polynomial of weighted degree 3, with respect to weights (1, 2), at (p^*, a^*) of z_1 is given by:

$$G(x,\lambda,\varepsilon,\theta) = a_1 + a_2x + a_3\lambda + a_4x\lambda + a_5x^2 + a_6x^3,$$

where x = p - 1, $\lambda = a - a^*$, and the coefficients are functions of the unfolding parameters ε , θ , and of the parameter governing risk aversion μ .

Locally, the tatônnement problem is qualitatively equivalent to $\dot{x} = G(x, \lambda, \varepsilon, \theta)$, and the equilibrium price depends on the values of all three parameters: a (through λ), ε and θ . The different dynamics that result from perturbations of the symmetric economy are depicted in the bifurcation diagrams of figure 3.⁹ The three regions are separated by curves known as bifurcation set, \mathcal{B} and hysteresis set, \mathcal{H} , defined by:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ (\varepsilon, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \exists (x, \lambda) : G = G_x = G_\lambda = 0 \};$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \{ (\varepsilon, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \exists (x, \lambda) : G = G_x = G_{xx} = 0 \}$$

Again see Golubitsky and Schaeffer (1985, chapter III, 5.1) for more detail.

The bifurcation diagram between the curves \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{H} exhibits the hysteresic behaviour observed in our example.

⁸A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is weighted homogeneous of weight r with respect to a set of weights (α_1, α_2) if $f(t^{\alpha_1}x_1, t^{\alpha_2}x_2) = t^r f(x_1, x_2)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

⁹A bifurcation diagram is a low-dimension qualitative representation of all equilibria as the parameter varies. Here, bifurcation diagrams are drawn in the (a, p)-plane and branches of equilibria represented by solid lines correspond to stable equilibria whereas dotted lines correspond to unstable values of the equilibrium price.



Figure 3: Bifurcation and hysteresis sets (in bold) in the upper half-plane. Notice that θ represents the endowment of agent 1 in state 2. The bifurcation diagrams drawn (in the (a, p)-plane) remain qualitatively equivalent in each connected component. A solid line in a bifurcation diagram represents stability while a dotted line means the branch consists of unstable equilibria.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Carlos Hervés-Beloso and António Brandão for fruitful conversations. Sofia Castro was partially supported by the Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto (CMUP), financed by FCT (Portugal) through the programmes POCTI (Programa Operacional "Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovação") and POSI (Programa Operacional Sociedade da Informação), with national and European Community structural funds. João Correia-da-Silva acknowledges support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia and FEDER, III Quadro Comunitário de Apoio.

References

Amable, B.: Weak and strong hysteresis: an application to foreign trade. Economic Notes **24** (2), 353-374 (1995).

Arrow, K.J: The Role of Securities in the Optimal Allocation of Risk-Bearing. Econometrie, translated and reprinted in 1964, Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 31, pp. 91-96 (1953).

Arrow, K.J. and L. Hurwicz: On the Stability of Competitive Equilibrium, I. Econometrica **26**, 522-552 (1958).

Arrow, K.J., H.D. Block and L. Hurwicz: On the Stability of Competitive Equilibrium,

II. Econometrica 27, 82-109 (1959).

Bala, V.: A pitchfork bifurcation in the tatônnement process. Economic Theory **10**, 521-530 (1997).

Dixit, A.: Investment and Hysteresis. Journal of Economic Perspectives 6 (1), 107-132 (1992).

Göcke, M.: Various concepts of hysteresis applied in economics. Journal of Economic Surveys **16** (2), 167-188 (2002).

Golubitsky, M. and D. Schaffer: Singularities and groups in bifurcation theory, vol. I. Springer, New York (1985).

Katzner, D.W.: Hysteresis and the modeling of economic phenomena. Review of Political Economy **11** (2), 171-181 (1999).

Keynes, J.M.: The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money (1936).

Ljungqvist, L.: Hysteresis in international trade: a general equilibrium analysis. Journal of International Money and Finance **13**, 387-399 (1994).

Roed, K.: Hysteresis in Unemployment. Journal of Economic Surveys **11** (4), 389-418 (1997).

Recent FEP Working Papers

Nº 184	Carlos F. Alves and Victor Mendes, <i>Institutional Investor Activism:</i> <i>Does the Portfolio Management Skill Matter</i> ?, July 2005
Nº 183	Filipe J. Sousa and Luís M. de Castro, <u>Relationship significance: is it</u> <u>sufficiently explained?</u> , July 2005
Nº 182	Alvaro Aguiar and Manuel M. F. Martins, <u>Testing for Asymmetries in</u> <u>the Preferences of the Euro-Area Monetary Policymaker</u> , July 2005
Nº 181	Joana Costa and Aurora A. C. Teixeira, <u>Universities as sources of</u> <u>knowledge for innovation. The case of Technology Intensive Firms in</u> <u>Portugal</u> , July 2005
Nº 180	Ana Margarida Oliveira Brochado and Francisco Vitorino Martins, <u>Democracy and Economic Development: a Fuzzy Classification</u> <u>Approach</u> , July 2005
Nº 179	Mário Alexandre Silva and Aurora A. C. Teixeira, <u>A Model of the</u> <u>Learning Process with Local Knowledge Externalities Illustrated with</u> <u>an Integrated Graphical Framework</u> , June 2005
Nº 178	Leonor Vasconcelos Ferreira, <i>Dinâmica de Rendimentos, Persistência</i> da Pobreza e Políticas Sociais em Portugal, June 2005
Nº 177	Carlos F. Alves and F. Teixeira dos Santos, <u>The Informativeness of</u> <u>Quarterly Financial Reporting: The Portuguese Case</u> , June 2005
Nº 176	Leonor Vasconcelos Ferreira and Adelaide Figueiredo, <u>Welfare Regimes</u> <u>in the UE 15 and in the Enlarged Europe: An exploratory analysis</u> , June 2005
Nº 175	Mário Alexandre Silva and Aurora A. C. Teixeira, <u>Integrated graphical</u> <u>framework accounting for the nature and the speed of the learning</u> <u>process: an application to MNEs strategies of internationalisation of</u> <u>production and R&D investment</u> . May 2005
Nº 174	Ana Paula Africano and Manuela Magalhães, <u>FDI and Trade in</u> <u>Portugal: a gravity analysis</u> , April 2005
Nº 173	Pedro Cosme Costa Vieira, <u>Market equilibrium with search and</u> computational costs, April 2005
Nº 172	Mário Rui Silva and Hermano Rodrigues, <u>Public-Private Partnerships</u> and the Promotion of Collective Entrepreneurship, April 2005
Nº 171	Mário Rui Silva and Hermano Rodrigues, <u>Competitiveness and Public-</u> <u>Private Partnerships: Towards a More Decentralised Policy</u> , April 2005
Nº 170	Óscar Afonso and Álvaro Aguiar, <u>Price-Channel Effects of North-South</u> <u>Trade on the Direction of Technological Knowledge and Wage</u> <u>Inequality</u> , March 2005
Nº 169	Pedro Cosme Costa Vieira, <u>The importance in the papers' impact of</u> <u>the number of pages and of co-authors - an empirical estimation with</u> <u>data from top ranking economic journals</u> , March 2005
Nº 168	Leonor Vasconcelos Ferreira, <u>Social Protection and Chronic Poverty:</u> <u>Portugal and the Southern European Welfare Regime</u> , March 2005
Nº 167	Stephen G. Donald, Natércia Fortuna and Vladas Pipiras, <u>On rank</u> <u>estimation in symmetric matrices: the case of indefinite matrix</u> <u>estimators</u> , February 2005
Nº 166	Pedro Cosme Costa Vieira, <u>Multi Product Market Equilibrium with</u> <u>Sequential Search</u> , February 2005
Nº 165	João Correia-da-Silva and Carlos Hervés-Beloso, <u>Contracts for</u> <u>uncertain delivery</u> , February 2005
Nº 164	Pedro Cosme Costa Vieira, <u>Animals domestication and agriculture as</u> outcomes of collusion, January 2005
Nº 163	Filipe J. Sousa and Luís M. de Castro, <u>The strategic relevance of</u> <u>business relationships: a preliminary assessment</u> , December 2004
Nº 162	Carlos Alves and Victor Mendes, Self-Interest on Mutual Fund

	Management: Evidence from the Portuguese Market, November 2004
Nº 161	Paulo Guimarães, Octávio Figueiredo and Douglas Woodward,
	Measuring the Localization of Economic Activity: A Random Utility
	<u>Approach</u> , October 2004
Nº 160	Ana Teresa Tavares and Stephen Young, <u>Sourcing Patterns of Foreign-</u>
	owned Multinational Subsidiaries in Europe, October 2004
Nº 159	Cristina Barbot, Low cost carriers, secondary airports and State aid:
	an economic assessment of the Charleroi affair, October 2004
Nº 158	Sandra Tavares Silva, Aurora A. C. Teixeira and Mario Rui Silva,
	Economics of the Firm and Economic Growth. An hybrid theoretical
	<u>Indirework of analysis</u> , September 2004 Rodro, Rui Mazada, Cil, Expected, Profitability, of Capital, under
Nº 157	Uncertainty – a Microeconomic Perspective September 2004
Nº 156	Jorge M S Valente Local and global dominance conditions for the
	weighted earliness scheduling problem with no idle time. September
	2004
Nº 155	João Correia-da-Silva and Carlos Hervés-Beloso, Private
	Information: Similarity as Compatibility, September 2004
NO 154	Rui Henrique Alves, Europe: Looking for a New Model, September
N° 154	2004
	Aurora A. C. Teixeira, <u>How has the Portuguese Innovation Capability</u>
Nº 153	Evolved? Estimating a time series of the stock of technological
	<u>knowledge, 1960-2001</u> , September 2004
Nº 152	Aurora A. C. Teixeira, <u>Measuring aggregate numan capital in Portugal.</u>
	Ana Paula Delgado and Isabel Maria Godinho. The evolution of city
Nº 151	size distribution in Portugal: 1864-2001, July 2004
Nº 150	Patrícia Teixeira Lopes and Lúcia Lima Rodrigues, Accounting practices
	for financial instruments. How far are the Portuguese companies from
	<u>IAS?</u> , July 2004
NO 140	Pedro Cosme Costa Vieira, Top ranking economics journals impact
	variability and a ranking update to the year 2002, June 2004
Nº 148	Maria do Rosário Correia, Scott C. Linn and Andrew Marshall, <u>An</u>
	Empirical Investigation of Debt Contract Design: The Determinants of
	The Choice of Debt Terms in Europond Issues, June 2004
Nº 147	Country: The Portuguese IDP Revisited May 2004
Nº 146	Óscar Afonso and Álvaro Aquiar, Comércio Externo e Crescimento da
	Economia Portuguesa no Século XX. May 2004
Nº 145	Álvaro Aguiar and Manuel M. F. Martins, O Crescimento da
	Produtividade da Indústria Portuguesa no Século XX, May 2004
Nº 144	Álvaro Aguiar and Manuel M. F. Martins, Growth Cycles in XXth
	Century European Industrial Productivity: Unbiased Variance
	Estimation in a Time-varying Parameter Model, May 2004
Nº 143	Jorge M. S. Valente and Rui A. F. S. Alves, <u>Beam search algorithms for</u>
	the early/tardy scheduling problem with release dates, April 2004
Nº 142	Jorge M. S. Valente and Kul A. F. S. Alves, <u>Filtered and Recovering</u>
	pean search algorithms for the early/targy scheduling problem With no idle time. April 2004
	<u>no mie unie</u> , April 200 4

Editor: Prof. Aurora Teixeira (<u>ateixeira@fep.up.pt</u>)

Download available at:

http://www.fep.up.pt/investigacao/workingpapers/workingpapers.htm also in http://ideas.repec.org/PaperSeries.html

