

Asthma prevalence and risk factors in early childhood at Northern Portugal

P.T.B.S. Branco¹, R. A. O. Nunes¹, M.C.M. Alvim-Ferraz¹, F.G. Martins¹, Ferraz C.², Vaz
L.G.², S.I.V. Sousa^{*1}

LEPABE – Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy,
Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal

*Corresponding author:

Telephone: +351 22 508 2262

Fax: +351 22 508 1449

E-mail address: sofia.sousa@fe.up.pt

Postal address: Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465, E221, Porto, Portugal

Abstract

Asthma is the most common and important chronic non-infectious disease in childhood and its prevalence has increased in the last years. Due to the lack of studies in early childhood and as part of the INAIRCHILD project, this cross-sectional study aimed to assess asthma prevalence and its associated risk factors, namely demographic, environmental, psychosocial and clinical factors for infants and preschoolers living in Northern Portugal. Data concerning asthma prevalence were collected through questionnaires based on those from the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC-derived) questionnaires distributed to 1042 children attending the 17 nurseries involved in the INAIRCHILD project (10 in urban and suburban context, and 7 in rural context). The response rate was 48%. Prevalence of asthma based on symptomatology and odds ratio were calculated. Around 52% of the studied children presented at least one of the respiratory symptoms investigated (wheeze, dyspnoea and cough) in the absence of upper respiratory infections. The prevalence of asthma was 10.7%, comparable to that of Portuguese schoolchildren (6-7 years old) reported by the national Directorate-General of Health, thus showing that an early diagnosis might be possible and helpful for the mitigation of childhood asthma. Environmental context (urban, suburban or rural), gender and family asthma history showed clear associations with asthma prevalence, namely non-rural location, male gender, and having an asthmatic parent were found to be risk factors.

Keywords: Asthma, children, demographic, environmental, psychosocial and clinical risk factors

Authors' contributions

PTBSB collected field data, structured and drafted the manuscript, performed the statistical analysis and interpreted the results. RAON collected field data and contributed to the background and results sections. MCMAF and FGM contributed to the design of the study

and critically revised the manuscript. CF and LGV validated the questionnaires and the results and critically revised the manuscript. SIVS conceived the study, led the study design and coordination, contributed to the interpretation of the results, and critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

1. Introduction

Asthma is the most common and important chronic non-infectious disease of childhood and it is estimated that actually more than 300 million people are affected worldwide^{1, 2}. The prevalence of asthma has increased over the past few decades (currently is approximately 10% globally) and today is a serious public health issue with a considerable impact on health economics²⁻⁴, being its incidence higher during childhood^{2, 5}. Traditionally, asthma prevalence surveys were based on the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) questionnaire and mainly focusing on children aged 6-7 and 13-14 years old^{1, 6, 7}. However, more than half of all cases of persistent asthma start before the 3 years old⁸. Although in preschool age it is difficult to make a definite diagnosis of asthma, it is worth determining the prevalence and analyzing risk factors for this phenomenon among preschool aged children is very important⁹⁻¹¹. Many risk factors for childhood asthma have been identified, including allergic sensitization, family history of asthma, severe respiratory tract infections, low birth weight, and pollutants such as parental tobacco smoke^{1, 5, 12-14}. However, their impact on prevalence trends in infancy and preschool age has rarely been studied^{1, 9}. Thus, as part of the INAIRCHILD project¹⁵, this cross-sectional study aimed to assess asthma prevalence and associated risk factors, namely demographic, environmental, psychosocial and clinical risk factors for infants and preschoolers living in Northern Portugal.

2. Materials and methods

Study population was comprised by 1042 children attending the 17 nursery schools involved in the INAIRCHILD project (approved by the Ethics Commission of Universidade do Porto and by the Ethics Commission of Hospital de S. João, Porto). These nursery schools were located in urban and suburban contexts in Porto district and in rural context in Bragança district (both in northern region of Portugal). In Porto district there were considered 3 and 7 nursery schools, respectively for infants (children aged under 3) and pre-schoolers (3-5 years old); in Bragança district, there were considered 2 and 5 nursery schools, respectively for infants and pre-schoolers.

Data concerning asthma prevalence were collected through ISAAC-derived questionnaires, distributed in 2013/2014 academic year to all the children attending the nurseries involved. The questionnaire included questions concerning sex, age, height, weight, distance from home to school, socioeconomic status, tobacco smoke exposure habits, family asthma history and health symptoms related to asthma prevalence: asthma previously diagnosed, wheezing, dyspnoea and their severity through asthma attacks (number in the previous year, speech-limiting attacks, during night and induced by exercise). Parents or guardians signed a participation consent according to the Helsinki Declaration developed by the World Medical Association, and completed the questionnaire. Uncompleted questionnaires were excluded. Questionnaires and their evaluation were validated by medical doctors.

Logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios (OR). The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using *epicalc* package in R software, version 3.1.2¹⁶.

3. Results and discussion

From the 1042 ISAAC-derived questionnaires distributed, a total of 497 questionnaires were considered complete for this study (response rate of 48%). From those, 197 questionnaires were from children attending nursery schools in Bragança district - rural context, and 160 and 140 questionnaires were from children attending nursery schools in Porto district - urban and suburban context, respectively. The studied population (mean age 3.6 years old) is characterised in Table 1. Around 52% of the studied children presented at least one of the respiratory symptoms investigated (wheeze, dyspnoea and cough) in the absence of upper respiratory infections. The prevalence of wheezing for lifetime period and for the past year was 30.4% and 17.1%, respectively.

Based on the answers from the questionnaires, children were considered asthmatic if wheezing and dyspnoea were reported simultaneously, or if previously diagnosed asthma was self-reported^{17, 18}. Therefore, the studied population registered a global asthma prevalence of 10.7%, which is similar to the estimated prevalence (11.0%) for Portuguese children population aged 6-7 years old¹⁹, showing that an early diagnosis might be possible and will for sure be beneficial for the mitigation of childhood asthma.

In Chicago, United States of America (USA), Grant et al.²⁰ obtained from ISAAC-derived questionnaires a prevalence of asthma of 10.8% among inner-city kindergarten children (mean age 5.7 years), which was found similar to that found in the present study for the same age (preschoolers). Also in USA, and with a different methodology to obtain data on asthma prevalence (3 national surveys), Akinbami et al.²¹ reported asthma prevalence in children aged 0-4 years old, lower than in the present study (6.2%) in the period of 2004-2005. In Los Angeles (USA), from new born to 5 years old, asthma prevalence was estimated to be 5.9%²², lower than that found in the present study; this age group had the lowest asthma prevalence in comparison with the other groups studied (6-11 and 12-17 years old), however having the

highest number of emergency room or urgent care visits. In New York State (USA)²³ asthma prevalence for the age group 0-4 years old (7.3%) was also the lowest estimated (compared with 5-9, 10-14 and 15-17 years old groups).

Zhao et al.²⁴ studied children in the 3 major cities of China applying also ISAAC-based questionnaires, and registered lower asthma prevalences (3.15% in Beijing, 7.45% in Chongqing, and 2.09% Guangzhou). In a Portuguese study based on a similar methodology, de Sousa et al.²⁵ reported an asthma prevalence of 9.56% for children aged 0-7 years old from Matosinhos (a suburban area in Porto district), slightly lower than the prevalence estimated for the suburban area studied in the present study (also in Porto district).

Table 2 shows the distribution of asthma risk factors for the studied children, determined using univariate logistic regression analysis. Odds ratio (OR) and respective 95% confidence interval (CI) are also presented. In the studied population, asthma prevalence was higher for boys than for girls and body mass index (BMI) seemed to be a risk factor for asthma prevalence, with higher risk for obese children (OR = 1.32), all results consistent with the literature^{21, 23}. Children attending nursery schools located in non-rural areas (urban and suburban areas) were found to have greater risk (OR = 1.90 and 2.49) than in rural areas. Zhu et al.²⁶ also registered lower asthma prevalence in Chinese children aged 5 years old or younger from rural areas (1.33%) than in those from urban areas (2.83%) of Beijing, although both lower than in the present study. In Tennessee (USA), Valet et al.²⁷ studied asthma prevalence in children under 6 years old between 1995 and 2000, and found it higher in rural context (13%) than in suburban (12%) or in urban (11%), which is the opposite from the findings in the present study (6.6%, 11.9% and 15.0%, respectively). However, these comparison should be made with caution, not only because the methodology to obtain prevalence in data in that study was different (asthma diagnosis was based on hospital databases and pharmacy claims), but also because the classification of rural context could be

different. On the other hand and contrary to what was found in previous studies²⁴, it was not found an increase in asthma prevalence with the age. Additionally, asthma prevalence did not seem to be dependent on distance from home to nursery school. Although socioeconomic status (SES) is a measure of the family's economic and social position in relation to others, based on income, education and occupation²⁸, the questionnaires did not provide information about income. So, in the present study, SES was estimated merely based on education and parents' occupation which constitutes a limitation. Results showed higher prevalence for children with high SES, but literature findings suggested the opposite¹². However, the limitations in the results make them uncertain and its interpretation tricky. Although asthma is one of the diseases showing the largest burdens due to environmental tobacco smoke¹², results showed that globally living with smokers did not constitute a risk factor for asthma prevalence, which might have been due to the tendency of adults to avoid smoking in the presence of young children. In fact, Yeh et al.¹⁰ concluded that parental smoking was not related to asthma development in early childhood.

The factor with higher risk (OR = 4.89) was having at least one of parent with asthma, confirming asthma family history as an evident risk factor for infants and pre-schoolers¹.

4. Conclusions

Results showed high asthma prevalence in early childhood at Northern Portugal, comparable to that of Portuguese schoolchildren (6-7 years old) reported by the national Directorate-General of Health, thus showing that an early diagnosis might be possible and helpful for the mitigation of childhood asthma. Environmental context (urban, suburban or rural), gender and family asthma history showed clear associations with asthma prevalence, namely non-rural location, male gender, and being the son of an asthmatic parent were found to be risk factors. In the future it could be important to confirm prevalence results through medical exams (e.g.

spirometry), and to compare them with asthma prevalence in school children in the same regions which will allow to validate asthma prevalence diagnosis in an early age (infancy and preschool age). It could be also important to extent to other risk factors.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the children and their parents, as well as to the nursery schools' staff involved in this study. The authors are also grateful to *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT), COMPETE, QREN and EU for PTDC/SAU-SAP/121827/2010 funding. PTBS Branco and SIV Sousa are also grateful to FCT, POPH/QREN and European Social Fund (ESF) for the financial support of grants SFRH/BD/97104/2013 and SFRD/BPD/91918/2012, respectively.

References

1. Strina, A., et al., *Risk factors for non-atopic asthma/wheeze in children and adolescents: a systematic review*. Emerg Themes Epidemiol, 2014. **11**: p. 5.
2. Bjerg, A., et al., *Decreased importance of environmental risk factors for childhood asthma from 1996 to 2006*. Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 2015. **45**(1): p. 146-153.
3. Van Den Akker-van Marle, M.E., J. Bruil, and S.B. Detmar, *Evaluation of cost of disease: Assessing the burden to society of asthma in children in the European Union*. Allergy, 2005. **60**(2): p. 140-149.
4. Lawson, J.A., et al., *Asthma incidence and risk factors in a national longitudinal sample of adolescent Canadians: a prospective cohort study*. BMC Pulm Med, 2014. **14**: p. 51.
5. Bjerg-Backlund, A., et al., *Asthma during the primary school ages--prevalence, remission and the impact of allergic sensitization*. Allergy, 2006. **61**(5): p. 549-55.
6. Akcay, A., et al., *Risk factors affecting asthma prevalence in adolescents living in Istanbul, Turkey*. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr), 2014. **42**(5): p. 449-58.
7. Muc, M., A. Mota-Pinto, and C. Padez, *Prevalence of asthma and rhinitis symptoms among children living in Coimbra, Portugal*. Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia (English Edition), 2014. **20**(4): p. 208-210.
8. Weichenthal, S., A. Dufresne, and C. Infante-Rivard, *Indoor ultrafine particles and childhood asthma: exploring a potential public health concern*. Indoor Air, 2007. **17**(2): p. 81-91.
9. Patelarou, E., N. Tzanakis, and F. Kelly, *Exposure to Indoor Pollutants and Wheeze and Asthma Development during Early Childhood*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2015. **12**(4): p. 3993.

10. Yeh, K.W., et al., *Prevalence and risk factors for early presentation of asthma among preschool children in Taiwan*. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol, 2011. **29**(2): p. 120-6.
11. van der Mark, L.B., et al., *Predicting asthma in preschool children at high risk presenting in primary care: development of a clinical asthma prediction score*. Prim Care Respir J, 2014. **23**(1): p. 52-9.
12. Anto, J.M., *Recent advances in the epidemiologic investigation of risk factors for asthma: a review of the 2011 literature*. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep, 2012. **12**(3): p. 192-200.
13. Sousa, S.I., et al., *Spirometric tests to assess the prevalence of childhood asthma at Portuguese rural areas: influence of exposure to high ozone levels*. Environ Int, 2011. **37**(2): p. 474-8.
14. Sousa, S.I., M.C. Alvim-Ferraz, and F.G. Martins, *Health effects of ozone focusing on childhood asthma: what is now known--a review from an epidemiological point of view*. Chemosphere, 2013. **90**(7): p. 2051-8.
15. Sousa, S.I., et al., *Indoor air pollution on nurseries and primary schools: impact on childhood asthma--study protocol*. BMC Public Health, 2012. **12**: p. 435.
16. Team, R.D.C., *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. www.R-project.org, 2014.
17. Alvim-Ferraz, M.C.M., et al., *European Directives for Air Quality: Analysis of the New Limits in Comparison with Asthmatic Symptoms in Children Living in the Oporto Metropolitan Area, Portugal*. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal, 2005. **11**(3): p. 607-616.
18. Sousa, S.I., et al., *Ozone exposure and its influence on the worsening of childhood asthma*. Allergy, 2009. **64**(7): p. 1046-55.

19. *Direção Geral da Saúde. Programa Nacional para as Doenças Respiratórias. 2ª Edição. Novembro 2013. www.dgs.pt, 2013.*
20. Grant, E.N., et al., *Prevalence and burden of illness for asthma and related symptoms among kindergartners in Chicago public schools.* Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol, 1999. **83**(2): p. 113-20.
21. Akinbami, L.J., et al., *Status of Childhood Asthma in the United States, 1980–2007.* Pediatrics, 2009. **123**(Supplement 3): p. S131-S145.
22. *The Los Angeles County Health Survey: Childhood Asthma.* [http://www.lapublichealth.org/wwwfiles/ph/hae/ha/childasthmabrief_1004.pdf], [cited 2015 Set 2], 2004.
23. *New York State Department of Health, Center for Community Health, Public Health Information Group. New York State Asthma Surveillance Summary Report. October 2013. , 2013.*
24. Zhao, J., et al., *Self-reported prevalence of childhood allergic diseases in three cities of China: a multicenter study.* BMC Public Health, 2010. **10**: p. 551.
25. de Sousa, J.C., et al., *Asthma in an urban population in Portugal: a prevalence study.* BMC Public Health, 2011. **11**: p. 347.
26. Zhu, W.-J., et al., *Prevalence and Treatment of Children's Asthma in Rural Areas Compared with Urban Areas in Beijing.* Vol. 128. 2015. 2273-2277.
27. Valet, R.S., et al., *High asthma prevalence and increased morbidity among rural children in a Medicaid cohort.* Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 2011. **106**(6): p. 467-473.
28. Green, L.W., *Manual for scoring socioeconomic status for research on health behavior.* Public Health Reports, 1970. **85**(9): p. 815-827.

Table 1 – Characterization of the studied children and prevalence of asthma symptoms (with confidence intervals).

	%	95% CI
Sex		
Female	47.9	43.5-52.3
Male	52.1	47.7-56.5
Lifetime prevalence		
Wheeze	30.4	26.3-34.4
Dyspnoea	11.7	8.8-14.5
Asthma (previously diagnosed)	3.8	2.1-5.5
Prevalence in the past year		
Wheeze		
Spontaneous	17.1	13.8-20.4
Attacks number		
None	6.0	3.9-8.1
1-3	13.3	10.3-16.3
4-12	3.6	2.0-5.3
≥12	0.4	-0.2-1.0
Nocturnal attacks number		
None	13.7	10.7-16.7
<1 night/week	7.4	5.1-9.8
≥1 night/week	2.2	0.9-3.5
Exercise-induced	3.4	1.8-5.0
Speech-limiting attacks	2.4	1.1-3.8
Dyspnoea		
Attacks number		
None	13.3	10.3-16.3
1-3	7.4	5.1-9.8
4-12	1.4	0.4-2.4
>12	0.2	-0.2-0.6
Nocturnal attacks number		
None	16.3	13.1-19.5
<1 night/week	3.6	2.0-5.3
≥1 night/week	1.4	0.4-2.4
Nocturnal cough (without infection)	38.0	33.8-42.3

Table 2 – Distribution of asthma risk factors for the children studied.

	Asthmatics (%)	OR	95% CI
Sex			
Female	9.7	1	-
Male	11.6	1.22	0.66-2.28
Age (years)			
<3	10.5	1	-
3-6	10.7	1.03	0.47-2.49
BMI ^a			
Healthy weight	13.1	1	-
Underweight	6.1	0.43	0.05-1.89
Overweight	7.1	0.51	0.14-1.45
Obese	16.7	1.32	0.56-2.97
Nursery school location			
Rural	6.6	1	-
Urban	11.9	1.90	0.86-4.35
Suburban	15.0	2.49	1.14-5.64
Distance home-school ^b (km)			
0-10	10.9	1	-
10-20	10.0	0.91	0.27-2.48
≥20	11.5	1.07	0.20-3.78
Socioeconomic status			
High	14.9	1	-
Medium	9.1	0.57	0.22-1.65
Low	12.8	0.84	0.31-2.55
Living with a smoker			
No	10.6	1	-
1 smoker	10.9	1.04	0.49-2.08
2 or more smokers	10.5	0.99	0.32-2.57
Family asthma history			
No parents with asthma	7.7	1	-
Parent with asthma	29.0	4.89	2.45-9.53

^a BMI – Body Mass Index (N = 350 children)

^b N = 453 children