

LONG LASTING PERFUME - A REVIEW OF SYNTHETIC MUSKS IN WWTPs

Vera Homem^{a*}, José Avelino Silva^a, Nuno Ratola^b, Lúcia Santos^a, Arminda Alves^a

^a LEPABE – Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal

^b Physics of the Earth, University of Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +351 22 041 4947, Fax: +351 22 508 1449, e-mail address:

vhomem@fe.up.pt

Abstract

Synthetic musks have been used for a long time in personal care and household products. In recent years, this continuous input has increased considerably, to the point that they were recognized as emerging pollutants by the scientific community, due to their persistence in the environment, and hazardous potential to ecosystems even at low concentrations. The number of studies in literature describing their worldwide presence in several environmental matrices is growing, and many of them indicate that the techniques employed for their safe removal tend to be ineffective. This is the case of conventional activated sludge treatment plants (WWTPs), where considerable loads of synthetic musks enter mainly through domestic sewage.

This review paper compiles and discusses the occurrence of these compounds in the sewage, effluents and sludge, main concentration levels and phase distributions, as well as the efficiency of the different methodologies of removal applied in these treatment facilities.

To the present day, it has been demonstrated that WWTPs lack the ability to remove musks completely. This shows a clear need to develop new effective and cost-efficient remediation approaches and foresees potential for further improvements in this field.

56
57
58

Keywords: synthetic musks, wastewater treatment plants, removal efficiency

59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Abbreviations

1
2 2-AMK - 2-amino musk ketone; 2-AMX - 2-amino musk xylene; 4-AMX - 4-amino musk
3
4 xylene; ADBI – celestolide; AETT – versalide; AHMI – phantolide; AHTN – tonalide; ATII –
5
6 traseolide; DPMI – cashmeran; HHCB – galaxolide; HHCB-lactone - galaxolidone; HRT -
7
8 hydraulic retention time; K_{ow} - octanol-water partition coefficient; MA - musk ambrette; MC4 -
9
10 muskonate; MK - musk ketone; MM - musk moskene; MT - musk tibetene; Musk-NN -
11
12 ethylene brassylate ; MX - musk xylene; OTNE - orbitone; PAHs - polycyclic aromatic
13
14 hydrocarbons; PCBs - polychlorinated biphenyls; PCPs - personal care products; REACH -
15
16 Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals; SRT - solids retention
17
18 time; STRB - sludge treatment reed bed; UV - ultraviolet; vPvB - very persistent and very
19
20 bioaccumulative; WWTP - wastewater treatment plant
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

58

Abbreviations

1

2

3

4

5

6

2-AMK - 2-amino musk ketone; 2-AMX - 2-amino musk xylene; 4-AMX - 4-amino musk xylene; ADBI – celestolide; AETT – versalide; AHMI – phantolide; AHTN – tonalide; ATII – traseolide; DPMI – cashmeran; HHCB – galaxolide; HHCB-lactone - galaxolidone; HRT -

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1. Introduction

The expansion of industrial activities has promoted an increase of environmental pollution, mainly due to the generation of considerable amounts of waste. Therefore, quality control became an extremely important issue for the scientific community, particularly directed to the safety of our surrounding environment. In the last years, however, the focus of environmental research has been broadened from conventional priority pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), pesticides or polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) to a continuous surge of emerging micropollutants (Bu et al. 2013). Among these compounds, personal care products (PCPs) are one of the most important groups (Liu et al. 2010, Polo et al. 2007), which includes antimicrobial agents, insect repellents, preservatives, UV filters and fragrances (Liu and Wong 2013, Richardson et al. 2005).

Synthetic musk fragrances are PCPs with widespread use, incorporated in several personal care and household products (e.g. lotions, perfumes, shampoos, washing powders, softeners, air fresheners) as fragrance additives and fixative elements (Ramírez et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2008). They are usually divided into 4 main groups according to their chemical structure: nitro, polycyclic, macrocyclic and alicyclic musks. Due to their potential toxicity, namely phototoxic, neurotoxic, carcinogenic and estrogenic activity (Hu et al. 2011b, Polo et al. 2007), most nitromusks were phased out from the market. In fact, in Europe, musk ambrette (MA), musk moskene (MM) and musk tibetene (MT) were banned from cosmetic products, while the use of musk xylene (MX) and musk ketone (MK) is restricted (European Parliament 2009) due to suspected carcinogenic effects at high concentration levels (Polo et al. 2007). Recently, the European Commission under the new chemical regulation REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals), considered MX a very persistent and very bioaccumulative (vPvB) substance and therefore decided to ban it as well (European Commission 2011).

With the decreasing use of nitromusks, polycyclic musks became the most important commercial synthetic musks and currently dominate the global market. The most representative compounds of this class are galaxolide (HHCB), tonalide (AHTN), celestolide (ADBI),

phantolide (AHMI), traseolide (ATTI) and cashmeran (DPMI). Nevertheless, recent studies reported their potential estrogenic and anti-estrogenic effects (Hu et al. 2011b, Toivanen et al. 2008, Yamauchi et al. 2008) and presence in different environmental compartments. For this reason, their use in cosmetic products is currently under discussion. In contrast, macrocyclic musks (e.g. ethylene brassylate, exaltolide) represent only a small fraction of the market (3-4%) and are almost exclusively used in perfumes, given their relatively high production costs. Moreover, having a more intense odour, smaller quantities are needed to obtain the same performances as other synthetic musks. These compounds show a similar chemical structure to the musks of natural origin, and hence seem to be more environmental-friendly, with greater degradability in the environment than the previous classes (Vallecillos et al. 2012a). Alicyclic musks are the 4th generation of musk odourants and are known as the linear musks (e.g. cyclomusk, helvetolide; Arbulu et al. 2011). Their use in personal care products is still very limited. As mentioned previously, synthetic musks are incorporated in products used in our everyday life (Correia et al. 2013, Homem et al. 2013). Following their application, most of these compounds are released via household effluents, reaching the WWTPs (García-Jares et al. 2002). Due to their physicochemical properties, they are considered bio-accumulative, lipophilic and only partially biodegradable. For this reason, some of them, namely polycyclic musks, are not completely removed during wastewater treatment (Lv et al. 2010, Posada-Ureta et al. 2012, Zeng et al. 2005, Zhang et al. 2008) and are therefore frequently found in surface waters (e.g. Lv et al. 2009, Posada-Ureta et al. 2012, Yang and Ding 2012). In fact, the application of sludge and/or biosolids to agricultural fields is a direct input of musks into the soil (e.g. Chase et al. 2012), and thus into the food chain (Hu et al. 2011b), whereas the discharges of effluents are the major route for water and aquatic biota contamination (e.g. Duedahl-Olesen et al. 2005, Nakata et al. 2012, Reiner and Kannan 2010). Apart from persistent or pseudo-persistent (due to continuous emission), musks are also semi-volatile, which may explain their detection in remote areas (long-range atmospheric transport; Arbulu et al. 2011, Ramírez et al. 2011). Therefore, this phenomenon also plays a role in the dispersion of musks in the environment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

With the increase of material published on these subjects, there is a lack of systematic investigation that can provide a good overview of the incidence and behaviour of musks in their main contamination route, the WWTPs. Bearing in mind the aforementioned information, the aim of this work is to present levels, describe the fate and trends of musks detected in the WWTPs, as well as to discuss the efficiency of the removal methodologies applied in these treatment facilities.

2. Methodology

Scientific publications regarding the occurrence and fate of musks in WWTPs between 1996 and 2014 were searched and presented in this work. This literature review was done using several available electronic databases: Scopus[®], Elsevier[®], Taylor & Francis[®], ACS Publications[®], Springer[®] and Google[®] Scholar.

3. Discussion

The WWTPs represent the main potential source of environmental contamination for musks, but at the same time the crucial point for remediation actions through the development and application of removal processes. For that reason, an increasing number of studies about musks in WWTPs have emerged in recent years (**Fig. 1**). These studies focused on the assessment of diverse procedural steps of WWTPs, with sampling strategies covering different matrices (sludge, wastewater and even the surrounding air). The analysis of these matrices can be challenging given their complexity and, for that reason, several analytical approaches have been developed.

3.1. Overview of WWTPs

Wastewater treatment plants were initially designed in the 20th century to remove pathogens, organic matter and nutrients from the final effluents (Amy et al. 2008), which should not be a new source of contamination. However, the demands on wastewater treatment systems have since increased dramatically, and nowadays the attention is focused on emergent pollutants (i.e.

1 pharmaceuticals, personal care products, perfluorinated compounds, etc). These compounds
2 have been detected in ng L^{-1} to mg L^{-1} concentration levels in WWTPs and to ensure that they
3 will not affect the environment or pose a threat to human health, the implementation of new
4 technologies and control measures is required (Amy et al. 2008, Weiner and Matthews 2003).
5
6 Conventional wastewater treatment generally involves four main stages: preliminary, primary,
7 secondary and tertiary treatment.
8
9

10
11
12 In the preliminary treatment, elements on the influent flow prone to cause maintenance and
13 operational problems in the system are removed. Afterwards, wastewater is conducted to a
14 primary treatment that usually involves a physical process (Tchobanoglous et al. 2003, Weiner
15 and Matthews 2003), but chemicals (flocculants and coagulants) are sometimes added to
16 enhance the removal of suspended solids and, to a lesser extent, dissolved solids. In the
17 secondary treatment, biological processes are used to remove most of the biodegradable organic
18 matter. At this stage, different methods can be employed, but trickling filters (percolated fixed
19 beds) and activated sludge systems are the most common. In some WWTPs, this is the final
20 stage of the process and the effluent is ready to be released into the environment. There are,
21 however, cases where additional processes are applied - tertiary treatment – to further improve
22 its quality. The objective is to remove residual suspended solids and some specific
23 contaminants, such as nutrients and toxic substances (e.g. nitrogen, phosphorous, heavy metals),
24 by filtration over activated carbon or reverse osmosis, chlorination, ozonation, degradation with
25 UV-light and biological nutrient removal (Tchobanoglous et al. 2003, Weiner and Matthews
26 2003).
27
28

29
30
31 Within the whole WWTP processes, sludge is produced from nearly all treatment phases,
32 and must be treated before disposal. In order to reduce their volume, a thickening and/or
33 dewatering treatment is applied, and a stabilization process to reduce problems related with
34 odour or putrescence and to lower the pathogenic load can also be employed (Sanin et al.,
35 2011). After biological and/or chemical treatment (e.g. composting) sludge is transformed into
36 biosolids, which can be used as fertilizers for agricultural purposes.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.2. Occurrence and fate of musks in WWTPs

The conventional processes mentioned above are not always capable of removing micropollutants such as synthetic musks. In fact, this is a group of compounds with a chemical structure that is not readily biodegradable (Gatermann et al. 1998, Osemwengie and Steinberg 2001). For that reason, their removal in WWTPs occurs mainly due to a transfer from the liquid to the solid phase - sorption onto sludge particles (Simonich 2005), and this is why WWTPs are considered the largest contributing source of environmental contamination for musks (García-Jares et al. 2002). Table 1 shows an overview of the works published in international journals regarding the presence of these compounds in WWTPs.

The removal of synthetic musks depends highly on the size of the WWTP, type of methodologies applied, origin of waste to be treated (domestic, industrial and/or agricultural effluents), population density and type (rural or urban) and industries (Horii et al. 2007, Lee et al. 2010, Chase et al. 2012). Therefore, the discussion of typical concentrations in wastewater or sludge is not always easy because their levels can change dramatically with the mentioned parameters. Moreover, most published studies do not specify the type of waste or treatment applied, making the analysis and comparison of results a complex task.

To the authors' best knowledge, the first case of musks reported in residual waters was in Japan more than three decades ago, when Yamagishi et al. (1983) detected musk xylene and musk ketone in an effluent of a WWTP at 25 to 410 ng L⁻¹. The number of studies increased ever since, particularly in the past two decades (**Fig. 1**).

Most papers focus on the presence of musks in urban areas, probably because being usually highly populated and propitious for the establishment of industrial clusters, the frequency of wastewater production (and consequently the existence of WWTPs) is increased. Differences between urban and rural areas are difficult to discuss, since there are only a small number of studies in the latter regions. Horii et al. (2007) studied two domestic WWTPs (urban and rural) that employ an activated sludge treatment process. As expected, the highest concentrations were detected in the influents (3-60 times higher than those in effluent) and the mean concentrations of HHCB and AHTN in the influent of the rural plant were 5 times higher than those obtained in

1 the urban plant. Furthermore, the authors report that the daily inflow of wastewater into the
2 urban plant was 4-fold greater than in rural plant. Therefore, the mass flow of musks in both
3 plants was similar. However, these results are not entirely expected, as a lower incidence of
4 synthetic musks is common in rural areas. The authors also concluded that no significant
5 differences were found in both plant effluents (rural plant: 44 ng L⁻¹ HHCB, 130 ng L⁻¹ AHTN,
6 229 ng L⁻¹ HHCB-lactone; urban plant: 55 ng L⁻¹ HHCB, 139 ng L⁻¹ AHTN, 378 ng L⁻¹ HHCB-
7 lactone). To better understand this behaviour, more studies should be made in these areas. Still,
8 some assumptions can be drawn about the origin of the waste to be treated. As can be seen in
9 **Table 1**, domestic effluents are commonly the main source of musk compounds, since they are
10 directly released from human activities like showering, bathing or household cleaning.
11 Nevertheless, industrial effluents may have a strong contribution, depending on the type of the
12 facilities. Companies related to the manufacture of toiletries, cosmetics, perfumes and even
13 pharmaceutical compounds are found to release high amounts of musks (up to mg L⁻¹) in their
14 effluents (Chen et al. 2007, Guo et al. 2010, Zeng et al. 2005). As expected, the wastewaters
15 from agricultural activities (livestock, poultry, etc) show the lowest levels of contamination -
16 concentration levels between 10 and 4000 ng L⁻¹ in effluents and 3 to 1000 ng g⁻¹ in sludge
17 (Gómez et al. 2009, Guo et al. 2010). Still, most studies focus on WWTPs that receive a mixture
18 of effluents, namely domestic and industrial. In most cases, the discharging industries do not
19 operate with this type of compounds and, therefore, the concentrations detected in mixed
20 wastewater are lower than in domestic effluents (about ten times), due to an important dilution
21 effect (Herren and Berset 2000, Zeng et al. 2005).

22 The two older classes of synthetic musks (nitro and polycyclic) have been the target of most
23 studies in WWTPs. In general, polycyclic musks were detected in higher concentrations and
24 more often than the other musk classes (>98% samples). For the reasons mentioned above, the
25 ranges of total concentration were usually lower for agricultural wastes (11-8697 ng L⁻¹ in
26 effluents and 3-1175 ng g⁻¹ in sludge; Gómez et al. 2009, Guo et al. 2010), and higher for
27 cosmetic industries (3730-595480 ng L⁻¹ in influents, 500-33540 ng L⁻¹ in effluents, 302-703681
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

ng g⁻¹ in sludge; Zeng et al. 2005, Chen et al. 2007). Individually, HHCB, AHTN and DPME were the predominant compounds.

As stated before, nitromusks have been phased out from the market and their use is prohibited or restricted in Europe (European Parliament 2009), and this is probably the reason they were not reported in these studies. Only MX and MK were detected and their concentrations ranged from 6.2 to 1550 ng L⁻¹ in influents, 0.3 to 5000 ng L⁻¹ in effluents and 1.1 to 1920 ng g⁻¹ in sludge. A total of merely six studies were found about macrocyclic musks (Arbulu et al. 2011, García-Jares et al. 2002, Matamoros et al. 2012, Sumner et al. 2010, Vallecillos et al. 2012a, 2013), with levels between 10 and 9300 ng L⁻¹ in residual waters and 0.025 and 150 ng g⁻¹ in sludge. Ambrettolide and exaltone were the most detected (Matamoros et al. 2012, Vallecillos et al. 2012a, 2013), ranging from 1500 to 9300 in influents, 10 to 2500 in effluents and 0.03 to 150 ng g⁻¹ in sludge.

Individual musk compounds have analogous physicochemical behaviour and, therefore, their mechanisms and locations for removal in a WWTP are bound to be similar. Generally, biological or chemical transformation and volatilization are their possible removal mechanisms from WWTPs. As these compounds have a relatively high octanol-water partition coefficients (log K_{ow} > 5.0; Jones-Lepp and Stevens 2007) and low water solubility, a tendency to accumulate in the sludge is expected for most of them. This is confirmed by several authors (e.g. Bester 2004, Reiner et al. 2007, Lv et al. 2010). For instance, Lv et al. (2010) verified that 11-35% of the polycyclic musks in the influent loadings were partitioned to the sludge after the primary treatment. Moreover, 17-58% of those were ultimately adsorbed to the treated sludge (after conditioning and dewatering). However, they also concluded that less than 10% of the incoming nitromusks were detected in biosolids, suggesting that biodegradation or photolysis may play a more important role. The biological processes studied (anaerobic/anoxic/oxic bioreactor) proved their efficiency mainly on the degradation of nitromusks. This is expected as only substances freely dissolved in the aqueous phase are directly available for microbial degradation. The overall removal of the whole process (primary + biological treatment) ranged

1 from 35.5 to 62.5% and 33.9 to 75.6% for HHCB and AHTN, respectively, >60% for MK and
2 about 99% for MX.
3

4 Bester (2004) investigated the behaviour of two polycyclic musks in a conventional activated
5 sludge plant (HRT = 8 h; SRT = 8-10 days; sludge retention in digester = 20 days) and found
6 that removal occurs mainly by sorption to sludge, with an efficiency ranging between 50 and
7 80%. Carballa et al. (2004) also studied the same type of WWTP configuration. They reported
8 that in the primary treatment 30-40% of musks were removed by sorption processes, and 30-
9 50% in biological treatment. Therefore, the overall removal from the water phase (HRT = 24 h)
10 ranged from 60 to 90%. Zeng et al. (2007) studied the distribution of polycyclic musks in a
11 conventional activated sludge plant. After gravitational settling in the primary clarifier, about
12 21-75% of synthetic musks were removed with the sedimentation of particles. In the end of the
13 treatment, 61 to 97% of compounds were removed. Once more, this mainly occurred by transfer
14 to sludge due to sorption on the particulate phase. The same type of biological system
15 configuration was investigated by Horii et al. (2007), which found similar removal rates (72-
16 98%). Reiner et al. (2007) studied the distribution of polycyclic musks in two conventional
17 activated sludge plants (HRT = 16 h, SRT = 12-14 days) and obtained similar results for both
18 (total removal of 46-63% with higher adsorption onto sludge particles).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Simonich et al. (2000) compared two WWTPs with different biological treatments (activated
37 sludge and trickling filter) and the removal profiles found were 35 to 43% by sorption onto
38 solids in the primary system of the activated sludge WWTP, and 14 to 51% in the clarifier of the
39 trickling filter WWTP. This lower reduction in the latter plant is due to a shorter hydraulic
40 residence time in the primary clarifiers. The overall removal (primary + secondary treatment) of
41 both approaches is similar (83-99% in activated sludge and 80-91% in trickling filter). Simonich
42 et al. (2002) also compared different secondary wastewater treatment processes (activated
43 sludge, carousel, oxidation ditch, trickling filter, rotating biological contactor and lagoons
44 processes) and concluded that 37-41% of synthetic musks were removed during the primary
45 phase. The overall removal (primary + secondary treatment) ranged from 88-98% for activated
46 sludge, 59-89% for carousel, 90-97% for oxidation ditch, 78-88% for trickling, 81-89% for
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 rotating biological contactor and 97-99.7% for lagoons. Although the last process is considered
2 the simplest form of treatment, higher removals are achieved. This kind of treatment presents
3 the longest retention times (90-120 days), enabling the biodegradation, photodegradation,
4 sorption and/or volatilization of the compounds. These authors also investigated the relationship
5 between the plant design and operating parameters and the overall removal of synthetic musks.
6 They acknowledge a positive trend between the removals of musks and total suspended solids,
7 consistent with the high removals found in the primary treatment. Lishman et al. (2006) studied
8 different types of biological treatments - lagoon, activated sludge (HRT = 10-23 h) and
9 activated sludge with filtration (HRT = 22-43 h). Average musk reductions of 98-99% in
10 lagoons, 37-65% in the activated sludge systems and 12-56% in activated sludge with filtration
11 were seen. This last result was uncommon, since musks tend to adhere on solids due to their
12 lipophilic behaviour (Lv et al. 2010). So, the additional filtration step would normally result in a
13 higher reduction. Furthermore, the overall removal rates in activated treatment sludge were
14 much lower than those reported for Simonich et al. (2000 and 2002). The differences found
15 might be related to the organic carbon content of the sewage and/or differences in the HRTs.

16 Two different biological treatment systems (activated sludge and membrane bioreactor) were
17 investigated by Clara et al. (2005), involving three different conventional activated sludge
18 WWTPs (WWTP₁: HRT = 13-14 days, SRT = 52-237 days; WWTP₂: HRT = 0.08 days, SRT =
19 2 days; WWTP₃: HRT = 1.2 days, SRT = 46 days). The authors reported higher removal rates in
20 WWTP₁ (about 95%), followed by WWTP₂ (70-85%). The lower removal rates are attributed to
21 the low HRT and SRT installed in the second plant. In WWTP₃ even lower removal were
22 achieved (50-60%), perhaps due to the low rate of sewage discharges. In the membrane
23 bioreactor about 90-95% removal occurred (HRT = 0.5-4 days, SRT = 10-55 days), similar to
24 the values observed in the conventional WWTP₁.

25 The removal of synthetic musks along a wastewater treatment facility was also studied by
26 Yang and Metcalfe (2006). In the primary treatment, about 17.9 to 26.3% of the musks have
27 been removed by sorption on solids, while in primary + activated sludge secondary treatment
28 the reduction was from 43.3 to 56.9%. The authors also tried a tertiary treatment (UV

1 disinfection), but its application did not seem to affect the results. These results were similar to
2 those obtained by Lishman et al. (2006). In short, Yang and Metcalfe (2006) concluded that
3 about 3% of the musks were removed by discharge *via* suspended solids in the final effluent;
4
5
6 73% by sorption on biosolids and 24% remained in the aqueous phase of the final effluent.
7

8
9 The removal of HHCB and AHTN in different sewage treatment plants
10 (anaerobic/anoxic/oxic - HRT = 6.8 h, anoxic/oxic - HRT = 10.2 h or extended aeration
11 oxidized ditch biological treatment - HRT = 14 h) was mentioned by Zhou et al. (2009), which
12 reported an enhanced removal rate from the primary treatment to the secondary treatment (2.4-
13 24.6% and 23.1-47.5%, respectively). Therefore, the authors assumed that the removal was
14 mostly achieved in the biological treatment step (over 75% of the overall removal). Lee et al.
15 (2010), comparing four different biological treatment processes (activated sludge; modified
16 Ludzack-Ettinger, sequential batch reactor and anaerobic/anoxic/oxic processes - modified
17 versions of the conventional activated sludge process) found average musks removal of 53% in
18 the conventional activated sludge process (HRT = 12-17 h) and slightly higher in other
19 modified versions: modified Ludzack-Ettinger (HRT = 12 h) and anaerobic/anoxic/oxic (HRT =
20 21 h) process - 65%, sequential batch reactor (18 h) - 70%. These authors also analysed the
21 application of tertiary treatments as filtration, flocculation/coagulation and disinfection by
22 chlorination and UV light, reporting that a chemical flocculation/coagulation treatment had
23 lower removal rates (about 35%), as well as physical filtration and disinfection (3-35%). The
24 general conclusion was that all biological treatments produce similar results and are much more
25 efficient than physical and chemical treatments.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 Activated sludge, anaerobic/anoxic/oxic and anoxic/anaerobic/oxic processes were
38 investigated by Ren et al. (2013) and in the first WWTP (HRT = 12-13 h; SRT = 6.5 days)
39 about 65-73% removal was reached, while in anaerobic/anoxic/oxic WWTP (HRT = 20-21 h
40 and SRT = 15.3 day) and in anoxic/anaerobic/oxic WWTP (HRT = 16 h and SRT = 14.0 day)
41 43 to 54% and 70-84% was respectively found. Hence, advanced processes did not show a
42 significant improvement compared to the conventional ones. However, these results indicate
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

that the order of anoxic and anaerobic basins affected the removal of synthetic musks in the aqueous phase, which resulted mainly from adsorption onto sludge particles.

The chemical treatments have been poorly explored in this area, but for instance UV disinfection seems to be inefficient, as mentioned previously (Ternes et al. 2003, Yang and Metcalfe 2006, Lishman et al. 2006, Lee et al. 2010). Ternes et al. (2003) also studied the application of ozonation in a pilot plant, finding that applying 10-15 mg L⁻¹ of ozone during 18 min would be enough to degrade HHCB and AHTN completely. The same kind of study was performed at lab scale by Rosal et al. (2010). The authors reported that MX was completely refractory to ozone, while MK (38%), AHTN (72%) and HHCB (83%) were partially removed with an ozone dose of 340 µM. These studies indicate that polycyclic musks seem to be more readily degradable than nitromusks by ozonation. Ramírez et al. (2011 and 2012) analysed a filtration process -reverse osmosis- and concluded that this kind of treatment increased the removal rates in WWTPs. The use of treatments such as advanced oxidation technologies (e.g. ozonation, photo-Fenton) and membrane filtration should be investigated in more detail, being aware of the possible formation of toxic degradation products. Consequently, the monitoring and study of these products is extremely important, namely in the toxicological aspect.

As can be seen from the results presented above, the discussion on the removal efficiencies can be further complicated considering that they depend on factors such as mixtures effects, hydraulic and temperature variations and combinations of different treatment approaches. However, analysing the available literature, biological treatment seems to be the most appropriate method to eradicate both polycyclic and nitromusks. The removal occurs mainly through sorption onto sludge particles, since synthetic musks are poorly biodegraded or susceptible to chemical degradation. In fact, nitromusks are apparently more prone to photolysis and biodegradation (Herren and Berset, 2000). Furthermore, removal efficiencies usually increase with higher hydraulic and solid retention times (Clara et al. 2005, Ren et al. 2013).

Although some WWTPs have a specific line dedicated to sludge treatment, the removal of musks in these steps is usually poorly discussed in literature. Ternes et al. (2005) studied the

1 influence of the anaerobic digestion of sludge. Regarding concentration, they concluded that
2 AHTN and HHCB were detected in 2.3-8.5 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ before treatment and 6.6-15 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ after
3 digestion. This increase may be related to the simultaneous drastic solid mass reduction
4 (between 50-70%) and low degradation. Horii et al. (2007) investigated thickening and
5 dewatering treatments and also obtained increasing levels of musks in the final effluent. Yang
6 and Metcalfe (2006) studied the distribution of synthetic musks in sludge/biosolids at different
7 stages of sewage treatment. They observed that dry weight concentrations of synthetic musks
8 increased from the combined raw sludge (primary + secondary sludge) to the activated return
9 sludge (secondary sludge that goes back to the primary clarifier) and to the digested biosolids
10 (anaerobic sludge digester). This should be related to the reduction of volatile solids during
11 anaerobic digestion (35-45%) and therefore there is no appreciable degradation of synthetic
12 musks adsorbed onto the sludge/biosolids in the solid treatment line. Analogous results were
13 reported by Lv et al. (2010). Matamoros et al. (2012) studied the efficiency of a sludge
14 treatment reed bed (STRB) to dewater and mineralize sludge contaminated with synthetic
15 musks. In this approach, primary and secondary liquid sludge is loaded onto the surface of a bed
16 over several years, where it is dewatered, mineralized and turned onto a biosolid with high dry
17 matter content for use as an organic fertilizer. The authors assessed the degradation and fate of
18 four musks (HHCB, AHTN, DPMI and ambrettolide) in the accumulated sludge in a 20-year
19 old STRB. Results showed that deposited sludge reaches a dry matter content of approximately
20 29% in the dewatering process and up to a third of its organic content is mineralised. The
21 concentrations decreased with depth in the vertical profile and ranged from 10 to 5000 ng g^{-1} .
22 Musk fragrances are generally degraded during storage in the STRB, with attenuations between
23 40 and 98%. Chen et al. (2009) also studied an STRB system, but on the contrary, they reported
24 no degradation in the 13 months of experimental work and suggest half-lives from 2 to more
25 than 3 years, a very long time for these compounds. Still, this process seems to be cost-effective
26 and environmental-friendly.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 Another very important subject in this field is the study of degradation products. In a
59 WWTP, they can result from biotic (biological treatment) or abiotic degradation (e.g. UV
60
61
62
63
64
65

radiation, hydroxyl radicals formed during advanced oxidation processes; (OSPAR Commission 2000, SWECO Environment 2010). The degradation products/metabolites are usually more polar than parent compounds and approximately one order of magnitude less lipophilic (Chou and Dietrich 1999), therefore more water-soluble and more available to aquatic organisms. Nitromusks showed propensity to be transformed via reduction of the 4 or 2-nitro group, producing aniline derivatives (2 or 4-amino compounds) like 2-AMK, 4-AMX and 2-AMX (Herren and Berset 2000, Peck 2006). They can also suffer hydroxylation of the methyl groups (SWECO Environment 2010). These degradation compounds have been detected during the wastewater treatment, namely in the final effluent, in levels between 1.6 and 250 ng L⁻¹. In polycyclic musks, the degradation products are typically formed by hydroxylation of the parent compounds at different carbon positions (Martin et al. 2007). The most detected and investigated is HHCB-lactone. This compound, unlike the ones mentioned before, was found in the influents at relatively high concentrations: 107 to 1150 ng L⁻¹ (Berset et al. 2004, Bester 2004, Horii et al. 2007, Ramírez et al. 2012, Reiner et al. 2007, Vallecillos et al. 2012b). This may indicate that HHCB already undergoes a degradation process in the sewer system, since HHCB-lactone has not been often detected in sanitation and personal care products. In the WWTP effluents, HHCB-lactone was found at concentrations of 20-4000 ng L⁻¹ (Berset 2004 and 2005, Reiner et al. 2007, Horii et al., 2007, Ramírez et al. 2012), demonstrating that, in most cases, its incidence in the final effluent is greater than in the influent, again suggesting that HHCB suffers degradation along the WWTP treatment. Due to their higher hydrophilicity, these chemicals tend to accumulate in water matrices instead of sludge. Therefore, they are present in sewage effluents and, consequently, will reach the ecosystems through surface waters. Due to the relatively low number of studies on their occurrence and real impact on the environment, this subject becomes an emergent issue for environmental scientists and should be an object of intensive research in the future.

4. Conclusions

This review provided comprehensive information about the occurrence and fate of synthetic musk compounds in WWTPs. Although synthetic musks have been used in large scale in the last decades, it was only in recent years that their occurrence in the environment, namely in WWTPs, became a subject of scientific and public relevance and concern. In the past, analytical methodologies were not powerful enough to achieve low detection limits (ng L⁻¹ levels) and the knowledge about the behaviour of these compounds (biodegradability, toxicity, etc) was scarce, contributing to the unawareness of the impacts caused. As this study reflects, synthetic musks are frequently found as important residues in WWTPs, both in the water and in the solid lines. Due to their lipophilicity and low biodegradation, they tend to be removed from wastewaters by sorption on sludge. HHCB and AHTN, two polycyclic musks, have been identified as the two most important synthetic musks due to their predominant detection frequencies and levels.

Bearing in mind the compiled occurrence of musks and the inefficiency of the current WWTPs layouts to cope with this new class of compounds, new approaches should be developed in order to reduce discharges into the environment. This can either be achieved by novel treatment processes, or through a combination of existing conventional ones, leading to effective but also cost-efficient approaches, able to allow a generalised implementation of these contamination reduction strategies.

Acknowledgment

Vera Homem would like to thank Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT - Portugal) for the post-doctoral grant SFRH/BPD/76974/2011 co-funded by the QREN-POPH. This work has been partially funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme-Marie Curie COFUND (FP7/2007-2013) under UMU Incoming Mobility Programme ACTION (U-IMPACT) Grant Agreement 267143 and by funds through the Operational Programme for Competitiveness Factors - COMPETE ON.2 - O Novo Norte - North Portugal Regional Operational Programme and National Funds through FCT - Foundation for Science and

Technology under the PEst-C/EQB/UI0511, NORTE-07-0124-FEDER-000025 - RL2_

Environment&Health, and PTDC/AGR-CFL/102597/2008 projects.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig.1. Number of publications per year studying synthetic musks in WWTPs.

46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
				<u>WWTP A</u>			
				<u>Primary effluent</u>			
				HHCB: 2310 - 3490 (2920)			
				AHTN: nd - 360 (190)			
				<u>Secondary effluent</u>			
				HHCB: 2870 - 5210 (3940)			
				AHTN: nd - 940 (480)			
		<u>Urban</u>		<u>Tertiary effluent</u>			
HHCb, AHTN	Spain	A: Primary + Secondary (activated sludge) + Tertiary treatment (UV+chlorination) B: Primary + Secondary (activated sludge) treatment	---	HHCB: 2670 - 4580 (3560) AHTN: nd - 910 (290)	---	---	(Godayol et al. 2015)
				<u>WWTP B</u>			
				<u>Primary effluent</u>			
				HHCB: 1900 - 3430 (2500)			
				AHTN: nd - 480 (140)			
				<u>Secondary effluent</u>			
				HHCB: 2500 - 4230 (3360)			
				AHTN: nd - 1240 (370)			
						- The detection frequencies were: HHCB (95%), AHTN (98%), ATII (38%), ADBI (29%), AHMI (19%), MK (29%) and MX (14%).	
MK, MX, HHCB, AHTN, ADBI, AHMI, ATII	China	<u>Urban</u> (domestic, domestic + industrial, industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	---	---	HHCB: <2.2 - 41400 (3520) AHTN: <1.5 - 22000 (1750) MX: ? - 382 (362) MK: ? - 2540 (359) ΣMusks: 47.3 - 68200 (5520)	(98%), ATII (38%), ADBI (29%), AHMI (19%), MK (29%) and MX (14%). - The two main components (HHCB and AHTN) accounted for 95.5% of total synthetic musks. - Similar composition profiles were found in all sludge samples.	(Liu et al. 2014)
		<u>?</u> - Primary treatment		AHTN: 50 - 444	AHTN: 649 - 14971		(Sun et al.
AHTN, HHCB	USA	41 42	- Secondary treatment	ent (activated sludge or trickling filter process)	---		HHCB: 453 - 4793

1
2
3
4

HHCB: 4079 - 91018

2014)

43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
			<u>WWTP A</u> DPMI: nd - 32933 ADBI: nd - 44319 AHMI: 238 - 733 Exaltone: 338 - 1579 Exaltolide: 515 - 1249 Muscone: 69 - 2865 ATII: 214 - 963 HHCB: 818 - 45091 AHTN: 852 - 49904 MX: nd - 632 MM: nd - 1182 Ambrettolide: 507 - 9744	<u>WWTP A</u> DPMI: nd - 9437 ADBI: nd - 9930 AHMI: nd - 3738 Exaltone: nd - 480 Exaltolide: nd - 1276 Muscone: nd - 1748 ATII: nd - 4210 HHCB: 1.5 - 900 AHTN: 2 - 7555 MX, MM, MC4, Civetone: nd Ambrettolide: nd - 4021			
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN, HHCB-lactone, MX, MM, MK, Exaltone, Exaltolide, Muscone, Ambrettolide, MC4, Civetone, musk NN	Spain	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) A: Primary treatment + secondary treatment (activated sludge) B: Primary treatment + secondary treatment (activated sludge) + tertiary treatment (reverse osmosis)	Musk NN: nd - 11758 MK: nd - 4110 HHCB-lactone: 1188 - 4119 MC4, Civetone: nd	Musk NN: nd - 8939 MK: nd - 465 HHCB-lactone: 5.95 - 11007	---	---	(Vallecillos et al. 2014)
			<u>WWTP B</u> DPMI: 409 - 4342 ADBI: <1 - 10 AHMI: 3 - 54 Exaltone: 95 - 199 Exaltolide: 520 - 10797 Muscone: nd - 258 HHCB: 1820 - 22524 AHTN: 2212 - 14300 Ambrettolide: 3634 - 21528 Musk NN: 1322 - 4932 MK: 348 - 500 HHCB-lactone: 1315 - 4178 ATII, MX, MM, MC4, Civetone: nd ATII: 17	<u>WWTP B</u> ADBI: <1 AHMI: <1 ATII: 214 - 963 HHCB: 12 - 42 AHTN: 42 - 138 Ambrettolide: nd - 86 Musk NN: 99-194 MK: 104 - 689 HHCB-lactone: 21 - 161 DPMI, Exaltone, Exaltolide, Muscone, ATII, MX, MM, MC4, Civetone: nd ATII: 22			
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, MA, ATII, HHCB, AHTN, MM, MK	Spain	Urban	HHCB: 62 DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, MA, AHTN, MM, MK: nd	HHCB: 43 DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, MA, AHTN, MM, MK: nd	---	---	(Cavalheiro et al. 2013)
			DPMI: 231 - 267 ADBI: nd - 24	DPMI: 79 - 112			

1
2
3
4
5
6
41
42

DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, MA, ATII, HHCB, AHTN, MM, MK	France Urban	HHCB: 1661 - 2347 AHFN: 134 - 261 AHMI, MA, ATII, MM, MK: nd	HHCB: 1146 - 1512 AHFN: 76 - 91 ADBI, AHMI, MA, ATII, MM, MK: nd	---	---	(Cavalheiro et al. 2013)
--	-----------------	---	---	-----	-----	-----------------------------

43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
		<u>Urban</u>				- The daily loads per inhabitant in the influents were 588 and 3617 µg day ⁻¹ for HHCB and OHTN, respectively.	
OTNE, HHCB	Germany	- Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge) - Tertiary treatment	OTNE: 21480 HHCB: 3500	OTNE: 1930 - 6800 HHCB: 1160 - 1750	---	- On average, each inhabitant emits around 179 µg day ⁻¹ of HHCB and 574 µg day ⁻¹ of OHTN (removal 63-83%) - Sorption to sludge seems to be the predominant removal process.	(Klaschka et al. 2013)
		<u>Urban</u>	HHCB: 2100	HHCB: 1100			(Pintado-Herrera et al. 2013)
HHCB, MX	Spain	- Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	MX: nd	MX: nd	---	---	et al. 2013)
		<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) A: anoxic / anaerobic /oxic biological treatment B: Activated sludge treatment + anaerobic /anoxic / oxic	<u>WWTP A:</u> AHTN: 25 HHCB: 125	<u>WWTP A:</u> AHTN: 10 HHCB: 40	---	- Musks concentrations increased slightly along the primary process - desorption from inorganic sediments in aerated grit chamber tanks. - Levels decreased in the biological treatment due to sorption into sludge. - Removal efficiencies vary between 60.5% for HHCB and 72.8% for AHTN.	(Ren et al. 2013)
AHTN, HHCB	China		<u>WWTP B:</u> AHTN: 25 HHCB: 200	<u>WWTP B:</u> AHTN: 2 HHCB: 100			
					<u>Mixture of primary and secondary sludge, anaerobically digested and dehydrated:</u>		
Exaltone, Exaltolide, Muscone, Habanolide, Ambrettolide, Musk MC4, Civetone, Musk- NN	Spain	Urban (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	---	---	Exaltone: 0.05 – 0.08 Exaltolide: nd – 0.13 Muscone: nd – 2.0 Habanolide: nd – 0.50 Ambrettolide: 0.025 – 0.85 Musk MC4: nd – 0.19 Civetone: nd – 0.13 Musk-NN: 0.025 – 1.45	- Ambrettolide, exaltone and musk NN were the most frequently detected compounds.	(Vallecillos et al. 2013)
		<u>Urban</u> - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)		HHCB: 61			
ADBI, HHCB, MK, MX, AHMI, AHTN, ATII	38 39 40		CB, AHTN, DPMI, Spain	- Tertiary treatment (flocculation/ coagulation, clarification, filtration, UV disinfection)	- Additional treatments (ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis and UV disinfection)		---
		H H			<u>Urban</u> - Primary treatment		

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
					HHCB: 250 - 2500	- The results showed that deposited sludge suffers a dewatering process, reaching a dry matter content of approximately 29% and in addition up to a third of the organic content of the sludge is mineralized.	
HHCB, AHTN, DPMI, Ambrettolide	Denmark	Urban 20 years sludge treatment reed bed	---	---	AHTN: 1900 - 5000 DPMI: 10 - 50 Ambrettolide: 10 - 150	- The concentrations decreased with depth in the vertical profile and ranged from 10 to 5000 ng g ⁻¹ . - Musk fragrances are generally degraded during storage in the STRB, with attenuations ranging from 40 to 98%.	(Matamoros et al. 2012)
			HHCB: 295 ± 43				
ADBI, AHMI, AHTN, ATII, DPMI, HHCB, MA, MK, MM, MX	Spain	Urban	AHTN: 138 ± 12 ADBI: 25 ± 9 MK: 24 ± 7 AHMI, AHTN, ATII, DPMI, MA, MM, MX: nd	HHCB: 259 ± 54 AHTN: 82 ± 6 ADBI, AHMI, AHTN, ATII, DPMI, MA, MK, MM, MX: nd	---	- HHCB and AHTN were the most commonly detected compounds.	(Posada-Ureta et al. 2012)
				<u>Secondary effluent</u> DPMI: nd - 82 ADBI: nd - 25 AHMI: nd - 11 HHCB: 288 - 954 AHTN: 25 - 28 MX: 40 - 96 ATII, MM: nd MK: nd - 20			
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN,		Urban (domestic) - Primary treatment	DPMI: nd - 112 ADBI: nd - 32 AHMI: nd - 31 ATII: nd - 27 HHCB: 360 - 2219				(Ramírez et al. 2012)
MX, MM, MK,	Spain	- Secondary treatment	AHTN: 19 - 264	HHCB-lactone: 120 - 532	---	---	
HHCB-lactone		- Additional treatment	MX: 45 - 232				
	39	, MX, MM, MK, HHCB-lactone			(reverse osmosis)		
				Spain			
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI,					Urban (industrial)		

1
2
3
4

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)

Activity	M	ATII	MM	MK	HHCB	HHCB-lactone	RO	STCMA
- Toxic waste disposal	-	13	nd	10	nd	nd	nd	nd
- Oil recycling	-	20	nd	19	nd	nd	nd	nd
- Synthesis of additives, emulsions and detergents	-	20	nd	19	nd	153	nd	0.3
	n						ADBI:	nd - 1.3
	d						AHMI:	nd - 1.0
	M						HHCB:	<0.5 - 1.0
	K						AHTN:	0.2 - 0.3
	:						MX:	0.3 - 1.1
	n						ATII, MM, MK, HHCB-lactone:	nd
	d							---
	-							ez et al. 2012)
	8						DPMI:	nd - 137
	4						ADBI:	nd - 12
	HHC						HHCB	: nd - 64
	B-						AHTN	: 5.7 - 20
	lacto						AHMI, ATII, MX, MM,	
	ne:						MK: nd	
	142 -						HHCB-lactone: nd - 143	
	690							
	D							
	P							
	M							
	I							
	:							
	n							
	d							
	-							
	1							
	2							
	3							
	2							
	A							
	D							
	B							
	I							
	:							
	1							
	.							
	7							
	9							
	-							
	2							
	6							
	A							
	H							
	M							
	I							
	:							
	n							
	d							

1
2
3
4
5
6

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
			Exaltone: 1500 – 2900	Exaltone: 400 – 2300			
Musk MC4, Muscone, Musk-NN, Habanolide, Exaltolide, Ambrettolide, Civetone, Exaltone	Spain	<u>Urban</u>	Exaltolide: nd - 1500 Muscone: nd - 2500 Habanolide: 15 - 1600 Ambrettolide: 2100 - 9300 Musk MC4:10 - 100 Civetone:100 - 2200 Musk-NN: 10 - 500	Exaltolide: nd - 500 Muscone: nd - 100 Habanolide: nd - 500 Ambrettolide: 10 - 2500 Musk MC4: 10 - 40 Civetone: 10 - 1800 Musk-NN: 10 - 20	---	---	(Vallecillos et al. 2012a)
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI,			DPMI: <50 ADBI: <50 AHMI: <50 ATII: nd – 650	DPMI: nd – <50 ADBI: nd – <50 AHMI: nd – <50		- All synthetic musks except HHCB were removed during the	
ATII, HHCB, AHTN, MX, MM, MK, HHCB-lactone	Spain	<u>Urban</u>	HHCB: 330 – 2060 AHTN: <70 – 320 MX, MM: nd MK: nd – <100 HHCB-lactone: nd – <100	ATII: nd – <50 HHCB: 90 – 700 AHTN: nd – 140 MX, MM, MK: nd HHCB-lactone: nd – <100	---	WWTP process. - HHCB-lactone remained in the final effluent as a result of the degradation of HHCB during treatment.	(Vallecillos et al. 2012b)
MA, MX, MK, Musk muscone, Globalide, Thibetolide, HHCB, MM, Musk Ambretolide, Ethylene brassilate, AHTN, DPMI, AHMI, ADBI, ATII, MT, Romandolide, Helvetolide	Spain	<u>Urban</u>	HHCB: 900 – 3568 DPMI: 70 – 530 Romandolide: nd – 45 ADBI: nd – 70 MX: nd – 91 AHTN: nd – 79 Helvetolide: nd – 58 MK: 58 – 61 Musk muscone, Ambretolide, MT, MA, Globalide, Thibetolide, MM, Ethylene brassilate, AHMI, ATII: nd	HHCB: 800 – 3021 DPMI: 100 – 400 Romandolide: nd – 56 ADBI: nd – 80 MX: 58 – 61 AHTN: nd – 60 Helvetolide: nd – 70 MK: 32 – 69 Musk muscone, Ambretolide, MT, MA, Globalide, Thibetolide, MM, Ethylene brassilate, AHMI, ATII: nd	---	-HHCB was detected in all samples. - HHCB, ADBI and MK were not effectively removed in the WWTPs. - Some musks showed effluent concentrations higher than the influents, which could be explained by inaccurate sampling or erroneous estimate of hydraulic retention time.	(Arbulu et al. 2011)
AHTN, HHCB, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, MK, MX	China	<u>Urban</u>	HHCB: 30.9 – 3038.97 AHTN: 28.61 – 1486.1 MX: <1.2 – 22.95 MK: 52.25 – 165.8 ADBI, AHMI, ATII: nd	HHCB: 30.4 – 685.62 AHTN: 14.26 – 195.3 MK: 22.77 – 91.6 ADBI, AHMI, ATII, MX: nd	HHCB: 260 – 12590 AHTN:10 – 2560 MK: 130 – 530 ATII: 15 – 300 MX: <3.3 AHMI, ADBI: nd	- The removal efficiencies of HHCB and AHTN were in the range of 14.3-98.0% and 18.5-98.7%, respectively. - The results showed that the outflow for HHCB and AHTN through effluents discharge into environment was 1.8-685.6 and 1.6-195.3 g day ⁻¹ , respectively.	(Hu et al. 2011b)
MA, MX, MT, MM,				MA: <33 MX: <19			(López-

1
2
3
4
5
39
6
40

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

MT: <6
MM: <3
MK: <7

Nogueroles
et al. 2011)

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
				Secondary effluent DPMI: 29.8 – 43.3 ADBI: <0.20 – 4.56 AHMI: <0.10 – 4.15 ATII: nd			
			DPMI: 15.7 – 87.7 ADBI: 3.6 – 35.4	HHCB: 233 – 1432 AHTN: 25.4 – 93.6			
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN, MX, MM, MK	Spain	Urban - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment - Additional treatment (reverse osmosis)	AHMI: <0.10 – 25.6 ATII: nd – 8.1 HHCB: 476 – 2069 AHTN: 17.7 – 78.7 MX: 22.0 – 29.1 MM: nd MK: <0.15 – 20.3	MX: 13.1 – 126 MM: nd MK: 29.2 – 53.5	---	---	(Ramírez et al. 2011)
				RO Effluent DPMI: <0.30 – 0.67 ADBI: nd – 0.24 AHMI: <0.10 – 0.17 HHCB: nd – 1.45 AHTN: nd – 0.35 MX: nd – 0.3 ATII, MM, MK: nd			
			HHCB: 50 - 2780 AHTN: 18 - 816			- HHCB, AHTN, DPMI, ADBI and ATII were detected in the	
HHCB, AHTN, DPMI, ADBI, ATII, AHMI	Portugal	Urban(domestic)	DPMI: 66 - 4040 ADBI: 6 - 1442 ATII: 7 - 676 AHMI: nd	---	---	analysed samples. - Hiher concentrations were observed during the day and lower at night.	(Salgado et al. 2011)
		Urban		Aeration tank effluent			
HHCB, MX, MK, AHTN, ADBI	35 36 37	USA	- P r i m a r y	treatment - Secondary treatment - Tertiary treatment	---		

1
2
3
4

MX, MK, ADBI, AHTN, and HHCB;
7800 - 25000

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

(Upa
dhya
y et
a
l
2
0
1
1
)

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
					Dewatered sludge		
					Rural (agricultural - livestock)		
					ADBI, AHMI, MK: <3		
					HHCB: <7 - 1175		
					AHTN: <7 - 308		
					DPMI, ATII, MA, MX, MM, MT: nd		
					ΣMusks: nd - 1480		
					Urban (domestic)	- HHCB was the predominant musk, followed by AHTN. - The highest total concentration was detected in the sludge	
HHCB, AHTN, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, DPMI, MA, MX, MM, MT, MK	Korea	Rural (agricultural - livestock)	---	---	ADBI: <3 - 250 AHMI: <3 - 235 HHCB: 15900 - 82100 AHTN: 4480 - 28800 MK: <3 - 1900 DPMI, ATII, MA, MX, MM, MT: nd ΣMusks: 20500 - 108000	sample from the WWTP located in the biggest city. - Total concentrations of synthetic musks in the sludge of the urban WWTPs were much higher than those of the rural areas and livestock. - Total synthetic musk levels were related with the volume of treated sewage, degree of urbanization and the living habits of the locals.	(Guo et al. 2010)
					Urban (industrial - pharmaceutical company)		
					ADBI, AHMI, MK: <3 HHCB: 9090 AHTN: 1370 DPMI, ATII, MA, MX, MM, MT: nd ΣMusks: 10500		
						- Household sewage was the main source of contamination. - Average removals of HHCB, AHTN and MK in the conventional activated sludge treatment were between 53 and	
					Urban (domestic, industrial)		
					(b		
					io		
					lo		
					gi		
					ca		
MK, MX, HHCB, AHTN	34 35 36	37		Korea	- Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	- Additional treatment (filtration, disinfection)	MK: 220 - 1550 MX: nd - 220 HHCB: 2450 - 4450

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
						- HHCB and AHTN were detected in all the samples. - The highest input loading of total synthetic musks occurred in summer with 1789 µg inhabitant ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ . The lowest loading was in spring with 980 µg inhabitant ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ . - Removal rates of total synthetic musks ranged from 86.9-94.5% in the water line. - Nitromusks showed better average removal in the bioreactor	
HHCB, AHTN, MX, MK	China	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (biological)	<u>Raw influent</u> HHCB: 1478.3 – 2214.3 AHTN: 553.5 – 1037.7 MX: 63.0 – 164.0 MK: 74.5 – 161.3	MX: 14.4 – 80.4 MK: 20.5 – 52.8 <u>Final effluent</u> HHCB: 181.1 – 242.2 AHTN: 46.7 – 88.3 MX: nd – 6.5 MK: 6.7 – 18.5	<u>Primary sludge</u> HHCB: 2605.6 – 3293.3 AHTN: 676.6 – 1188.9 MX: 1.1 – 7.1 <u>Treated dewatered sludge</u> HHCB: 3281.3 - 3560.2 AHTN: 793.1 - 1529.2 MX: nd MK: nd – 28.8	(88.5% for MX and 40.0% for MK) than polycyclic musks (29.8% HHCB and 39.3 AHTN). - Polycyclic musks seem to be readily adsorbed to biosolids: • 11-35% of the influent loadings were partitioned to the sludge after the primary treatment; • 17-58% of those were attached to the treated sludge - Nitromusks were mainly removed by biodegradation or photolysis (<10% of input amounts detected in biosolids). - Overall removal ranged from 34-75% for HHCB and AHTN. - More than 60% MK and almost all the MX entering in the WWTP were removed.	(Lv et al. 2010)
HHCB, AHTN	Germany	<u>Urban</u>	---	HHCB: 1374 ± 14 AHTN: 110 ± 4	---	---	(Moeder et al. 2010)
HHCB, MK, MX, ADBI, AHTN	Spain	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (anaerobic/anoxic/oxic biological treatment)	HHCB: <56 – 24971 (10022) AHTN: <53 - 1932 (952) ADBI: <30 MK, MX: na	HHCB: <56 – 2766 (1225) AHTN: <53 - 315 (146) MK, MX, ADBI: na	---	87.8%. - At lab scale, ozonation was tested in the effluents from the WWTP. MX was completely refractory to ozone, while MK (38%), AHTN (72%) and HHCB (83%) were partially removed with an ozone dose of 340 µM.	(Rosal et al. 2010)
ADBI, HHCB, AHTN, MK	Portugal	<u>Urban</u>	ADBI: <19 HHCB: 4670 AHTN: 1290 MK: <12	ADBI: <19 HHCB: 1270 AHTN: 259 MK: <12	---	---	(Silva and Nogueira 2010)
MX, MK, HHCB, AHTN, ADBI, AHMI	UK	<u>Urban</u> A: Chemically-enhanced primary treatment +	---	<u>Dissolved concentrations</u> HHCB: 987 – 2098 AHTN: 55 – 159 ADBI: <1 – 13 AHMI: <1 – 9 MX: 4 – 7 MK: <1 – 30	---	---	(Sumner et

1
2
3
4
39
6
40
41
42
43
44

Pentadecanols

Biological activated filters

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

-1

particles due to the type of treatment applied.

al. 2010)

B: Activated sludge + UV
treatment

Particulate concentrations (ng g⁻¹)

HHCB: 17 – 79
AHTN: nd – 18
ADBI: nd – 6
AHMI: nd – 13
MX: nd – 5
MK: nd - <1

45
46
47
48
49

Pentadecanolide: nd

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw) Dewatered sludge	Observations	Reference
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN	China (Taiwan)	Urban	---	---	DPMI: 1.2 – 2.5 ADBI, AHMI, ATII: nd HHCB: 1.4 – 2.8 AHTN: 0.5 – 0.7	---	(Wu and Ding 2010)
ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, MX, AHTN, MK	Spain	<u>Rural</u> (agriculture) <u>Urban</u> (domestic, industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (biological)	---	ADBI: 11 – 30 (23) AHMI: 13 – 16 (14) ATII: 20 – 22 (20) HHCB: 1259 – 8697 (4120) MX: 59 – 203 (104) AHTN: 56 – 981 (365) MK: 34 – 218 (107)	---	- HHCB, AHTN and MK were detected in most of the effluent wastewater. - ADBI, AHMI, ATII and MX were observed in less than 25% of the samples.	(Gómez et al. 2009)
HHCB, AHTN, MX, MK	China	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	HHCB: 1290.4 ± 90.4 AHTN: 378.2 ± 27.2 MX: 80.4 ± 7.1 MK: 72.1 ± 3.2	HHCB: 212.6 ± 16.5 AHTN: 38.7 ± 2.6 MX: <0.09 MK: 8.4 ± 0.5	---	- The removal efficiencies of HHCB and AHTN were 83.5 and 89.8%.	(Lv et al. 2009)
HHCB, AHTN	Germany	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial)	HHCB: 600 – 1300 AHTN: 80 – 400	HHCB: 500 – 1000 AHTN: 70 – 95	---	- Synthetic musks followed no seasonal pattern in the effluent and were characterized by highly variable daily loads (HHCB: 10-400 g day ⁻¹ ; AHTN: 5-45 g day ⁻¹). - Significantly lower concentrations during summer months compared to winter were also reported.	(Musolff et al. 2009)
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN	China (Taiwan)	<u>Urban</u> (domestic sewage)	DPMI, ADBI, ATII: nd HHCB: 3.2 – 37.3 AHTN: 1.2 – 22.2	---	---	---	(Wang and Ding 2009)

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
					WWTP A: Anaerobic: HHCB: 3700 AHTN: 1100 Anoxic: HHCB: 2500 AHTN: 700 Oxic: HHCB: 4800 AHTN: 1000		
			<u>WWTP A:</u>	<u>WWTP A:</u>			
		<u>Urban</u>	HHCB: 1649.0	HHCB: 492.8			
		A: anaerobic / anoxic / oxic biological treatment	AHTN: 111.9	AHTN: 47.3	<u>WWTP B:</u>		
		B: anoxic / oxic biological treatment	<u>WWTP B:</u>	<u>WWTP B:</u>	Anoxic:		
HHCB, AHTN	China	C: bio-selection / extended aeration oxidized ditch / anaerobic biological treatment	HHCB: 1251.4	HHCB: 729.9	HHCB: 5300	- The removal efficiencies of HHCB and AHTN were between 41.7 and 71.6% and 25.5-72.8%, respectively.	(Zhou et al. 2009)
			AHTN: 256.3	AHTN: 190.9	AHTN: 6100	- Musks concentrations in the sludge samples were several orders of magnitude higher than those in the sewage.	
			<u>WWTP C:</u>	<u>WWTP C:</u>	Oxic:		
			HHCB: 3003.8	HHCB: 1258.3	HHCB: 9000		
			AHTN: 286.3	AHTN: 89.3	AHTN: 13100		
					<u>WWTP C:</u>		
					Bio-selection:		
					HHCB: 16800		
					AHTN: 2900		
					Oxidized ditch:		
					HHCB: 17000		
					AHTN: 6100		
					Anaerobic:		
					HHCB: 10900		
					AHTN: 2100		
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI,			DPMI, ADBI, ATII, MX, MM, MK: nd	DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, MX, MM: nd			
ATII, HHCB, AHTN,		M X	M	M	38		MK

1
2
3
4
5
6

Spain	Urban					
Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)		AHMI: 31 ± 2	AHMI: 31 ± 2	AHTI: < 28	---	---
		HTCB: 7.18 ± 4.1	HTCB: 7.18 ± 4.1	HTCB: 3.3 ± 2.8		
		AHTN: 99 ± 7	AHTN: 99 ± 7	AHTN: 334 ± 30		
				MK: 113 ± 6		

(Regueiro et al. 2008)

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
					Dewatered sludge WWTP A DPMI, ATII: nd ADBI: <0.021 AHMI: <0.02 AHTN: 932 – 3220 (2120±1150) HHCB: 4140 – 7240 (6110±1710) ΣMusks: 5180 – 10600 (8340±2810)	- Total musks levels in sludge from plants with chemically enhanced primary treatment	
		Urban A. Primary treatment			WWTP B DPMI, ATII: nd		
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, AHTN, HHCB	China (Hong Kong)	B. Secondary treatment (biological) C. Chemically-enhanced primary treatment	---	---	ADBI: <0.021 - 186 AHMI: <0.02 - 670 AHTN: 475 – 12500 HHCB: 3580 - 58100 ΣMusks: 4190 – 71000	were significantly higher than those using secondary or simple primary treatment. - Musks were mainly removed through sorption to sewage sludge.	(Shek et al. 2008)
					WWTP C DPMI, ATII: nd ADBI: 83 - 351 AHMI: 71 - 209 AHTN: 5830 - 13900 HHCB: 22400 – 78600 ΣMusks: 28400 - 93000		
HHCB, AHTN, MX, MK, DPMI, ATII, AHMI, ADBI	China	Urban - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	HHCB: 1467 – 3430 (2300) AHTN: 435 – 1043 (717) MX: <4 MK: 418 – 1010 (743) DPMI, ATII, AHMI, ADBI: nd	HHCB: 233 – 336 (297) AHTN: 74 – 94 (86) MX: <4 MK: 43 – 101 (80) DPMI, ATII, AHMI, ADBI: nd	---	---	(Zhang et al. 2008)
					Primary sludge DPMI: 40750 – 50430 (45590) ADBI: 1460 – 2590 (2030)		
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, AHTN, HHCB	41 42 43			DPMI: 20340 – 29540 (24940) ADBI: 5350 – 7730 (6540) AHMI: 3730 – 5660 (4700) HHCB: 503880 – 595480 (549680) AHTN: 61090 – 68120 (64600) ATII: nd Σ Musks: 590650 – 700870	(645760)	DPMI: 1730 – 2220 (1970) ADBI: 500 – 730 (620) HHCB: 30570 – 33540 (32060) AHTN: 4840 – 5970 (5410) AHMI, ATII: nd Σ Musks: 37640 – 42460 (40050)	AHMI: 1380 – 2120 (1780) HHCB: 479730 – 545170 (512450) AHTN: 49690 – 68130 (58910) ATII: nd

1
2
3
4
5

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)

Secondary sludge	92.1, 90.6, 94.2, 91.6 and 93.8 for	
DPMI: 42640 – 52380 (47510)	DPMI, ADBI, HHCB,	
ADBI: 2120 – 4010 (3070)	AHTN and total	(Chen et al. 2007)
AHMI: 2130 – 3650 (2890)	polycyclic musks,	
HHCB: 530080 – 601270 (565670)	respectively.	
AHTN: 82870 – 107610	- Polycyclic musk concentrations	
(95240) ATH: nd	increased quickly from the	
	primary to the secondary sludge -	
	adsorption to sludge.	

44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
			WWTP A:		WWTP A:	- The highest concentrations of HHCB and AHTN	
HHCB, AHTN, HHCB-lactone	USA	A: Rural (domestic + commercial) B: <u>Urban</u> (domestic + commercial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment - Tertiary treatment	HHCB: 43 – 7032 (2499) AHTN: 112 – 5396 (1914) HHCB-lactone: 140 – 300 (232) WWTP B: HHCB: 284 – 522 (420) AHTN: 257 – 572 (388) HHCB-lactone: 107 – 766 (371)	WWTP A: HHCB: 10 – 67 (44) AHTN: 13 – 225 (130) HHCB-lactone: 73 – 467 (229) WWTP B: HHCB: 28 – 98 (55) AHTN: 38 – 192 (139) HHCB-lactone: 267 – 545 (378)	HHCB: 2000 - 21000 (9500) AHTN: 1200 - 6700 (3100) HHCB-lactone: 5600 - 10000 (7200) WWTP B: HHCB: <20 AHTN: <20 - 21 (21) HHCB-lactone: <50	were detected in the influent of WWTP A. - Estimated mass of total musks in the sewage entering each WWTP was between 74 and 81 g day ⁻¹ . - Estimated discharge was between 21 and 31 g day ⁻¹ . - Removal efficiencies of HHCB and AHTN ranged from 72 to 98%. - Mass of HHCB-lactone increased, suggesting oxidation of HHCB.	(Horii et al. 2007)
HHCB	Germany	<u>Urban</u>	---	HHCB: 1810	---	---	(Osenbruck et al. 2007)
MX, MM, MT, MK	Spain	<u>Urban</u>	Raw water: MX: 6.2 – 15 MM, MT: nd MK: <3.5 – 6.3 Influent MX: 7.1 ± 0.8 MM, MT: nd MK: 3.8 ± 0.4	MX: 2.4 MM, MT: nd MK: <3.5	---	---	(Polo et al. 2007)
				WWTP A <u>Primary effluent</u>			
HHCB, AHTN, HHCB-lactone	USA	<u>Urban</u> A: Domestic, commercial and industrial sewage B: Domestic and commercial sewage - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	WWTP A HHCB: 4760 – 12700 (9030±3010) AHTN: 1030 – 2590 (1900±629) HHCB-lactone: 741 – 1150 (897±153)	HHCB: 4120 – 8150 (6730±1650) AHTN: 877 – 1660 (1400±331) HHCB-lactone: 620 – 854 (763±116) <u>Final effluent</u> HHCB: 2810 – 3730 (3350±455) AHTN: 585 – 807 (713±106) HHCB-lactone: 823 – 4000 (1740±1290)	<u>Mixture of primary and secondary sludge</u> WWTP A HHCB: 63400 – 117000 (88600±22400) AHTN: 10400 – 16800 (12600±2650) HHCB-lactone: 12900 – 22000 (17900±3960)	- The highest concentrations were measured in plant A. - HHCB and AHTN levels decreased from influent to effluent in both WWTPs (A: 63% for HHCB and AHTN, B: 46% for HHCB, 56% for AHTN). - The average concentrations of HHCB-lactone increased from influent to effluent (A: increase of 48%, B: 69%)	(Reiner et al. 2007)
			38	(c	i	ated sludge)
				a	t	v	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)

WWTP B Influent	WWTP B Primary effluent	WWTP B Final effluent
(5940±3770)	HHCB: 2950 – 6820 (5310±1740)	HHCB: 2950 – 6820 (5310±1740)
AHTN: 304 – 1870 (994±613)	AHTN: 571 – 1300 (1010±326)	AHTN: 495 – 673 (555±69)
HHCB-lactone: 146 – 942 (505±300)	HHCB-lactone: 445 – 1030 (683±234)	HHCB-lactone: 820 – 3080 (1620±882)

- HHCB, AHTN and HHCB-lactone were detected in all sludge samples.
- WWTP A showed a mass load loss of 457 g day⁻¹ of HHCB and 101 g day⁻¹ of AHTN, whereas WWTP B a mass load loss of 286 g day⁻¹ of HHCB and 39.6 g day⁻¹ of AHTN.

43
44
45
46
47
48
49

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw) <u>Air-dried sludge</u> <u>Primary sludge</u> DPMI: nd ADBI: 173 - 278 ATII: 416 - 495 HHCB: 17500 - 25600 AHTN: 4240 - 7040 MX: nd MK: 170 - 196 <u>Activated sludge</u> DPMI: nd ADBI: 195 - 219 ATII: 472 - 586 HHCB: 20500 - 23800 AHTN: 4910 - 5870	Observations	Reference
DPMI, ADBI,	41					MX: nd-138 MK: 306 - 445	
AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN, MA, MX, MM, MT, MK	42	Canada	<u>Urban</u> - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)		---	<u>Centrifuged sludge</u> <u>Primary sludge</u> DPMI: nd ADBI: 199 - 361 ATII: 435 - 1220 HHCB: 20100 - 36500 AHTN: 6130 - 9300 MX: 50 - 392 MK: 150 - 619 <u>Activated sludge</u> DPMI: nd ADBI: 470 - 729 ATII: 1980 - 3210 HHCB: 45300 - 73700 AHTN: 10900 - 17000 MX: 284 - 1230 MK: 619 - 1630	- Proportions of synthetic musks present in the liquid phase of sludge samples were less than 5% compared to concentrations in the sludge solids.

1
2
3
4

(Smyth et al. 2007)

43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
				Primary effluent DPMI: 0.21 – 0.32 ADBI: nd – <10 AHMI, ATII: nd			
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN	China	Urban (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	DPMI: 0.19 – 0.34 ADBI: nd – <10 AHMI, ATII: nd HHCB: 1.01 – 3.08 AHTN: 0.12 – 0.16	HHCB: 1.15 – 3.19 AHTN: 0.12 – 0.16 Final effluent DPMI: 0.06 – 0.10 ADBI, AHMI: <10 ATII: nd HHCB: 0.95 – 2.05 AHTN: 0.10 – 0.14	---	- After gravitational settling in the primary settler, about 50% DPMI, < 57% AHTN and 20.7-74.5% HHCB were removed with particles sedimentation. - After primary and secondary treatment 61.3-74.5% DPMI, 85.6-96.9% HHCB and 87.7-95.9% AHTN were removed.	(Zeng et al. 2007)
HHCB, AHTN, ADBI, AHDI, DPMI, ATII	Switzerland	Rural (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	HHCB: 2290 – 6810 AHTN: 1130 – 2000 ADBI: 90 – 330 AHDI: 30 – 110 DPMI: 60 – 100 ATII: nd	HHCB: 570 – 1030 AHTN: 190 – 500 ADBI: nd – 40 AHDI: 30 – 110 ATII, DPMI: nd	Raw sludge HHCB: 9420 – 11670 AHTN: 2950 – 3870 ADBI: 180 – 440 AHDI: 90 – 260 ATII: 150 – 210 DPMI: 10 – 20 Digested sludge HHCB: 9390 AHTN: 3220 ADBI: 250 AHDI: 110 ATII: 180 DPMI: 30	---	(Kupper et al. 2006)
ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN	Canada	Urban (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge, lagoons or activated sludge followed by media filtration)	ADBI: 37.2 AHMI: 42.0 ATII: 168 HHCB: 2031 AHTN: 804	ADBI: 25 AHMI: nd ATII: 45 HHCB: 751 AHTN: 274	---	- Lagoon systems were the most effective type of treatment for musks reduction (98-99% for HHCB and AHTN). - Activated sludge systems reduce the musks concentrations between 37 and 65%.	(Lishman et al. 2006)
			Concentration in ng g ⁻¹	Concentration in ng g ⁻¹	Activated sludge HHCB: 2700 – 14400 AHTN: 800 – 4700 ADBI: 30 – 180 AHDI: 80 – 310	- In the water line, higher removal rates were found during summer (66.5-85.9%). - In winter, synthetic musks concentrations in activated sludge	
HHCB, AHTN, ADBI, AHDI, ATII, AETT	42 43 44	Germany Urb an (domestic +	HHCB: 1.44 – 1.79	HHCB: 0.14 – 0.36 industrial) R u r a	ATII: 110 – 360	samples were generally higher compared to summer AHTN: 0.41 – 0.57 ADBI: 0.017 – 0.018 AHDI: 0.025 – 0.059	ATII: 0.021 – 0.032

1
2
3
4

5
6
7
8 **Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)** (Müller et al. 2015)

Measurements	Location	Medium
AHTN: 0.05 – 0.08	AETT: 30 – 460	liquid
ADBI: 0.005 – 0.007	Dried sludge	sludge
AHDI: 0.009 – 0.010	HHCB: 6020 – 23000	present
ATII: 0.004 – 0.005	AHTN: 1970 - 6940	higher contamination levels that may be caused by degradation of organic matter (24-57% of increase over values determined for liquid sludge).
	ADBI: 80 - 340	- Treatment process led to a dramatic reduction of the compounds in effluent, and accumulation in the solidphase.
	AHDI: 150 - 320	
	ATII: 150 - 590	

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
				<u>Primary effluent</u> DPMI: 5.1 – 9.4 (7.0) ADBI: 3.5 – 5.8 (4.4) AHMI: 2.8 – 3.5 (3.2) ATII: 9.2 – 24.1 (14.8) HHCB: 214.3 – 472.1 (324.8) AHTN: 41.0– 100.7 (66.3)	<u>Raw sludge</u> DPMI: 12.8 – 48.4 (31.4) ADBI: 16.1 – 29.3 (23.6) AHMI: 11.3 – 30.0 (20.1) ATII: 92.8 – 244.3 (198.5) HHCB: 2482.4 – 4514.1 (3302.5) AHTN: 408.6– 929.4 (720.1)	- ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB and AHTN were detected in all samples of sludge/biosolids. - In the solid phase, there was a clear trend for musks to accumulate in return activated sludge. - Most musks exhibited decreasing concentration during the colder months.	
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN, MA, MX, MM, MT, MK	Canada	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge) - Tertiary treatment (UV disinfection)	ADBI: 4.8 – 8.2 (5.8) AHMI: 4.3 – 5.5 (4.8) ATII: 13.2 – 31.2 (18.9) HHCB: 246.7 – 567.5 (390.2) AHTN: 47.2 – 136.7 (85.9) MX: 10.8 – 16.0 (13.6) MK: 12.5 – 15.5 (14.5) MA, MM, MT: nd	MX: 8.8 – 11.4 (9.7) MK: 9.8 – 10.9 (10.5) MA, MM, MT: nd	MX: nd – 83.7 (76.6) MK: nd – 48.1 (39.8) MA, MM, MT: nd	- The removal efficiencies in the primary treatment ranged from 17.9 to 26.3%. - The average overall removal (primary + secondary treatment) ranged from 51.6 to 68.1%. - UV disinfection failed to remove musks. - The removal of synthetic musks is associated with the removal of total suspended solids. - The mass balance to HHCB and AHTN showed that 3% of these musks were discharged via suspended solids in final effluent, 73% in the form of biosolids and only 24% remained in the final aqueous effluent.	(Yang and Metcalfe 2006)
AHTN, HHCB, HHCB-lactone	Germany	? - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	---	AHTN: 20 – 300 HHCB: 100 – 600 HHCB-lactone: 20 – 300	---	- HHCB may be transformed to some extent to HHCB-lactone by biological processes. - Removals between 76 and 87% were reached for HHCB and AHTN.	(Bester 2005)
AHTN, HHCB	Austria	<u>Rural</u> (domestic) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	<u>WWTP A</u> AHTN: 989 – 1106 HHCB: 3060 – 4443	<u>Activated sludge</u> AHTN: 144 – 170 HHCB: 451 – 652	---	- 80-95% removals were achieved for AHTN and HHCB in WWTP A.	(Clara et al. 2005)
		A: activated sludge + membrane bioreactor at pilot scale B: Activated sludge	<u>WWTP B</u> AHTN: 210 - 450 HHCB: 830 - 1400	<u>bioreactor</u> AHTN: 92 - 163 HHCB: 373 - 536	---	- In WWTP B, none or only slight removal rates were observed (about 60%).	
				<u>WWTP B</u> AHTN: 160 - 170 HHCB: 535 - 870			
		<u>Urban</u>			<u>Activated sludge</u> AHTN: 2300 - 4300 HHCB: 4500 - 8500	- During digestion the solid mass is reduced up to	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compound	Primary treatment (activated sludge)	Secondary treatment	Digested sludge	Reference
AHTN	6600		6600	(Ternes et al. 2005)
HHCB	15000		15000	

50-70%, leading to an increase in synthetic musks levels.

(Ternes et al. 2005)

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
		<u>Urban</u> - Primary treatment			<u>Activated sludge</u>		(Ternes et al. 2005)
AHTN, HHCB	Germany	- Secondary treatment (activated sludge) - Tertiary treatment	---	---	AHTN: 1400 - 2600 HHCB: 5200 - 6500	- Same as previous row.	al. 2005)
					<u>WWTP A</u> DPMI: 599 – 1004 ADBI: 192 - 210 AHMI: <LOD – 227 ATII: nd HHCB: 5656 - 21214 AHTN: 768 - 6195		
					<u>WWTP B</u> DPMI: 1004 ADBI: 207 AHMI: 112 ATII: nd HHCB: 5416		
DPMI, ADBI,		<u>Urban</u> A: Domestic + industrial				- Concentrations were high in samples from	
AHMI, ATII, HHCB, AHTN	China	B: Domestic C: Industrial - cosmetic plants D: Industrial - food plant	---	---	AHTN: 715	WWTP C - plant producing household and personal care products.	(Zeng et al. 2005)
					<u>WWTP C</u> DPMI: 2705 - 51604 ADBI: 302 - 4275 AHMI: <LOD - 4888 ATII: nd HHCB: 32061 - 703681 AHTN: 8557 - 169284		
					<u>WWTP D</u> DPMI: 972 ADBI: <LOD AHMI, ATII: nd HHCB: 82 AHTN: 54		
					<u>Activated sludge</u>		
				HHCB: 5390 – 9020 (6900±1500)	HHCB-lactone: 390 – 500 (430±40)	HHCB: 730 – 1080 (860±130)	HHCB-lactone:
DPMI, AHDI, ATII,	42			AHTN: 1240 – 2280 (1520±380)		AHTN: 180 – 370 (250±70)	680 – 1190
AHTN, HHCB,	43		<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial)	ADBI: 50 – 110 (80±20)		ADBI: <20 (20)	(900±220)
HHCB-lactone	44	Switzerland		AHDI: 100 – 340 (200±80)		AHDI: 50 – 90 (70±10)	
				ATII: 90 – 320 (170±80)		ATII: 15 – 30 (20)	
				DPMI: 20 – 50 (30±10)		DPMI: <10	

1
2
3
4

HHCB: 3170 – 5270 (4300±640)
AHTN: 1250 – 2060 (1720±260)
ADBI: 50 – 70 (60±10)
AHDI: 170 – 240 (210±30)
ATII: 120 – 210 (170±30) DPMI: nd
HHCB-lactone: 1280 – 1570 (1410±90)

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)

highest daily loads in influents were observed for HHCB, AHTN and HHCB-lactone. The loads were highest during the weekend and on Monday. - Mean removal rates ranged from 61% (AHDI) to 87% (HHCB and ATII). (Berset et al. 2004)

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
						- Removals of 64% for AHTN and HHCB were reached.	
AHTN, HHCB, HHCB-lactone	Germany	Urban (domestic + industrial – brewing) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	AHTN: 427 – 713 (583±103) HHCB: 1409 – 2325 (1941±352) HHCB-lactone: 170 – 270 (231±42)	AHTN: 197 – 240 (212±17) HHCB: 652 – 795 (695±58) HHCB-lactone: 335 – 420 (367±34)	Digested sludge AHTN: 1343 – 1746 (1525±145) HHCB: 2709 – 3342 (3068 ±244) HHCB-lactone: ---	- HHCB-lactone concentration increased within the plant - HHCB is transformed to HHCB-lactone during the sewage treatment process. - Most of the AHTN (80%) and HHCB (50%) were transferred to the sludge.	(Bester 2004)
HHCB, AHTN	Spain	Urban - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	HHCB: 2100 - 3400 AHTN: 900 - 1690	Primary effluent HHCB: 1400 - 1820 AHTN: 600 - 970 Biological effluent HHCB: 17720 - 45400 AHTN: 3250 – 14780 Final effluent HHCB: 490 - 600 AHTN: 150 – 200	---	- HHCB and AHTN are well removed during the primary treatment (about 40%) - adsorption onto solid particles is the key mechanism involved. - Musks were also removed during biological treatment (30-40% for HHCB and 45-50% for AHTN) mainly by sorption on activated sludge. - The overall removal efficiencies from the water phase were 70-85% for HHCB and 75-90% for AHTN.	(Carballa et al. 2004)
MK, MM, MA, MX, MT, 2-AMK, 4-AMX, 2-AMX,				HHCB: 32.6 – 97.9 (56.9) AHTN: 21.7 – 49.7 (34.0) AHMI: 1.0 – 3.8 (2.8) AHTI: nd – 51.0 (26.6)			(Osemwengie
HHCB, AHTN, AHTI, ADBI, DPMI, AHMI, AETT	USA	Urban	---	ADBI: 0.4 – 6.0 (2.7) MX: nd – 1.6 (1.1) MK: 18.0 – 45.7 (27.4) 4-AMX: nd – 33.0 (13.5) 2-AMX: nd – 1.7 (1.6) 2-AMK: nd – 4.7 (3.2)	---	---	and Gerstenberger 2004)
				Primary effluent (soluble content) AHTN: 300±10 – 480±20 HHCB: 1050±40 – 1750±60	Primary sludge (soluble content) AHTN: 310±40 – 470±60 HHCB: 1080±120 – 1590±170		
			Free amount	Primary effluent (overall amount)	Primary sludge (overall amount)		
AHTN, HHCB	Netherlands	Urban - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	AHTN: 210±3 – 480±10 HHCB: 790±10 – 1630±60	AHTN: 810±10 – 1640±230 HHCB: 2060±130 – 3980±460	AHTN: 15260±2180 – 92250±36530 HHCB: 39300±8120 – 257730±107880	- Neither biodegradation nor volatilisation contribute significantly to the removal of these synthetic musks. Removal occurs mainly via	(Artola-
			40 41 42	43	Overall amount AHTN: 540±50 – 1760±90 HHCB: 1420±120 – 4300±230		Garicano et al. AHTN: 1240 – 1760

1
2
3
4

Biological effluent (soluble content) AHTN: 310±10 – 550±70
 HHCB: 1210±40 – 1970±120

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)

Biological effluent (overall amount) AHTN: 420±60 – 1200±180
 HHCB: 1250±20 – 2220±90
 AHTN: 570 – 1200

Waste sludge (solid content) sludge adsorption 2003a)
 AHTN: 380±70 –
 460±10 HHCB: nd –
 1800±480

Waste sludge (overall amount) AHTN: (Artola-
 12380±190 –
 82670±43090
 HHCB: 29470±10840 –
 234600±11 1860

44 AHTN, HHCB Netherlands Urban HHCB: 3260 – 4300 HHCB: 1430 – 2220 --- --- Garicano et al. 2003b)

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
AHTN, HHCB	Switzerland	<u>Urban</u> - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge) - Tertiary treatment	---	AHTN: 0.31 - 0.76 HHCB: 0.72 - 1.95	---	---	(Buerge et al. 2003)
HHCB, AHTN, ATII, ADBI, AHMI, DPMI, MK, MX	Canada	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	---	DPMI, ATII, MX, MK: <1 ADBI: 4 - 19 AHMI: 2 - 6 HHCB: 205 - 1300 AHTN: 110 - 520	---	- The highest concentrations for all compounds were measured in the effluents from the WWTP that serves the most populated area (350 000 inhabitants).	(Ricking et al. 2003)
HHCB, AHTN, ATII, ADBI, AHMI, DPMI, MK, MX	Sweden	<u>Urban</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment - Tertiary treatment	---	DPMI, ATII, MX, MK: <1 ADBI: 2 - 8 AHMI: 2 - 5 HHCB: 157 - 423 AHTN: 42 - 104	---	- The highest concentrations for all compounds were measured in the effluents from the WWTP that serves the most populated area (79 000 inhabitants).	(Ricking et al. 2003)
MA, MX, MM, MT, MK, DPMI, ADBI,	UK	<u>Urban and Rural</u> (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge or percolating filter treatments)	---	---	<u>Digested sludge</u> ADBI: 0.010 - 0.260 (0.071) AHMI: 0.032 - 1.100 (0.410) ATII: 0.044 - 1.100 (0.450) HHCB: 1.9 - 81 (27) AHTN: 0.120 - 16 (4.700) MA, MX, MM, MT, MK, DPMI: nd Σ Musks: 2.1 - 99 (32)	---	(Stevens et al. 2003)
HHCB, AHTN, ADBI, AHMI	Germany	<u>Urban</u>	HHCB: 970 AHTN: 320 ADBI: 20 AHMI: 20	HHCB: 1400 AHTN: 360 ADBI: <10 AHMI: 60	---	---	(Dsikowitzky et al. 2002)
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI,				ADBI: 9.1±0.6 AHMI: 5.3±0.6			
ATII, HHCB, AHTN, Ambrettolide	Spain	<u>Urban</u>	---	ATII: 12.9±0.3 HHCB: 372±26 AHTN: 102±1 DPMI, Ambrettolide: nd	---	---	(García-Jares et al. 2002)
HHCN, AHTN, MX,				HHCN: 210 - 4200 AHTN: 140 - 1900	HHCB: 4800 AHTN: 2000		
MK, 4-AMX, 2-AMK	Germany	<u>Urban</u>	---	3.9	4.0	4	1

1
2
3
4
5
42
43
44

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (cont.)

MX: nd – 3	MX: <10
4-AMX: 7 – 15	4-AMX: <10
2-AMK: 15 – 20	2-AMK: nd

(Gatermann et al. 2002)

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
				<u>Primary + Activated sludge effluent</u> AHTN: 1326±270 HHCB: 2053±1314 MX: 10±4 MK: 58±28			
				<u>Oxidation ditch effluent</u> AHTN: 890 - 1130 HHCB: 1490 - 1500 MX: 6 - 14 MK: nd			
		<u>Urban</u> (different type of		<u>Primary + Trickling filter effluent</u>			
AHTN, HHCB, MX, MK	USA	WWTPs) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment	AHTN: 12500±7350 HHCB: 16600±10400 MX: 386±299 MK: 640±395	AHTN: 1555±522 HHCB: 2056±655 MX: 112±172 MK: 67±27	---	- See following row	(Simonich et al. 2002)
				<u>Primary + Rotating biological contactor effluent</u> AHTN: 1710 HHCB: 2210 MX: 32 MK: 55			
				<u>Lagoon treatment</u> AHTN: 24 - 130 HHCB: 32 - 73 MX: nd - 1 MK: 10 - 27			
				<u>Primary + Activated sludge effluent</u> AHTN: 1440 HHCB: 4620 MX: 10 MK: 60		- USA and European influent concentrations are similar. - Primary treatment leads to lower removal rates (27% MK, 41% MX, 29% AHTN and 30% HHCB). - The overall removal (primary + secondary treatment) ranged from 88-98% for activated sludge plants, 59-	
	Europe	<u>Urban</u> (different type of	AHTN: 5970±3880 HHCB:	<u>Primary + Carousel effluent</u> AHTN: 1170 - 1300			
AHTN, HHCB, MX, MK	43	(UK +	WWTPs) - Primary treatment	9710±5090 MX: 248±136	H	- 1150	MX: 20 - 170
	44	Netherlands)	- Secondary treatment	MK: 996±741	H C B: 9 8 0	MX: 10 MK: 640 – 770	MK: 40 - 200
						<u>Primary + Trickling filter effluent</u> AHTN: 620 – 2670 HHCB: 1050 - 3750	

1
2
3
4
5
6

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

(Simonich et al. 2002)
89% for
plants, 89%
97% for
oxidation
ditch
plants, 78-
88% for
trickling
filter plants,
81-89% for a
rotating
biological
contactor
plant, and
97-100% for
lagoons.
- Lagoons
yielded
the most
effective
removal
due to the
long
retention
times (90-
120 days)
that
enable
biodegrad
ation,
photodegr
adation,
sorption
and
settling
and/or
volatilisati
on.

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
HHCB, AHTN, ADBI,				HHCB: 6850 AHTN: 2240			(Fromme et al.
ATII, AHMI	Germany	<u>Urban</u>	---	ADBI: 110 ATII: 310 AHMI: 270	---	---	2001)
				MX: <0.02 - 1.3 MK: <0.20 - 27.5 MA: <0.30 MM: <0.03 MT: <0.02			
HHCB, AHTN, ATII, ADBI, DPMI, AHMI, AETT, MK, MM, MA, MX, MT, 2-AMK, 4- AMX, 2-AMX	USA	<u>Urban</u> - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment - Tertiary treatment	---	AETT: <0.02 HHCB: 35.0 - 152 AHMI: 2.4 - 5.0 DPMI: <0.02 ADBI: 0.3 - 2.1 ATII: < 0.02 - 126 AHTN: 26.6 - 92.2 4-AMX: < 0.30 - 31.5 2-AMX: <0.25 - 0.9 2-AMK: <0.25	---	---	(Osemwengie and Steinberg 2001)
					<u>Wet sludge</u>		
					<u>WWTP A</u> MA, MX, MM, MT, AMA, AMT, AMK : nd MK: nd - 6.9 AMX: nd - 49.1 AMM: nd - 7.9 DPMI: 47.2 - 332 ADBI: 61.6 - 245		
DPMI, ADBI, AHMI,	42					AHMI: 103 - 843	AHTN: 741 - 1418
MA, MX, HHCB,	43		<u>Urban</u>			HHCB: 2347 - 12157	
AHTN, MM, AMA,	44	Switzerland	A. Domestic B. Domestic + Industrial	---	---	AHTN: 973 - 4161	
MT, AMM, MK, AMT, AMK, AMX						<u>WWTP B</u> MA, MM, MT, AMA, AMT: nd MX: nd - 32.5 MK: nd - 7.0 AMX: nd - 31.5 AMM: nd - 36.2 DPMI: 38.4 - 147 ADBI: 41 - 330 AHMI: 64.9 - 266 HHCB: 2293 - 4074	

1
2
3
4

- Among nitromusks MK was predominantly detected in areas
MX was found only in one sample.

- Besides adsorption to sewage particles, transformation processes seem to play an important role with respect to nitromusks removal

- The two most frequently detected compounds were HHCB and AHTN.

(Her
ren
and
Bers
et
200
0)

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in wastewater treatment plants (*cont.*)

Compounds	Country	Type WWTP	Influent (ng L ⁻¹)	Effluent (ng L ⁻¹)	Sludge (ng g ⁻¹ dw)	Observations	Reference
				A: Primary effluent AHTN: 6990±1340 HHCB: 7760±1600 MK: 332±174 MX: 230±136			
			WWTP A AHTN: 10700±619	A: Final effluent AHTN: 1180±74		- The removal following the primary treatment was	
AHTN, HHCB, MK, MX	USA	Urban A: Activated sludge wastewater treatment B: Trickling filter wastewater treatment	HHCB: 13700±1500 MK: 569±114 MX: 376±96 -WWTP B AHTN: 10000 HHCB: 9810 MK: 488 MX: 339	HHCB: 1170±100 MK: 99±15 MX: 5±1 B: Primary effluent AHTN: 7030 HHCB: 6660 MK: 419 MX: 165 B: Final effluent AHTN: 1660 HHCB: 1630 MK: 96 MX: 31	---	slightly lower at WWTP B (14% MK, 51% MX, 30% AHTN, 32% HHCB) than WWTP A (42% MK, 39% MX, 35% AHTN, 43% HHCB). - This reduction is likely due to a shorter hydraulic residence time in the primary clarifiers. - After secondary treatment the removal was also lower in WWTP B (80% MK, 90% MX, 84% AHTN, 84% HHCB)	(Simonich et al. 2000)
MX, 2-AMX, 4-AMX,			4-AMX, 2-AMX, 2-AMK: nd	4-AMX: 34 2-AMX: 10			(Gatermann et al.
MK, 2-AMK	Germany	?	MX:150 MK: 550	MX: 10 MK: 6 2-AMK: 250	---	---	1998)
HHCB, MK	Sweden	Urban (domestic + industrial) - Primary treatment - Secondary treatment (activated sludge)	---	HHCB: 1000 – 6000 MK: 1000 – 5000	----	---	(Paxéus 1996)

nd - not detected, na - not available, concentration in brackets - mean values

References

1
2 Amy, G., Brdjanovic, D., Comeau, Y., Ekama, G.A., Garcia, J.H.O., Gerba, C.P., Henze, M.,
3 Hooijmans, C.M., Judd, S., Kim, B.-g., Lier, K.B.v., Mahmoud, N., Martins, A.M., Morgenroth,
4 E.F., Olsson, G., Rosso, D., Stenstrom, M.K., Takacs, I., Loosdrecht, M.C.M.v., Wentzel, M.C.
5
6 and Zeeman, G. (2008) *Biological Wastewater Treatment: Principles, Modelling and Design*,
7 IWA Publishing, UK.

8
9
10 Andreoli, C.V., von Sperling, M. and Fernandes, F. (2007) *Sludge Treatment and Disposal*,
11 IWA Publishing.

12
13
14
15
16 Arbulu, M., Sampredo, M.C., Unceta, N., Gomez-Caballero, A., Goicolea, M.A. and Barrio,
17 R.J. (2011) A retention time locked gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method based on
18 stir-bar sorptive extraction and thermal desorption for automated determination of synthetic
19 musk fragrances in natural and wastewaters. *J Chromatogr A* 1218(20), 3048-3055.
20

21
22
23 Artola-Garicano, E., Borkent, I., Hermens, J.L.M. and Vaes, W.H.J. (2003a) Removal of Two
24 Polycyclic Musks in Sewage Treatment Plants Freely Dissolved and Total Concentrations.
25 *Environ Sci Technol* 37, 3111-3116.
26

27
28
29 Artola-Garicano, E., Hermens, J.L.M. and Vaes, W.H.J. (2003b) Evaluation of Simple Treat 3.0
30 for two hydrophobic and slowly biodegradable chemicals: polycyclic musks HHCB and AHTN.
31 *Water Res* 37(18), 4377-4384.
32

33
34
35
36 Berset, J.D., Kupper, T., Etter, R. and Tarradellas, J. (2004) Considerations about the
37 enantioselective transformation of polycyclic musks in wastewater, treated wastewater and
38 sewage sludge and analysis of their fate in a sequencing batch reactor plant. *Chemosphere*
39 57(8), 987-996.
40

41
42
43 Bester, K. (2004) Retention characteristics and balance assessment for two polycyclic musk
44 fragrances (HHCB and AHTN) in a typical German sewage treatment plant. *Chemosphere*
45 57(8), 863-870.
46

47
48
49 Bester, K. (2005) Polycyclic musks in the Ruhr catchment area—transport, discharges of waste
50 water, and transformations of HHCB, AHTN and HHCB-lactone. *J Environ Monit* 7, 43-51.
51

52
53
54 Bu, Q., Wang, B., Huang, J., Deng, S. and Yu, G. (2013) Pharmaceuticals and personal care
55 products in the aquatic environment in China: A review. *J Hazard Mater* 262, 189-211.
56

1 Buerge, I.J., Buser, H.-R., Müller, M.D. and Poiger, T. (2003) Behavior of the polycyclic musks
2 HHCB and AHTN in lakes, two potential anthropogenic markers for domestic wastewater in
3 surface waters. *Environ Sci Technol* 37, 5636-5644.
4
5

6 Cabeza, Y., Candela, L., Ronen, D. and Teijon, G. (2012) Monitoring the occurrence of
7 emerging contaminants in treated wastewater and groundwater between 2008 and 2010. The
8 Baix Llobregat (Barcelona, Spain). *J Hazard Mater* 239-240, 32-39.
9

10 Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Llompart, M., Garcia-Jares, C., Rodriguez, I., Gomez, M.
11 and Ternes, T. (2004) Behavior of pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and hormones in a sewage
12 treatment plant. *Water Res* 38(12), 2918-2926.
13
14
15

16 Cavalleiro, J., Prieto, A., Monperrus, M., Etxebarria, N. and Zuloaga, O. (2013) Determination
17 of polycyclic and nitro musks in environmental water samples by means of microextraction by
18 packed sorbents coupled to large volume injection-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
19 analysis. *Anal Chim Acta* 773, 68-75.
20
21
22
23

24 Chase, D.A., Karnjanapiboonwong, A., Fang, Y., Cobb, G.P., Morse, A.N. and Anderson, T.A.
25 (2012) Occurrence of synthetic musk fragrances in effluent and non-effluent impacted
26 environments. *Sci Total Environ* 416, 253-260.
27
28
29

30 Chen, D., Zeng, X., Sheng, Y., Bi, X., Gui, H., Sheng, G. and Fu, J. (2007) The concentrations
31 and distribution of polycyclic musks in a typical cosmetic plant. *Chemosphere* 66(2), 252-258.
32
33
34
35

36 Chou, Y.-J. and Dietrich, D.R. (1999) Interactions of nitromusk parent compounds and their
37 amino-metabolites with the estrogen receptors of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and the
38 South African clawed frog (*Xenopus laevis*). *Toxicol Lett* 111, 27-36.
39

40 Clara, M., Strenn, B., Gans, O., Martinez, E., Kreuzinger, N. and Kroiss, H. (2005) Removal of
41 selected pharmaceuticals, fragrances and endocrine disrupting compounds in a membrane
42 bioreactor and conventional wastewater treatment plants. *Water Res* 39(19), 4797-4807.
43
44
45

46 Correia, P., Cruz, A., Santos, L. and Alves, A. (2013) Human dermal exposure to galaxolide
47 from personal care products. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 35(3), 299-309.
48
49
50

51 Dsikowitzky, L., Schwarzbauer, J. and Littke, R. (2002) Distribution of polycyclic musks in
52 water and particulate matter of the Lippe River (Germany). *Org Geochem* 33, 1747-1758.
53
54

55 Duedahl-Olesen, L., Cederberg, T., Pedersen, K.H. and Hojgard, A. (2005) Synthetic musk
56 fragrances in trout from Danish fish farms and human milk. *Chemosphere* 61(3), 422-431.
57
58
59

1 European Commission (2011) Commission Regulation (EU) N.º 143/2011 of 17 February 2011
2 amending Annex XIV to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the
3 Council on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals
4 ('REACH'), pp. 2-6, Official Journal of the European Union, L44.
5
6

7 European Parliament (2009) Regulation (EC) N.º 1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of
8 the Council of 30 November 2009 on cosmetic products, pp. 59-209, Official Journal of the
9 European Union, L 342.
10

11 Fromme, H., Lahrz, T., Piloty, M., Gebhart, H., Oddoy, A. and Rden, H. (2004) Occurrence of
12 phthalates and musk fragrances in indoor air and dust from apartments and kindergartens in
13 Berlin (Germany). *Indoor Air* 14, 188-195.
14
15

16 Fromme, H., Otto, T. and Pilz, K. (2001) Polycyclic musk fragrances in different environmental
17 compartments in Berlin (Germany). *Water Res* 35, 121-128.
18
19

20 Garca-Jares, C., Llompart, M., Polo, M., Salgado, C., Macas, S. and Cela, R. (2002)
21 Optimisation of a solid-phase microextraction method for synthetic musk compounds in water. *J*
22 *Chromatogr A* 963, 277-285.
23
24

25 Gatermann, R., Biselli, S., Huhnerfuss, H., Rimkus, G.G., Hecker, M. and Karbe, L. (2002)
26 Synthetic musks in the environment. Part 1: Species-dependent bioaccumulation of polycyclic
27 and nitro musk fragrances in freshwater fish and mussels. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 42(4),
28 437-446.
29
30

31 Gatermann, R., Hhnerfuss, H., Rimkus, G., Attar, A. and Kettrup, A. (1998) Occurrence of
32 musk xylene and musk ketone metabolites in the aquatic environment. *Chemosphere* 36, 2535-
33 2547.
34
35

36 Godayol, A., Besal, E., Antic, E. and Sanchez, J.M. (2015) Monitoring of sixteen fragrance
37 allergens and two polycyclic musks in wastewater treatment plants by solid phase
38 microextraction coupled to gas chromatography. *Chemosphere* 119, 363-370.
39
40

41 Gmez, M.J., Gomez-Ramos, M.M., Aguera, A., Mezcuca, M., Herrera, S. and Fernandez-Alba,
42 A.R. (2009) A new gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous
43 analysis of target and non-target organic contaminants in waters. *J Chromatogr A* 1216(18),
44 4071-4082.
45
46

47 Guo, R., Lee, I.S., Kim, U.J. and Oh, J.E. (2010) Occurrence of synthetic musks in Korean
48 sewage sludges. *Sci Total Environ* 408(7), 1634-1639.
49
50

1 Herren, D. and Berset, J.D. (2000) Nitro musks, nitro musk amino metabolites and polycyclic
2 musks in sewage sludges: Quantitative determination by HRGC-ion-trap-MS/MS and mass
3 spectral characterization of the amino metabolites. *Chemosphere* 40, 565-574.
4

5
6 Homem, V., Silva, J.A., Cunha, C., Alves, A. and Santos, L. (2013) New analytical method for
7 the determination of musks in personal care products by Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective,
8 Rugged, and Safe extraction followed by GC-MS. *J Sep Sci* 36(13), 2176-2184.
9

10
11 Horii, Y., Reiner, J.L., Loganathan, B.G., Senthil Kumar, K., Sajwan, K. and Kannan, K. (2007)
12 Occurrence and fate of polycyclic musks in wastewater treatment plants in Kentucky and
13 Georgia, USA. *Chemosphere* 68(11), 2011-2020.
14
15

16
17 Hu, Z., Shi, Y. and Cai, Y. (2011a) Concentrations, distribution, and bioaccumulation of
18 synthetic musks in the Haihe River of China. *Chemosphere* 84(11), 1630-1635.
19

20
21 Hu, Z., Shi, Y., Zhang, S., Niu, H. and Cai, Y. (2011b) Assessment of synthetic musk
22 fragrances in seven wastewater treatment plants of Beijing, China. *Bull Environ Contam
23 Toxicol* 86(3), 302-306.
24
25

26
27 Jones-Lepp, T.L. and Stevens, R. (2007) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in
28 biosolids/sewage sludge: the interface between analytical chemistry and regulation. *Anal
29 Bioanal Chem* 387(4), 1173-1183.
30
31

32
33 Klaschka, U., von der Ohe, P.C., Bschorer, A., Krezmer, S., Sengl, M. and Letzel, M. (2013)
34 Occurrences and potential risks of 16 fragrances in five German sewage treatment plants and
35 their receiving waters. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 20(4), 2456-2471.
36
37

38
39 Kupper, T., Plagellat, C., Brandli, R.C., de Alencastro, L.F., Grandjean, D. and Tarradellas, J.
40 (2006) Fate and removal of polycyclic musks, UV filters and biocides during wastewater
41 treatment. *Water Res* 40(14), 2603-2612.
42
43

44
45 Lee, I.S., Lee, S.H. and Oh, J.E. (2010) Occurrence and fate of synthetic musk compounds in
46 water environment. *Water Res* 44(1), 214-222.
47
48

49
50 Lishman, L., Smyth, S.A., Sarafin, K., Kleywegt, S., Toito, J., Peart, T., Lee, B., Servos, M.,
51 Beland, M. and Seto, P. (2006) Occurrence and reductions of pharmaceuticals and personal care
52 products and estrogens by municipal wastewater treatment plants in Ontario, Canada. *Sci Total
53 Environ* 367(2-3), 544-558.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Liu, H., Liu, L., Xiong, Y., Yang, X. and Luan, T. (2010) Simultaneous determination of UV
2 filters and polycyclic musks in aqueous samples by solid-phase microextraction and gas
3 chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 1217(43), 6747-6753.

4
5
6 Liu, J.L. and Wong, M.H. (2013) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs): A
7 review on environmental contamination in China. *Environ Int* 59C, 208-224.

8
9
10 Liu, N., Shi, Y., Li, W., Xu, L. and Cai, Y. (2014) Concentrations and distribution of synthetic
11 musks and siloxanes in sewage sludge of wastewater treatment plants in China. *Sci Tot Environ*
12 476-477, 65-72.

13
14
15 López-Nogueroles, M., Chisvert, A., Salvador, A. and Carretero, A. (2011) Dispersive liquid-
16 liquid microextraction followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for the
17 determination of nitro musks in surface water and wastewater samples. *Talanta* 85(4), 1990-
18 1995.

19
20
21
22
23
24 Lv, Y., Yuan, T., Hu, J. and Wang, W. (2009) Simultaneous Determination of Trace Polycyclic
25 and Nitro Musks in Water Samples Using Optimized Solid-Phase Extraction by Gas
26 Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry. *Anal Sci* 25, 1125-1130.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Lv, Y., Yuan, T., Hu, J. and Wang, W. (2010) Seasonal occurrence and behavior of synthetic
35 musks (SMs) during wastewater treatment process in Shanghai, China. *Sci Total Environ*
36 408(19), 4170-4176.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

- 1 Musolff, A., Leschik, S., Moder, M., Strauch, G., Reinstorf, F. and Schirmer, M. (2009)
2 Temporal and spatial patterns of micropollutants in urban receiving waters. *Environ Pollut*
3 157(11), 3069-3077.
4
5
6 Nakata, H., Shinohara, R., Nakazawa, Y., Isobe, T., Sudaryanto, A., Subramanian, A., Tanabe,
7 S., Zakaria, M.P., Zheng, G.J., Lam, P.K., Kim, E.Y., Min, B.Y., We, S.U., Viet, P.H., Tana,
8 T.S., Prudente, M., Frank, D., Lauenstein, G. and Kannan, K. (2012) Asia-Pacific mussel watch
9 for emerging pollutants: Distribution of synthetic musks and benzotriazole UV stabilizers in
10 Asian and US coastal waters. *Mar Pollut Bull* 64(10), 2211-2218.
11
12
13
14
15 Osemwengie, L.I. and Gerstenberger, S.L. (2004) Levels of synthetic musk compounds in
16 municipal wastewater for potential estimation of biota exposure in receiving waters. *J Environ*
17 *Monit* 6, 533-539.
18
19
20
21 Osemwengie, L.I. and Steinberg, S. (2001) On-site solid-phase extraction and laboratory
22 analysis of ultra-trace synthetic musks in municipal sewage effluent using gas chromatography-
23 mass spectrometry in the full-scan mode. *J Chromatogr A* 932, 107-118.
24
25
26
27 Osenbruck, K., Glaser, H.R., Knoller, K., Weise, S.M., Moder, M., Wennrich, R., Schirmer, M.,
28 Reinstorf, F., Busch, W. and Strauch, G. (2007) Sources and transport of selected organic
29 micropollutants in urban groundwater underlying the city of Halle (Saale), Germany. *Water Res*
30 41(15), 3259-3270.
31
32
33
34
35 OSPAR Commission (2000) OSPAR Background Document on Musk xylene and other musks,
36 OSPAR Commission.
37
38
39 Paxéus, N. (1996) Organic pollutants in the effluents of large wastewater treatment plants in
40 Sweden. *Water Res* 30, 1115-1122.
41
42
43
44 Peck, A. and Hornbuckle, K. (2006) Synthetic musk fragrances in urban and rural air of Iowa
45 and the Great Lakes. *Atmos Environ* 40(32), 6101-6111.
46
47
48 Pintado-Herrera, M.G., Gonzalez-Mazo, E. and Lara-Martin, P.A. (2013) Environmentally
49 friendly analysis of emerging contaminants by pressurized hot water extraction-stir bar sorptive
50 extraction-derivatization and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem*
51 405(1), 401-411.
52
53
54
55 Polo, M., Garcia-Jares, C., Llompарт, M. and Cela, R. (2007) Optimization of a sensitive
56 method for the determination of nitro musk fragrances in waters by solid-phase microextraction
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 and gas chromatography with micro electron capture detection using factorial experimental
2 design. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 388(8), 1789-1798.

3
4 Posada-Ureta, O., Olivares, M., Navarro, P., Vallejo, A., Zuloaga, O. and Etxebarria, N. (2012)
5 Membrane assisted solvent extraction coupled to large volume injection-gas chromatography-
6 mass spectrometry for trace analysis of synthetic musks in environmental water samples. *J*
7 *Chromatogr A* 1227, 38-47.

8
9
10
11
12 Ramírez, N., Borrull, F. and Marcé, R.M. (2012) Simultaneous determination of parabens and
13 synthetic musks in water by stir-bar sorptive extraction and thermal desorption-gas
14 chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Sep Sci* 35(4), 580-588.

15
16
17
18 Ramírez, N., Marcé, R.M. and Borrull, F. (2011) Development of a stir bar sorptive extraction
19 and thermal desorption-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method for determining
20 synthetic musks in water samples. *J Chromatogr A* 1218(1), 156-161.

21
22
23
24 Regueiro, J., Llompart, M., Garcia-Jares, C., Garcia-Monteagudo, J.C. and Cela, R. (2008)
25 Ultrasound-assisted emulsification-microextraction of emergent contaminants and pesticides in
26 environmental waters. *J Chromatogr A* 1190(1-2), 27-38.

27
28
29
30 Reiner, J.L., Berset, J.D. and Kannan, K. (2007) Mass flow of polycyclic musks in two
31 wastewater treatment plants. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 52(4), 451-457.

32
33
34 Reiner, J.L. and Kannan, K. (2010) Polycyclic Musks in Water, Sediment, and Fishes from the
35 Upper Hudson River, New York, USA. *Wat Air Soil Pollut* 214(1-4), 335-342.

36
37
38 Reiner, J.L. and Kannan, K. (2011) Polycyclic Musks in Water, Sediment, and Fishes from the
39 Upper Hudson River, New York, USA. *Wat Air Soil Pollut* 214(1-4), 335-342.

40
41
42
43 Ren, Y., Wei, K., Liu, H., Sui, G., Wang, J., Sun, Y. and Zheng, X. (2013) Occurrence and
44 removal of selected polycyclic musks in two sewage treatment plants in Xi'an, China. *Front*
45 *Environ Sci Eng* 7(2), 166-172.

46
47
48
49 Richardson, B.J., Lam, P.K. and Martin, M. (2005) Emerging chemicals of concern:
50 pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in Asia, with particular reference to
51 Southern China. *Mar Pollut Bull* 50(9), 913-920.

52
53
54
55 Ricking, M., Schwarzbauer, J., Hellou, J., Svenson, A. and Zitko, V. (2003) Polycyclic aromatic
56 musk compounds in sewage treatment plant effluents of Canada and Sweden—first results. *Mar*
57 *Pollut Bull* 46(4), 410-417.

1 Rimkus, G.G. (1999) Polycyclic musk fragrances in the aquatic environment. *Toxicol Lett* 111,
2 37-56.

3
4 Rosal, R., Rodriguez, A., Perdigon-Melon, J.A., Petre, A., Garcia-Calvo, E., Gomez, M.J.,
5
6 Aguera, A. and Fernandez-Alba, A.R. (2010) Occurrence of emerging pollutants in urban
7
8 wastewater and their removal through biological treatment followed by ozonation. *Water Res*
9 44(2), 578-588.

10
11 Salgado, R., Marques, R., Noronha, J.P., Mexia, J.T., Carvalho, G., Oehmen, A. and Reis, M.A.
12
13 (2011) Assessing the diurnal variability of pharmaceutical and personal care products in a full-
14
15 scale activated sludge plant. *Environ Pollut* 159(10), 2359-2367.

16
17 Sanin, F., Clarkson, W. and Vesilind, P. (2011) *Sludge Engineering - The Treatment and*
18
19 *Disposal of Wastewater Sludges*, DEStech Publications Inc.

20
21 Schüssler, W. and Nitschke, L. (1998) Determination of trace amounts of galaxolide (HHCb)
22
23 by HPLC. *Fresenius J Anal Chem* 361, 220-221.

24
25
26
27 Shammass, N., Wang, L., Pereira, N. and Hung, Y.-T. (2008) *Handbook of Environmental*
28
29 *Engineering, Biological Treatment Processes - Volume 8*, Humana Press.

30
31 Shek, W.M., Murphy, M.B., Lam, J.C. and Lam, P.K. (2008) Synthetic polycyclic musks in
32
33 Hong Kong sewage sludge. *Chemosphere* 71(7), 1241-1250.

34
35
36 Silva, A.R. and Nogueira, J.M. (2010) Stir-bar-sorptive extraction and liquid desorption
37
38 combined with large-volume injection gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for ultra-trace
39
40 analysis of musk compounds in environmental water matrices. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 396(5),
41 1853-1862.

42
43 Simonich, S.L., 2005. *Fragrance Materials in Wastewater Treatment*, in: Rimkus, G. (Ed.), *The*
44
45 *Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, Part O, Vol. 5*, Springer, pp. 79-118.

46
47
48 Simonich, S., Federle, T.W., Eckhoff, W.S., Rottiers, A., Webb, S., Sabaliunas, D. and De
49
50 Wolf, W. (2002) Removal of Fragrance Materials during U.S. and European Wastewater
51
52 Treatment. *Environ Sci Technol* 36, 2839-2847.

53
54 Simonich, S.L., Begley, W.M., Debaere, G. and Eckhoff, W.S. (2000) Trace Analysis of
55
56 Fragrance Materials in Wastewater and Treated Wastewater. *Environ Sci Technol* 34, 959.
57

1 Smyth, S.A., Lishman, L., Alae, M., Kleywegt, S., Svoboda, L., Yang, J.J., Lee, H.B. and Seto,
2 P. (2007) Sample storage and extraction efficiencies in determination of polycyclic and nitro
3 musks in sewage sludge. *Chemosphere* 67(2), 267-275.
4
5

6 Stevens, J.L., Northcott, G.L., Stern, G.A., Tomy, G.T. and Jones, K.C. (2003) PAHs, PCBs,
7 PCNs, Organochlorine pesticides, Synthetic musks, and Polychlorinated n-alkanes in UK
8 sewage sludge: survey results and implications. *Environ Sci Technol* 37, 462-467.
9

10 Sumner, N.R., Guitart, C., Fuentes, G. and Readman, J.W. (2010) Inputs and distributions of
11 synthetic musk fragrances in an estuarine and coastal environment; a case study. *Environ Pollut*
12 158(1), 215-222.
13
14
15

16 Sun, P., Casteel, K., Dai, H., Wehmeyer, K.R., Kiel, B. and Federle, T. (2014) Distributions of
17 polycyclic musk fragrance in wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) effluents and sludges in the
18 United States. *Sci Total Environ* 493, 1073-1078.
19
20
21

22 SWECO Environment (2010) Screening of musk substances and metabolites, Swedish
23 Environmental Protection Agency.
24
25

26 Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L. and Stensel, H.D. (2003) *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment
27 and Reuse*, McGraw-Hill Science Engineering.
28

29 Ternes, T.A., Bonerz, M., Herrmann, N., Löffler, D., Keller, E., Lacida, B.B. and Alder, A.C.
30 (2005) Determination of pharmaceuticals, iodinated contrast media and musk fragrances in
31 sludge by LC tandem MS and GC/MS. *J Chromatogr A* 1067(1-2), 213-223.
32
33
34
35
36

37 Toivanen, N., Toimela, T. and Tähti, H. (2008) Effect of polycyclic musks on the aromatase
38 activity in JEG-3 chorion carcinoma cells. *Toxicol Lett* 180, S118-S118.
39
40
41
42

43 Upadhyay, N., Sun, Q., Allen, J.O., Westerhoff, P. and Herckes, P. (2011) Synthetic musk
44 emissions from wastewater aeration basins. *Water Res* 45(3), 1071-1078.
45
46
47

48 Vallecillos, L., Pocurull, E. and Borrull, F. (2012a) Fully automated determination of
49 macrocyclic musk fragrances in wastewater by microextraction by packed sorbents and large
50 volume injection gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 1264, 87-94.
51
52
53

54 Vallecillos, L., Pocurull, E. and Borrull, F. (2012b) Fully automated ionic liquid-based
55 headspace single drop microextraction coupled to GC-MS/MS to determine musk fragrances in
56 environmental water samples. *Talanta* 99, 824-832.
57

1 Vallecillos, L., Pocurull, E. and Borrull, F. (2013) A simple and automated method to determine
2 macrocyclic musk fragrances in sewage sludge samples by headspace solid-phase
3 microextraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 1314, 38-43.
4

5
6 Vallecillos, L., Pocurull, E. and Borrull, F. (2014) On-line coupling of solid-phase extraction to
7 gas chromatography-mass spectrometry to determine musk fragrances in wastewater. *J*
8 *Chromatogr A* 1364, 1-11.
9

10
11 Wang, Y.C. and Ding, W.H. (2009) Determination of synthetic polycyclic musks in water by
12 microwave-assisted headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography-mass
13 spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 1216(40), 6858-6863.
14
15

16
17 Weiner, R.E. and Matthews, R.A. (2003) *Environmental Engineering*, Butterworth-Heineman,
18 USA.
19

20
21
22 Wu, S.F. and Ding, W.H. (2010) Fast determination of synthetic polycyclic musks in sewage
23 sludge and sediments by microwave-assisted headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas
24 chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 1217(17), 2776-2781.
25
26

27
28 Yamagishi, T., Miyazaki, T., Horii, S. and Akiyama, K. (1983) Synthetic musk residues in biota
29 and water from Tama River and Tokyo Bay (Japan). *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 12, 83-89.
30
31

32
33 Yamauchi, R., Ishibashi, H., Hirano, M., Mori, T., Kim, J.W. and Arizono, K. (2008) Effects of
34 synthetic polycyclic musks on estrogen receptor, vitellogenin, pregnane X receptor, and
35 cytochrome P450 3A gene expression in the livers of male medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Aquat*
36 *Toxicol* 90(4), 261-268.
37
38

39
40 Yang, C.Y. and Ding, W.H. (2012) Determination of synthetic polycyclic musks in aqueous
41 samples by ultrasound-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction and gas
42 chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 402(4), 1723-1730.
43
44

45
46
47 Yang, J.J. and Metcalfe, C.D. (2006) Fate of synthetic musks in a domestic wastewater
48 treatment plant and in an agricultural field amended with biosolids. *Sci Total Environ* 363(1-3),
49 149-165.
50

51
52
53 Zeng, X., Sheng, G., Gui, H., Chen, D., Shao, W. and Fu, J. (2007) Preliminary study on the
54 occurrence and distribution of polycyclic musks in a wastewater treatment plant in Guandong,
55 China. *Chemosphere* 69(8), 1305-1311.
56

1 Zeng, X., Sheng, G., Xiong, Y. and Fu, J. (2005) Determination of polycyclic musks in sewage
2 sludge from Guangdong, China using GC-EI-MS. *Chemosphere* 60(6), 817-823.

3
4 Zhang, X., Yao, Y., Zeng, X., Qian, G., Guo, Y., Wu, M., Sheng, G. and Fu, J. (2008) Synthetic
5 musks in the aquatic environment and personal care products in Shanghai, China. *Chemosphere*
6 72(10), 1553-1558.
7
8

9
10 Zhou, H., Huang, X., Gao, M., Wang, X. and Wen, X. (2009) Distribution and elimination of
11 polycyclic musks in three sewage treatment plants of Beijing, China. *J Environ Sci* 21(5), 561-
12 567.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65